Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Commenced Publication in 1973 Founding and Former Series Editors: Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK Takeo Kanade Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA Josef Kittler University of Surrey, Guildford, UK Jon M. Kleinberg Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA Friedemann Mattern ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland John C. Mitchell Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA Moni Naor Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel C. Pandu Rangan Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India Bernhard Steffen TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany Demetri Terzopoulos University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA Doug Tygar University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA Gerhard Weikum Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7407

Alexander S. Kulikov · Gerhard J. Woeginger (Eds.)

Computer Science – Theory and Applications

11th International Computer Science Symposium in Russia, CSR 2016St. Petersburg, Russia, June 9–13, 2016Proceedings



Editors Alexander S. Kulikov St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics of Russian Academy of Sciences St. Petersburg Russia

Gerhard J. Woeginger Technische Universiteit Eindhoven Eindhoven The Netherlands

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Computer Science ISBN 978-3-319-34170-5 ISBN 978-3-319-34171-2 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-34171-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016938395

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 - Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

This volume contains the 28 papers presented at CSR 2016, the 11th International Computer Science Symposium in Russia, held during June 9–13, 2016, in St. Petersburg, Russia. The symposium was organized by the Steklov Mathematical Institute at St. Petersburg of the Russian Academy of Sciences (PDMI). The first CSR took place in 2006 in St. Petersburg, and this was then followed by meetings in Ekaterinburg (2007), Moscow (2008), Novosibirsk (2009), Kazan (2010), St. Petersburg (2011), Nizhny Novgorod (2012), Ekaterinburg (2013), Moscow (2014), and Listvyanka (2015). CSR covers a wide range of areas in theoretical computer science and its applications.

The opening lecture at CSR 2016 was given by Christos Papadimitriou (Berkeley). Four other invited plenary lectures were given by Herbert Edelsbrunner (IST Austria), Vladimir Kolmogorov (IST Austria), Orna Kupferman (Hebrew University), and Virginia Vassilevska Williams (Stanford).

We received 71 submissions in total, and out of these the Program Committee selected 28 papers for presentation at the symposium and for publication in the proceedings. Each submission was reviewed by at least three Program Committee members. We expect the full versions of the papers contained in this volume to be submitted for publication in refereed journals. The Program Committee also selected the winners of the two Yandex Best Paper Awards.

Best Paper Award: Meena Mahajan and Nitin Saurabh, "Some Complete and Intermediate Polynomials in Algebraic Complexity Theory"

Best Student Paper Award: Alexander Kozachinskiy, "On Slepian–Wolf Theorem with Interaction"

Many people and organizations contributed to the smooth running and the success of CSR 2016. In particular our thanks go to:

- All authors who submitted their current research to CSR
- Our reviewers and subreferees whose expertise flowed into the decision process
- The members of the Program Committee, who graciously gave their time and energy
- The members of the local Organizing Committee, who made the conference possible
- The EasyChair conference management system for hosting the evaluation process
- Yandex
- The Government of the Russian Federation (Grant 14.Z50.31.0030)
- The Steklov Mathematical Institute at St. Petersburg of the Russian Academy of Sciences
- The European Association for Theoretical Computer Science (EATCS)
- Monomax Congresses and Incentives

June 2016

Alexander S. Kulikov Gerhard J. Woeginger

Organization

Program Committee

Rutgers University, USA
Moscow State University, Russia
University of California, Riverside, USA
University of Stuttgart, Germany
University of Haifa, Israel
University of Bergen, Norway
University of Rochester, USA
Kyoto University, Japan
University of Turku, Finland
Technical University of Berlin, Germany
University of Durham, UK
University of Liverpool, UK
Steklov Mathematical Institute at St. Petersburg, Russia
Steklov Mathematical Institute at St. Petersburg, Russia
Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain
Technical University of Berlin, Germany
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russia
University of Edinburgh, UK
CNRS-LRI, UMR 8623, Universitè Paris-Sud, France
Technical University of Dortmund, Germany
University of Bristol, UK
RWTH Aachen, Germany
Stanford University, USA
Technical University Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Organizing Committee

Asya Gilmanova Alexandra Novikova Ekaterina Ipatova Alexander Smal Alexander S. Kulikov

External Reviewers

Akhmedov Maxim Alman, Joshua Anastasiadis, Eleftherios Artamonov, Stepan Aumüller, Martin Averbakh, Igor Baier, Christel Bauwens, Bruno Beliakov, Gleb Bensch, Suna Bevern, René Van Bliznets, Ivan Brattka, Vasco Braverman, Mark Brazdil, Tomas Buergisser, Peter Carton, Olivier Chalopin, Jérémie Chatzistergiou, Andreas Chen, Xujin Chistikov, Dmitry Chrzaszcz, Jacek Davydow, Alex De Paris, Alessandro de Wolf, Ronald Duedder, Boris Durand, Arnaud Elder. Murrav Escoffier. Bruno Filiot. Emmanuel Froese, Vincent Fukunaga, Takuro Gairing, Martin Gastin. Paul Gawrychowski, Pawel Geck, Gaetano Geuvers, Herman Godin, Thibault Golovach, Petr Golovnev, Alexander Grochow, Joshua Harju, Tero Harks, Tobias

Hirvensalo, Mika Iwamoto, Chuzo Jancar, Petr Johnson, Matthew Kannan, Sampath Kara, Ahmet Karpov, Dmitri Karpov, Nikolay Kashin. Andrei Katoen, Joost-Pieter Kawamura, Akitoshi Klimann. Ines Knop, Alexander Kociumaka, Tomasz Kolesnichenko, Ignat Komusiewicz. Christian Kulkarni, Raghav Kumar, Mrinal Kuske, Dietrich Kutten, Shay Kuznetsov, Stepan Laekhanukit, Bundit Lange, Klaus-Joern Libkin, Leonid Löding, Christof Mahajan, Meena Maneth, Sebastian Manquinho, Vasco Maslennikova, Marina Mavr. Ernst W. Mnich, Matthias Mundhenk, Martin Nichterlein, André Nishimura, Harumichi Nutov. Zeev Obua. Steven Okhotin, Alexander Oliveira, Rafael Oparin, Vsevolod Pasechnik, Dmitrii Pastor, Alexei Petersen, Holger Pilipczuk, Michał

Pin, Jean-Eric Pouzyrevsky, Ivan Qiao, Youming Randall, Dana Reynier, Pierre-Alain Riveros, Cristian Romashchenko, Andrei Rosén, Adi Saarela. Aleksi Savchenko, Ruslan Schnoor, Henning Shen, Alexander Sokolov, Dmitry Souto, André Talmon, Nimrod Tamaki, Suguru Terekhov, Andrey

Thielen, Clemens van Rooijen, Lorijn Vassilevska Williams, Virginia Vegh, Laszlo Vildhøj, Hjalte Wedel Volkovich, Ilya Vortmeier, Nils Vyalyi, Mikhail Wahlström, Magnus Walter, Tobias Watrous, John Weinzierl, Tobias Wächter, Jan Philipp Zanetti, Luca Zimand, Marius Zivny, Standa

Abstracts of Invited Talks

Topological Data Analysis with Bregman Divergences

Herbert Edelsbrunner (joint work with Hubert Wagner)

IST Austria (Institute of Science and Technology Austria), Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria

Given a finite set in a metric space, the topological analysis assesses its multi-scale connectivity quantified in terms of a 1-parameter family of homology groups. Going beyond Euclidean distance and really beyond metrics, we show that the basic tools of topological data analysis also apply when we measure distance with Bregman divergences. While these violate two of the three axioms of a metric, they have been found more effective for high-dimensional data. Examples are the Kullback–Leibler divergence, which is commonly used for text and images, and the Itakura–Saito divergence, which is popular for speech and sound.

Complexity Classifications of Valued Constraint Satisfaction Problems

Vladimir Kolmogorov

IST Austria, Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria vnk@ist.ac.at

Classifying complexity of different classes of optimization problems is an important research direction in Theoretical Computer Science. One prominent framework is Valued Constraint Satisfaction Problems (VCSPs) in which the class is parameterized by a "language" Γ , i.e. a set of cost functions over a fixed discrete domain D. A instance of VCSP(Γ) is an arbitrary sum of functions from Gamma (possibly with overlapping variables), and the goal is to minimize the sum. The complexity of VCSP (Γ) depends on how "rich" the set Γ is. If, for example, Γ contains only submodular functions then any instance in VCSP(Γ) can be solved in polynomial time. If, on the other hand, Γ contains e.g. the "not-equal" relation then VCSP(Γ) can express the |D|coloring problem and thus is NP-hard when |D| > 2.

I will show that establishing complexity classification for plain CSPs (i.e. when functions in Γ only take values in $\{0, \infty\}$) would immediately give the classification for general VCSPs. The key algorithmic tool that we use is a certain LP relaxation of the problem combined with the assumed algorithm for plain CSPs.

In the second part of the talk I will consider a version where we additionally restrict the structure of the instance to be *planar*. More specifically, I will describe a generalization of the Edmonds's blossom-shrinking algorithm from "perfect matching" constraints to arbitrary "even Δ -matroid" constraints. As a consequence of this, we settle the complexity classification of planar Boolean CSPs started by Dvořák and Kupec.

Based on joint work with Alexandr Kazda, Andrei Krokhin, Michal Rolínek, Johann Thapper and Stanislav Živný [1–3].

References

- Kazda, A., Kolmogorov, V., Rolínek, M.: Even Delta-matroids and the complexity of planar Boolean CSPs (2016). arXiv: 1602.03124v2
- Kolmogorov, V., Krokhin, A., Rolínek, M.: The complexity of general-valued CSPs. In: IEEE 56th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. FOCS 2015, pp. 1246–1258 (2015)
- Kolmogorov, V., Thapper, J., Živný, S.: The power of linear programming for general-valued CSPs. SIAM J. Comput. 44(1), 1–36 (2015)

On High-Quality Synthesis

Orna Kupferman^(⊠)

School of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel orna@cs.huji.ac.il

Abstract. In the synthesis problem, we are given a specification ψ over input and output signals, and we synthesize a system that realizes ψ : with every sequence of input signals, the system associates a sequence of output signals so that the generated computation satisfies ψ . The above classical formulation of the problem is Boolean. First, correctness is Boolean: a computation satisfies the specification ψ or does not satisfy it. Then, other important and interesting measures like the size of the synthesized system, its robustness, price, and so on, are ignored. The paper surveys recent efforts to address and formalize different aspects of quality of synthesized systems. We start with multi-valued specification formalisms, which refine the notion of correctness and enable the designer to specify quality, and continue to the quality measure of sensing: the detail in which the inputs should be read in order to generate a correct computation. The first part is based on the articles [1–3]. The second part is based on [4, 5].

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 278410, and from The Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 1229/10).

Algorithm as a Scientific Weltanschauung

Christos Papadimitriou

UC Berkeley, Berkeley, USA christos@berkeley.edu

The idea of the algorithm, present in the work of Euclid, Archimedes, and Al Khorizmi, and formalized by Alan Turing only eight decades ago, underlies much of the realm of science — physical, life, or social. Algorithmic processes are present in the great objects of scientific inquiry — the cell, the universe, the market, the brain — as well as in the models developed by scientists over the centuries for studying them. During the past quarter century this algorithmic point of view has helped make important progress in science, for example in statistical physics through the study of phase transitions in terms of the convergence of Markov chain Monte carlo algorithms, and in quantum mechanics through the lens of quantum computing.

In this talk I will recount a few more instances of this mode of research. Algorithmic considerations, as well as ideas from computational complexity, revealed a conceptual flaw in the solution concept of Nash equilibrium ubiquitous in economics. In the study of evolution, a new understanding of century-old questions has been achieved through purely algorithmic ideas. Finally, current work in theoretical neuroscience suggests that the algorithmic point of view may be invaluable in the central scientific question of our era, namely understanding how behavior and cognition emerge from the structure and activity of neurons and synapses.

Fine-Grained Algorithms and Complexity

Virginia Vassilevska Williams

Stanford University, Stanford, USA virgi@cs.stanford.edu

A central goal of algorithmic research is to determine how fast computational problems can be solved in the worst case. Theorems from complexity theory state that there are problems that, on inputs of size *n*, can be solved in t(n) time but not in $t(n)^{1-\varepsilon}$ time for $\varepsilon > 0$. The main challenge is to determine where in this hierarchy various natural and important problems lie. Throughout the years, many ingenious algorithmic techniques have been developed and applied to obtain blazingly fast algorithms for many problems. Nevertheless, for many other central problems, the best known running times are essentially those of the classical algorithms devised for them in the 1950s and 1960s.

Unconditional lower bounds seem very difficult to obtain, and so practically all known time lower bounds are conditional. For years, the main tool for proving hardness of computational problems have been NP-hardness reductions, basing hardness on $P \neq NP$. However, when we care about the exact running time (as opposed to merely polynomial vs non-polynomial), NP-hardness is not applicable, especially if the running time is already polynomial. In recent years, a new theory has been developed, based on "fine-grained reductions" that focus on exact running times. The goal of these reductions is as follows. Suppose problem A is solvable in a(n) time and problem B in b(n) time, and no $a(n)^{1-\varepsilon}$ and $b(n)^{1-\varepsilon}$ algorithms are known for A and B respectively. The reductions are such that whenever A is fine-grained reducible to B (for a(n) and b(n), then a $b(n)^{1-\varepsilon}$ time algorithm for B (for any $\varepsilon > 0$) implies an $a(n)^{1-\varepsilon'}$ algorithm for A (for some $\varepsilon' > 0$). Now, mimicking NP-hardness, the approach is to (1) select a key problem X that is conjectured to require $t(n)^{1-o(1)}$ time, and (2) reduce X in a fine-grained way to many important problems. This approach has led to the discovery of many meaningful relationships between problems, and even sometimes to equivalence classes.

In this talk I will give an overview of the current progress in this area of study, and will highlight some new exciting developments.

Contents

On High-Quality Synthesis Orna Kupferman	1
Sensitivity Versus Certificate Complexity of Boolean Functions Andris Ambainis, Krišjānis Prūsis, and Jevgēnijs Vihrovs	16
Algorithmic Decidability of Engel's Property for Automaton Groups Laurent Bartholdi	29
The Next Whisky Bar Mike Behrisch, Miki Hermann, Stefan Mengel, and Gernot Salzer	41
Parameterizing Edge Modification Problems Above Lower Bounds René van Bevern, Vincent Froese, and Christian Komusiewicz	57
Completing Partial Schedules for Open Shop with Unit Processing Times and Routing	73
Max-Closed Semilinear Constraint Satisfaction	88
Computing and Listing st-Paths in Public Transportation Networks Kateřina Böhmová, Matúš Mihalák, Tobias Pröger, Gustavo Sacomoto, and Marie-France Sagot	102
Compositional Design of Stochastic Timed Automata Patricia Bouyer, Thomas Brihaye, Pierre Carlier, and Quentin Menet	117
Online Bounded Analysis Joan Boyar, Leah Epstein, Lene M. Favrholdt, Kim S. Larsen, and Asaf Levin	131
Affine Computation and Affine Automaton	146
On Approximating (Connected) 2-Edge Dominating Set by a Tree Toshihiro Fujito and Tomoaki Shimoda	161
Graph Editing to a Given Degree Sequence Petr A. Golovach and George B. Mertzios	177

Subclasses of Baxter Permutations Based on Pattern Avoidance	192
On Slepian–Wolf Theorem with Interaction	207
Level Two of the Quantifier Alternation Hierarchy over Infinite Words Manfred Kufleitner and Tobias Walter	223
The Word Problem for Omega-Terms over the Trotter-Weil Hierarchy: (Extended Abstract)	237
Some Complete and Intermediate Polynomials in Algebraic Complexity Theory	251
Sums of Read-Once Formulas: How Many Summands Suffice?	266
Algorithmic Statistics: Normal Objects and Universal Models	280
Subquadratic Algorithms for Succinct Stable Matching Daniel Moeller, Ramamohan Paturi, and Stefan Schneider	294
Depth-4 Identity Testing and Noether's Normalization Lemma Partha Mukhopadhyay	309
Improved Approximation Algorithms for Min-Cost Connectivity Augmentation Problems	324
The Hardest Language for Conjunctive Grammars	340
Low-Rank Approximation of a Matrix: Novel Insights, New Progress, and Extensions	352
Representations of Analytic Functions and Weihrauch Degrees	367
On Expressive Power of Regular Expressions over Infinite Orders	382

	Contents	XXI
Prediction of Infinite Words with Automata		394
Fourier Sparsity of GF(2) Polynomials		409
Author Index		425