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#### Abstract

Consider a sofic dynamical system $(X, T, \mu)$, where $X=$ $A^{Z}$ is the full symbolic compact set with the product topology, and $A=\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$. The shift is $T:\left\{x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}, x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n+1}$. The measure $\mu$ is a $T$-invariant sofic probability measure. For all words $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ the measure is $\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\left\{x: x_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.a_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{lm} a_{a_{1}} \ldots m_{a_{n}} r$. Matrices $\left\{m_{0}, \ldots, m_{d}\right\}, d \geq 1$, are nonzero substochastic matrices of order $J$. The matrix $P=m_{0}+\cdots+m_{d}$ is a stochastic matrix, the row $l$ is a left $P$-invariant probability row and all entries of the column $r$ are equal to 1 .

We obtain an explicit formula for the entropy $h(T, \mu)$ of sofic dynamical system of Blackwell's type for which $\operatorname{rank}\left(m_{a}\right)=1, a \neq 0$.


## 1. Introduction

Consider a sofic dynamical system $(X, T, \mu)$, where $X=A^{Z}$ is the full symbolic compact set with the product topology, and $A=\{0,1, \ldots, d\}$. The shift is

$$
T:\left\{x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n+1} .
$$

The measure $\mu$ is a $T$-invariant sofic probability measure. The measure $\mu$ is called sofic measure if for all words $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$

$$
\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\left\{x: x_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=a_{n}\right\}\right)=l m_{a_{1}} \ldots m_{a_{n}} r
$$

where $\left\{m_{0}, \ldots, m_{d}\right\}, d \geq 1$, are nonzero substochastic matrices of order $J$. The matrix $P=m_{0}+\cdots+m_{d}$ is a stochastic matrix, the row $l$ is a left $P$-invariant probability row and all entries of the column $r$ are equal to 1 .

[^0]Sofic measure coincides with some hidden Markov measure. There exists a stationary finite-state Markov chain $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and a stationary process $\eta_{n}$ with states $a=0,1, \ldots, d$ such that $\eta_{n}=\Phi\left(\xi_{n}\right)$, and

$$
\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)=P\left(\eta_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}=a_{n}\right)
$$

In the paper [3] the authors used the title "manifestly algebraic measure" for sofic measure and in the paper [2] the authors used the name "rational measure."

In symbolic dynamics Markov measures have been thoroughly studied. Their entropy is given by an explicit formula. Computation of the entropy of sofic dynamical system is a difficult problem.

We obtain an explicit formula for the entropy $h(T, \mu)$ of sofic dynamical system of Blackwell's type for which $\operatorname{rank}\left(m_{a}\right)=1, a \neq 0$. In Sec. 4 we show numerical computation of the entropy using our formula.

It is easy to obtain a similar formula for the entropy of sofic dynamical system, when $\operatorname{rank}\left(M_{a}\right)=1$ for some $a$.

We show how Blackwell's method computation of the entropy [1] works for any sofic dynamical system and in particular for sofic dynamical system of Blackwell's type. It seems that our method of calculating the entropy in this case is significantly easier than elegant Blackwell's method.

## 2. The entropy of sofic dynamical system.

Abramov's formula and countable Markov chains
Consider the set

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\{x \in X: x_{1} \neq 0,\left\{i: x_{i} \neq 0\right\} \text { is an infinite set }\right\} .
$$

We define the return function $F(x)$ on the space $X^{\prime}$ as

$$
F(x)=\min \left\{n \in N: T^{n} x \in X^{\prime}\right\}
$$

The induced map $T^{\prime}$ on the space $X^{\prime}$ is given by the formula $T^{\prime} x=T^{F(x)} x$. The conditional measure $\mu^{\prime}$ for the measure $\mu$ on the space $X^{\prime}$ is a $T^{\prime}-$ invariant measure.

By Abramov's formula for the entropy of ergodic measure $\mu[8]$,

$$
h(T, \mu)=h\left(T^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right) \mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let

$$
Z_{n}=f\left(T^{n-1} x\right), \quad f(x)=\left(x_{1}, F(x)-1, x_{F(x)+1}\right), \quad x \in X^{\prime} .
$$

Proposition 1. $Z_{n}$ is the stationary countable-state Markov process with the states $(i, n, j), i, j=1, \ldots, d, n=0,1, \ldots$.

The Markovian property of the process $Z_{n}$ is a consequence of our condition $\operatorname{rank}\left(m_{a}\right)=1, a \neq 0$. We have $m_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}, i \neq 0$, where $v_{i}$ is a probability row $v_{i} r=1$, and $u_{i}$ is a nonnegative column, so that

$$
\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots, i, \ldots a_{n}\right)=\left(l m_{a_{1}} \ldots u_{i}\right)\left(v_{i} \ldots m_{a_{n}} r\right), \quad \text { if } i \neq 0
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(Z_{1}=(k, m, i)\right)=\frac{l m_{k} m_{0}^{m} m_{i} r}{\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\left(l u_{k}\right)\left(v_{k} m_{0}^{m} u_{i}\right)}{\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)}, \\
& P\left(Z_{1}=(k, m, i), Z_{2}=(i, n, j)\right)=\frac{l m_{k} m_{0}^{m} m_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} r}{\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)} \\
&=\frac{\left(l u_{k}\right)\left(v_{k} m_{0}^{m} u_{i}\right)\left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j}\right)}{\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the transition probability for Markov process $Z_{n}$ :

$$
p((k, m, i),(i, n, j))=\frac{P\left(Z_{1}=(k, m, i), Z_{2}=(i, n, j)\right)}{P\left(Z_{1}=(k, m, i)\right)}=v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j}
$$

The entropy $h\left(T^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to the entropy of stationary countable state Markov chain $Z_{n}$. Hence

$$
h(T, \mu)=\mu\left(X^{\prime}\right) h\left(T^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{k, m, i, n, j} \log \left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j}\right)\left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j}\right) l u_{k} v_{k} m_{0}^{m} u_{i} .
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k, m} l u_{k} v_{k} m_{0}^{m} u_{i} & =l \sum_{k \geq 1} m_{k}\left(I-m_{0}\right)^{-1} u_{i}=l\left(P-m_{0}\right)\left(I-m_{0}\right)^{-1} u_{i} \\
& =l\left(I-m_{0}\right)\left(I-m_{0}\right)^{-1} u_{i}=l u_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus our main result is the following:

$$
h(T, \mu)=-\sum_{i, j \geq 1, n \geq 0} \log \left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j}\right) v_{i} m_{0}^{n} u_{j} l u_{i} .
$$

## 3. BLACKWELL'S Entropy formula and Blackwell Markov chains

Our simple variant of Markov chain $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ for sofic measure $\mu$ (see [5, 6]) is given by the transition matrix $\bar{P}$. The matrix $\bar{P}$ is the block matrix in which all block rows are equal to the block row $\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{d}\right)$, and left $\bar{P}$ invariant probability block row is equal to $\left(l m_{0}, \ldots, l m_{d}\right)$.

The state space $\{1, \ldots,(d+1) J\}$ is the union of the blocks

$$
B_{0}=\{1, \ldots, J\}, \quad \ldots, \quad B_{d}=\{(d+1)(J-1), \ldots,(d+1) J\}
$$

and $\Phi(i)=a, i \in B_{a}$.

Let $d_{a}$ be the diagonal matrix with diagonal $\left(I_{a}(k), k=1, \ldots,(d+1) J\right)$, where $I_{a}(k)=1, k \in B_{a}, a=0,1, \ldots, d$. Let

$$
\bar{m}_{i}=\bar{P} d_{a}, \quad a=0,1, \ldots, d, \quad \bar{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
r \\
\vdots \\
r
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
P\left(\eta_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}=a_{n}\right)=\bar{l} \bar{m}_{a_{1}} \ldots \bar{m}_{a_{n}} \bar{r}=l m_{a_{1}} \ldots m_{a_{n}} r=\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right) .
$$

We will suppose that there exists unique left $P$-invariant probability row. Then there exists unique left $\bar{P}$-invariant probability row. We give a short proof of the uniqueness of left $\bar{P}$-invariant probability row $\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{d}\right)$.

Let

$$
\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{d}\right) \bar{P}=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{d}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(l_{0}+\cdots+l_{d}\right) m_{a} & =l_{a}, \quad a \in\{0,1, \ldots, d\} \\
\left(l_{0}+\cdots+l_{d}\right) P & =l_{0}+\cdots+l_{d}, \quad\left(l_{0}+\cdots+l_{d}\right) r=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
l_{0}+\cdots+l_{d}=l, \quad l_{a}=l m_{a} .
$$

The uniqueness of left $\bar{P}$-invariant probability row gives the ergodicity of Markov chain $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and implies the ergodicity of hidden Markov chain $\eta_{n}=$ $\Phi\left(\xi_{n}\right)$. Hence, the measure $\mu$ is an ergodic measure.

Now we show how Blackwell's method [1] works for any sofic dynamical system and in particular for sofic dynamical system of Blackwell's type.

Blackwell introduced the stationary Markov chain

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left(P\left(\xi_{n}=k \mid \eta_{n}, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n-2}, \ldots\right), \quad k=1, \ldots,(d+1) J\right)
$$

with states $\bar{w}=\left(0, \ldots, w_{a}, \ldots, 0\right)$, and $w_{a} \geq 0$, and $w_{a} r=1, a=0,1, \ldots, d$.
Let

$$
g\left(a, \eta_{0}, \eta_{-1}, \ldots\right)=P\left(\eta_{1}=a \mid \eta_{0}, \eta_{-1}, \ldots\right)
$$

Then

$$
h(T, \mu)=-E \log \left(g\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{0}, \eta_{-1}, \ldots\right)\right) .
$$

Blackwell proved that

$$
g\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{0}, \eta_{-1}, \ldots\right)=\alpha_{0} \bar{m}_{\eta_{1}} \bar{r} .
$$

Blackwell's formula [1] for the entropy hidden Markov chain $\eta_{n}$ is $-E \log \left(\alpha_{0} \bar{m}_{\eta_{1}} \bar{r}\right)$.

The transition probability of Markov chain $\alpha_{n}$ is given by $\bar{w} \bar{m}_{a} \bar{r}$ for the transition

$$
\bar{w} \rightarrow \bar{w} \bar{m}_{a} / \bar{w} \bar{m}_{a} \bar{r},
$$

if $\bar{w} \bar{m}_{a} \bar{r}>0$.

Let the function $\varphi$ be given by $\varphi\left(\left(0, \ldots, w_{a}, \ldots, 0\right)\right)=w_{a}$. Let $\beta_{n}=\varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$. Then $\beta_{n}$ is the stationary Markov process with states $w, w \geq 0, w r=1$. The transition probability of Markov chain $\beta_{n}$ is given by $w m_{a} r$ for the transition

$$
w \rightarrow w m_{a} / w m_{a} r
$$

if $w m_{a} r>0$.
Hence, Blackwell's formula [1] for the entropy hidden Markov chain $\eta_{n}$ is $-E \log \left(\beta_{0} m_{\eta_{1}} r\right)$.

Let $q$ be the distribution of $\beta_{0}$. Then by Blackwell's formula the entropy of sofic dynamical system is equal to

$$
h(T, \mu)=-\int_{W} \sum_{a=0}^{d} \log \left(w m_{a} r\right) w m_{a} r q(d w)
$$

where $W=\{w: w \geq 0, w r=1\}$.
We have

$$
q\left(\left\{w: w=v_{i} m_{0}^{n} / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r, i=1,2, \ldots d, n \geq 0\right\}\right)=1
$$

because for $i \neq 0$

$$
w m_{i} / w m_{i} r=w u_{i} v_{i} / w u_{i} v_{i} r=v_{i} .
$$

Thus $\beta_{n}$ is the countable-state Markov chain with states

$$
v_{i} m_{0}^{n} / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r, \quad i=1, \ldots, d, \quad n \geq 0
$$

For general case these states are different states.
The distribution $q$ is given by the formula

$$
q\left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r\right)=l u_{i} v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r, \quad i=1, \ldots, d, \quad n \geq 0
$$

It is easy to prove this.
The transition probability is given by $v_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} r / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r$ for the transition

$$
v_{i} m_{0}^{n} / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r \rightarrow v_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} r, \quad j \geq 0
$$

Finally

$$
h(T, \mu)=-\sum_{i \geq 1, j \geq 0, n \geq 0} \log \left(v_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} r / v_{i} m_{0}^{n} r\right) v_{i} m_{0}^{n} m_{j} r l u_{i} .
$$

It is strange that Blackwell's formula and our formula coincide one with another, but it is easy to prove this.

## 4. Examples

Example 1. Let

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p_{11} & 0 & p_{13} \\
0 & p_{22} & p_{23} \\
p_{31} & p_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\Phi$ be the function defined by $\Phi(1)=\Phi(2)=0, \Phi(3)=1$. Let

$$
d_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $m_{0}=P d_{0}, m_{1}=P d_{1}$. Here $v_{1}=(0,0,1), u_{1}^{T}=\left(p_{13}, p_{23}, 0\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(n) & =v_{1} m_{0}^{n} u_{1}=p_{31} p_{13} p_{11}^{n}+p_{32} p_{23} p_{22}^{n} \\
l u_{1} & =\frac{p_{13} p_{23}}{p_{13} p_{23}+p_{23} p_{31}+p_{13} p_{12}} \\
h(T, \mu) & =-\sum_{n \geq 0} \log (p(n)) p(n) l u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For Blackwell's example [1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{13} & =p_{32}=p_{22}=\frac{1}{3} \\
p_{11} & =p_{23}=p_{31}=\frac{2}{3} \\
h(T, \mu) & =0.47817623354875 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. "A hidden Markov process is a discrete-time finite-state homogenous Markov chain observed through a memoryless invariant channel is a hidden Markov chain." [4]

Let $P$ be the transition matrix of Markov chain with the states $1, \ldots$, $J$. In this case $m_{a}=P d_{a}$, where $d_{a}$ is a nonnegative diagonal matrix and $\left(d_{a}\right)_{j j}$ is the transition probability of the transition $j \rightarrow a, a=0,1, \ldots, d$.

The following example is given in [7]: $J=d+1$,

$$
\left(d_{a}\right)_{j j}= \begin{cases}1-\varepsilon_{a}, & j=a \\ 0, & j \neq a,\end{cases}
$$

if $a>0$ and

$$
\left(d_{0}\right)_{j j}= \begin{cases}1, & j=0 \\ \varepsilon_{a}, & j>0\end{cases}
$$

Here

$$
m_{a}=P d_{a}, \quad P=m_{0}+\cdots+m_{J}
$$

and $\operatorname{rank}\left(m_{a}\right)=1, a \neq 0$.

The authors of paper [7] obtained a formula for the entropy of hidden Markov chain. They used the matrices $e_{a}=d_{a} P$. But $\mu\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)=$ $l e_{a_{1}} \ldots e_{a_{n}} r$.

We calculate the entropy for two concrete examples from [7].
Let $J=2, d=1, \varepsilon_{1}=0.01$,

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.85 & 0.15 \\
0.28 & 0.72
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $h=h(T, \mu)=0.48545683971057 \ldots(\operatorname{In}[7] h=0.7003661 \ldots)$.
Let $J=3, d=2, \varepsilon_{1}=0.01, \varepsilon_{2}=0.02$ and

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.4 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\
0.25 & 0.45 & 0.3 \\
0.2 & 0.55 & 0.25
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $h=h(T, \mu)=1.05424072438249 \ldots(\operatorname{In}[7] h=0.95961126164044 \ldots)$.
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