

## Geometric mitosis and Newton–Okounkov polytopes

VALENTINA KIRITCHENKO

In [K], a convex-geometric algorithm was introduced for building new analogs of Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes for arbitrary reductive groups. Conjecturally, these polytopes coincide with the Newton–Okounkov polytopes of flag varieties for a geometric valuation. I outline an algorithm (*geometric mitosis*) for finding collection of faces in these polytopes that represent a given Schubert cycle. For  $GL_n$  and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes, this algorithm reduces to a geometric version of Knutson–Miller mitosis introduced in [KST].

First, recall the *mitosis on parallelepipeds* from [KST, Section 6]. Let  $\Pi(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a parallelepiped given by inequalities  $\mu_i \leq x_i \leq \nu_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For every face  $\Gamma \subset \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ , we now define a collection of faces  $M(\Gamma)$  called the *mitosis* of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $k$  be the minimal number such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \{x_i = \mu_i\}$  for all  $i > k$  (in particular,  $\Gamma \not\subseteq \{x_k = \mu_k\}$ ) and  $\nu_i \neq \mu_i$  for at least one  $i > k$ . If no such  $k$  exists then  $M(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ . Under the isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_k) \times (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$  the face  $\Gamma$  gets mapped to  $\Gamma' \times v$  where  $v = (\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n)$  is a point and  $\Gamma' \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is a parallelepiped in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$  be the segment with vertices  $(\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{i-1}, \mu_i, \nu_{i+1}, \dots, \nu_n)$  and  $(\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{i-1}, \nu_i, \nu_{i+1}, \dots, \nu_n)$  (that is, the union  $\bigcup_{i=k+1}^n E_i$  is a broken line that connects points  $(\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n)$  and  $(\nu_{k+1}, \dots, \nu_n)$ ). Then  $M(\Gamma)$  consists of all faces  $\Gamma' \times E_i$  for  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $E_i$  is not a single point (in particular,  $\dim \Delta = \dim \Gamma + 1$  for any  $\Delta \in M(\Gamma)$ ). Definition of  $M(\Gamma)$  is motivated by the identity [KST, Proposition 6.8] for a Demazure-type operator applied to an exponential sum over  $\Gamma$ .

This geometric version of mitosis reduces easily to the combinatorial mitosis of [KnM] as follows. Every face of  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  can be represented by a  $2 \times n$  table  $(a_{ij})_{i=1,2, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  whose cells are either filled with  $+$  or empty. Namely, the face satisfies the equality  $x_i = \mu_i$  or  $x_i = \nu_i$  if and only if  $a_{1i} = +$  or  $a_{2i} = +$ , respectively (in particular, if  $\mu_i = \nu_i$  then the  $i$ -th column has two  $+$ ). On the level of tables, operation  $M$  coincides the mitosis of [KnM] after reflecting our tables in a vertical line.

**Example 1:** If  $\Pi(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ , where  $\mu = (1, 1, 1, 1)$  and  $\nu = (2, 2, 1, 2)$  (that is,  $\mu_3 = \nu_3$ ), then the vertex  $\Gamma = \{x_1 = \nu_1, x_2 = \mu_2, x_4 = \mu_4\}$  is represented by the table

	+	+	+
+		+	

The set  $M(\Gamma)$  consists of two edges represented by the tables

<table border="1" style="display: inline-table;"> <tr><td></td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td></td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td></td></tr> </table>		+	+		+		+		&	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table;"> <tr><td></td><td></td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td></td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td><td style="text-align: center;">+</td></tr> </table>			+		+		+	+
	+	+																
+		+																
		+																
+		+	+															

We now briefly recall a construction from [K, Section 3.3]. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group of semisimple rank  $r$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  denote simple roots of  $G$ ,

and  $s_1, \dots, s_r$  the corresponding simple reflections. Fix a reduced decomposition  $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_d}$  where  $w_0$  is the longest element of the Weyl group of  $G$ . Let  $d_i$  be the number of  $s_{i_j}$  in this decomposition such that  $i_j = i$ . Consider the space

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$$

and choose coordinates  $x = (x_1^1, \dots, x_{d_1}^1; \dots; x_1^r, \dots, x_{d_r}^r)$  with respect to this decomposition. Put  $\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} x_j^i$ . Define the projection  $p$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to the real span  $\mathbb{R}^r$  of the weight lattice of  $G$  by the formula  $p(x) = \sigma_1(x)\alpha_1 + \dots + \sigma_r(x)\alpha_r$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a dominant weight of  $G$ . There is an elementary convex-geometric algorithm for constructing a polytope  $P_\lambda(i_1, \dots, i_d) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  that yields the Weyl character  $\chi(V_\lambda)$  of the irreducible  $G$ -module  $V_\lambda$ , that is,

$$\chi(V_\lambda) = \sum_{x \in P_\lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{p(x)}$$

(see Theorem [K, Theorem 3.6] for more details). The polytope  $P_\lambda$  can be used to extend the results of [KST] from  $GL_n$  to  $G$  since its *polytope ring* is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the complete flag variety  $G/B$  (with rational coefficients).

In particular, if  $G = SL_n$  and  $w_0 = (s_1)(s_2 s_1)(s_3 s_2 s_1) \dots (s_{n-1} \dots s_1)$ , then we get the classical Gelfand–Zetlin polytope [K, Theorem 3.4]. However, if  $G = Sp_4$  the resulting polytopes seem to be different from *string polytopes* of Berenstein–Littelmann–Zelevinsky.

**Example 2:** Take  $G = Sp(4)$  (that is,  $d = 4$  and  $r = 2$ ) and  $w_0 = s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1$  (here  $\alpha_1$  denotes the shorter root and  $\alpha_2$  denotes the longer one). Let  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \omega_1 + \lambda_2 \omega_2$  be a strictly dominant weight of  $Sp_4$ . Choose a point  $a_\lambda = (a, b, c, d)$  such that  $p(a_\lambda) = w_0 \lambda = -\lambda$ . Label coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by  $x := x_1^1 - a$ ,  $y := x_2^1 - b$ ,  $z := x_1^2 - c$  and  $t := x_2^2 - d$ . The polytope  $P_\lambda(2, 1, 2, 1)$  is given by inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x \leq \lambda_1, \quad z \leq x + \lambda_2, \quad y \leq 2z, \\ y \leq z + \lambda_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \lambda_2, \quad t \leq \frac{y}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(see [K, Example 3.4]). It has 11 vertices, hence, it is not combinatorially equivalent to string polytopes for  $Sp_4$  defined in [L].

**Remark:** Let  $X = Sp_4/B$  be the complete flag variety for  $Sp_4$ , and  $L_\lambda$  the line bundle on  $X$  corresponding to the weight  $\lambda$ . Recently, I checked that after a unimodular change of coordinates  $P_\lambda(2, 1, 2, 1)$  coincides with the Newton–Okounkov polytope  $\Delta_v(X, L_\lambda)$  for the lowest term valuation  $v$  corresponding to the flag of translated Schubert varieties:  $w_0 X_{id} \subset s_1 s_2 s_1 X_{s_2} \subset s_1 s_2 X_{s_1 s_2} \subset s_1 X_{s_2 s_1 s_2} \subset X$  (cf. [Ka, Remark 2.3]).

By construction, the intersection of the polytope  $P_\lambda := P_\lambda(i_1, \dots, i_d)$  with  $(c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$  is either a parallelepiped  $\Pi(\mu(c), \nu(c))$  or is empty for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This property of  $P_\lambda$  gives  $r$  mitosis operations  $M_1, \dots, M_r$  corresponding to parallelepipeds  $P_\lambda \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \dots, P_\lambda \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_r})$ , respectively. Mitosis on parallelepipeds allows us to produce collections of faces of  $P_\lambda$  that represent a given Schubert cycle in  $G/B$  (in the sense of [KST, Theorem 5.1]), that is, the exponential sum over

the union of these faces yields the Demazure characters. The algorithm is as follows. For an element  $w \in W$  of the Weyl group, denote by  $[X_w] = [\overline{BwB/B}]$  the Schubert cycle corresponding to  $w$ . Let  $s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_\ell}$  be a reduced decomposition of  $w_0 w w_0^{-1}$  such that  $(j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$  is a subword of  $(i_1, \dots, i_d)$ . Then  $[X_w]$  is represented by the union of faces produced from a vertex of  $P_\lambda$  by applying successively the operations  $M_{j_\ell}, \dots, M_{j_1}$ . For  $G = SL_n$  and  $w_0 = (s_1)(s_2 s_1)(s_3 s_2 s_1) \dots (s_{n-1} \dots s_1)$ , this algorithm can be described combinatorially using mitosis of Knutson–Miller on pipe-dreams (see [KST]).

For other reductive groups, one can also describe the mitosis algorithm combinatorially using suitable analogs of pipe-dreams.

**Example 3:** We continue Example 2. The vertex  $a_\lambda$  is the intersection of 4 facets:  $0 = x, y = 2z, 0 = t, t = \frac{y}{2}$ . Let us encode faces that contain  $a_\lambda$  by tables using the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \iff 0 = t \\ \hline + \iff 0 = x \quad + \iff t = \frac{y}{2} \\ \hline + \iff y = 2z \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Here are three examples:

$$a_\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array}; \quad \{0 = y = t\} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array}; \quad \{y = 2z\} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Every face  $\Gamma$  defines two (possibly degenerate) rectangles  $\Pi_1(\Gamma) = \Gamma \cap \{z = z_0, t = t_0\}$  and  $\Pi_2(\Gamma) = \Gamma \cap \{x = x_0, y = y_0\}$  (we choose  $x_0, y_0, z_0$  and  $t_0$  so that the dimensions of  $\Pi_1(\Gamma)$  and  $\Pi_2(\Gamma)$  are maximal possible). For instance, the face  $\Gamma = \{0 = y = t\}$  defines two segments. Note that  $\Pi_i(\Gamma)$  is a face of the rectangle  $\Pi_i(P_\lambda)$ , and hence, there is a well-defined operation  $M_i$  of mitosis on parallelograms for  $i = 1, 2$ . It is not hard to check that in terms of tables,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  do the following:

$$\begin{array}{c} a_\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_1} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_1} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \end{array} = P_\lambda \\ \\ a_\lambda \xrightarrow{M_2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_1} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \& \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_2} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \end{array} \& \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \end{array} \& \begin{array}{|c|} \hline + \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{M_1} P_\lambda \end{array}$$

## REFERENCES

- [Ka] K.KAVEH, *Crystal basis and Newton–Okounkov bodies*, arXiv:1101.1687v3 [math.AG]
- [K] V.KIRITCHENKO, *Divided difference operators on convex polytopes*, arXiv:1307.7234 [math.AG], to appear in Adv. Studies in Pure Math.
- [KST] V. KIRITCHENKO, E. SMIRNOV, V. TIMORIN, *Schubert calculus and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes*, Russian Math. Surveys, **67** (2012), no.4, 685–719
- [KnM] A. KNUTSON AND E. MILLER, *Gröbner geometry of Schubert polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2), **161** (2005), 1245–1318
- [L] P. LITTELMANN, *Cones, crystals and patterns*, Transform. Groups, **3** (1998), pp. 145–179