

# Complexity of Functions from Some Classes of Three-Valued Logic

D. A. Dagaev

*Moscow State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,  
 Leninskie Gory, Moscow, 119899, Russia*

Received February 18, 2011

**Abstract**—The problem of the realization complexity for functions of the three-valued logic taking values from the set {0, 1} by formulas over incomplete generating systems is considered. Upper and lower asymptotic estimates for the corresponding Shannon functions are obtained.

**DOI:** 10.3103/S0027132211030119

In this paper we consider the problem of the complexity of realization for functions of the three-valued logic taking values from the set {0, 1} by formulas over finite systems. Some results in this direction were obtained in [1]. All definitions can be found in [2–6].

Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Assume  $E_k = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ . By  $E_k^n$  we denote the set of all collections  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in E_k$ . Denote the set of all functions of the  $k$ -valued logic by  $P_k$  and the set of all functions of the three-valued logic taking values from the set  $E_2$  by  $P_{3,2}$ . Let  $G \subseteq P_k$ . Denote the closed class generated by the system  $G$  by  $[G]$  and the set of all functions from  $G$  dependent on the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , by  $G(n)$ . If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [G]$ ,  $\Phi$  is a formula over  $G$  realizing the function  $f$ , and  $F \subseteq [G]$ , then we denote the number of symbols of variables and constants contained in the formula  $\Phi$  (the complexity of the formula  $\Phi$ ) by  $L(\Phi)$ , the complexity of the function  $f$  by  $L_G(f)$ , and the Shannon function for the set  $F$  by  $L_G(F(n))$ . For a variable  $x$  contained in a formula  $\Phi$ , denote the number of occurrences of the variable  $x$  in the formula  $\Phi$  by  $N(\Phi; x)$ .

Lupanov [4] proved that for any complete system of Boolean functions  $G$  the relation

$$L_G(P_2(n)) \sim \frac{2^n}{\log_2 n}$$

holds (see also [2, 3]). It is known [7] that for any finite system  $G \subseteq P_2$  there exists a constant  $c = c(G)$  such that each function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  from  $[G]$  satisfies the inequality  $L_G(f) \leq c^n$ . In [8, 9], for some finite complete bases  $G \subseteq P_k$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , the relation

$$L_G(P_k(n)) \sim \frac{k^n}{\log_k n}$$

was obtained (see also [10]). An example of a sequence of functions of the 4-valued logic whose complexity of realization in the class of formulas over some finite incomplete system has an over-exponential order of growth with respect to the number of variables was given in [11].

We use the following notation from [12] for closed classes of Boolean functions:  $S$  is the set of all self-dual functions;  $T_i$  is the set of all functions preserving the constant  $i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ ;  $M$  is the set of all monotone functions;  $L$  is the set of all linear functions;  $O^\infty$  is the set of all functions satisfying the condition  $\langle 0^\infty \rangle$ ;  $I^\infty$  is the set of all functions satisfying the condition  $\langle 1^\infty \rangle$ ;  $K$  is the set of all conjunctions;  $D$  is the set of all disjunctions;  $U$  is the set of all functions essentially dependent on at most one variable;  $C$  is the set of all functions having no essential variables.

Assume

$$L_i = L \cap T_i, \quad M_i = M \cap T_i, \quad K_i = K \cap T_i, \quad D_i = D \cap T_i, \quad U_i = U \cap T_i, \quad C_i = C \cap T_i, \quad i = 0, 1;$$

$$M_{01} = M_0 \cap M_1, \quad L_{01} = L_0 \cap L_1, \quad K_{01} = K_0 \cap K_1, \quad D_{01} = D_0 \cap D_1, \quad U_{01} = U_0 \cap U_1;$$

$$SU = S \cap U, \quad MU = M \cap U, \quad O_0^\infty = T_0 \cap O^\infty, \quad I_1^\infty = T_1 \cap I^\infty;$$

$$MO^\infty = M \cap O^\infty, \quad MI^\infty = M \cap I^\infty, \quad MO_0^\infty = M \cap O_0^\infty, \quad MI_1^\infty = M \cap I_1^\infty.$$

The projection of a function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P_{3,2}$  is the Boolean function  $\text{pr } f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  whose values on an arbitrary collection  $\tilde{\alpha} \in E_2^n$  are defined by the equality  $\text{pr } f(\tilde{\alpha}) = f(\tilde{\alpha})$ . The projection  $\text{pr } F$  of a set of functions  $F \subseteq P_{3,2}$  is the set  $\bigcup\{\text{pr } f\}$ , where the union is taken over all functions  $f \in F$ . It is not difficult to show that for any closed class  $F \subseteq P_{3,2}$  the set  $\text{pr } F$  is a closed class of Boolean functions.

Let  $B$  be an arbitrary closed class of Boolean functions. Assume

$$\text{pr}^{-1}B = \{f \in P_{3,2} \mid \text{pr } f \in B\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set  $\text{pr}^{-1}B$  is a closed class and any closed class  $F \subseteq P_{3,2}$  such that  $\text{pr } F = B$  satisfies the relation  $F \subseteq \text{pr}^{-1}B$ . Such a class  $\text{pr}^{-1}B$  is called the maximal closed class. Thus, for each closed class of Boolean functions we have the corresponding maximal class of functions from  $P_{3,2}$ . It is known [6] that a closed class  $\text{pr}^{-1}B$  is finitely-generated if and only if  $B \notin \{C, C_0, C_1\}$ .

Denote the function from  $P_{3,2}$  that is equal to 1 for  $x = i$  and to 0 in the other cases by  $j_i(x)$ ,  $i \in E_3$ . By  $k(x)$  we denote the function from  $P_{3,2}$  that is equal to 1 for  $x \in E_2$  and to 0 for  $x = 2$ . By  $x + y$  and  $x \cdot y$  we denote the functions from  $P_{3,2}$  such that for any  $\alpha, \beta \in E_3$  the equalities  $\alpha + \beta = j_1(\alpha) \oplus j_1(\beta)$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta = j_1(\alpha) \& j_1(\beta)$  holds respectively, where  $\oplus$  and  $\&$  are the addition and multiplication modulo 2. Let  $p \in E_3$ . Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_1, x_2) &= j_1(x_1) \cdot k(x_2), & \theta(x_1, x_2) &= j_1(x_1) + j_2(x_2), & \rho_p(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= j_1(x_1) + j_p(x_2) \cdot j_2(x_3); \\ \psi_p(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= j_1(x_3) + j_1(x_1) \cdot j_p(x_2) \cdot j_2(x_3), & \zeta_p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= j_1(x_4) + j_1(x_1) \cdot j_p(x_2) \cdot j_2(x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the projections of the functions  $\delta, \theta, \rho_p, \psi_p, \zeta_p$ , where  $p \in E_3$ , belong to the set  $U_{01}$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{U} = \{j_1, \delta, \theta, \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2\}$ . It is evident that  $\text{pr } \mathfrak{U} \subseteq U_{01}$ . It is known [6] that  $[\mathfrak{U}] = \text{pr}^{-1}U_{01}$  and also that for any closed class of Boolean functions  $B$  different from the classes  $C, C_0, C_1$  and for any set  $A \subseteq P_{3,2}$  such that  $\text{pr } A = B$  the set  $A \cup \mathfrak{U}$  is a generating system for the class  $\text{pr}^{-1}B$ .

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B$  be an arbitrary closed class of Boolean functions such that  $B \notin \{C, C_0, C_1\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}(B)$  be an arbitrary finite subset of the set  $P_{3,2}$  such that  $[\text{pr } \mathfrak{E}(B)] = B$ , and  $G = \mathfrak{E}(B) \cup \mathfrak{U}$ . Then*

$$\frac{3^n}{\log_2 n} \lesssim L_G(\text{pr}^{-1}B(n)) \lesssim \frac{3^n}{\log_2 n} + L_{\text{pr } G}(B(n)).$$

Present here a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1. First, based on the method from [13], we construct a partition of the set  $E_3^r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , into disjoint subsets  $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{T(r)}$  such that the cardinality of the set  $U_0$  satisfies the inequality

$$|U_0| \leq 2^r + r \cdot 2^{r-1} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \cdot 2^{r-2}$$

and each of the sets  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, T(r)$ , possesses the following properties:

- 1)  $U_i$  is a subset of some ball of radius 1;
- 2) there exists  $l = l(i)$  such that  $1 \leq l \leq r$  and the  $l$ th component of each collection from  $U_i$  is equal to 2.

Then we estimate the cardinality  $T(r)$  of the given partition: we prove the inequality

$$T(r) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{3^{r+1}}{r} \cdot \ln r.$$

Further, for each function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , from the maximal class  $\text{pr}^{-1}B$  we construct a certain decomposition. By  $g_f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we denote the function from  $P_{3,2}$  whose values coincide with the values of the function  $f$  on the set  $E_2^n$  and are equal to zero on all collections from  $E_3^n \setminus E_2^n$ , and by  $\hat{h}_f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we denote the function from  $P_{3,2}$  whose values coincide with the values of the function  $f$  on the set  $E_3^n \setminus E_2^n$  and are equal to zero on all collections from  $E_2^n$ . Assume  $h_f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = j_1(x_{n+1}) + \hat{h}_f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . It is evident that the function  $h_f$  belongs to the class  $\text{pr}^{-1}U_{01}$ . It is easy to see that the following equality is valid:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_f(x_1, \dots, x_n, g_f(x_1, \dots, x_n)). \quad (1)$$

In addition, using the partition of the set  $E_3^r$  described above, we construct a representation for the function  $h_f$  which is similar to the third representation of Boolean functions from [3].

After that, we construct a formula  $\Phi_h$  over the system  $G$  realizing the function  $h_f$  so that

$$L_G(\Phi_h) \lesssim \frac{3^n}{\log_2 n}, \quad (2)$$

$$N(\Phi_h; x_{n+1}) = 1. \quad (3)$$

Further, we construct a formula  $\Phi_g$  over  $G$  realizing the function  $g_f$  so that

$$L(\Phi_g) \leq L_{\text{pr}G}(B(n)) + c_1 n, \quad (4)$$

where  $c_1$  is some constant dependent on  $G$ . Equality (1) and relations (2)–(4) imply the upper estimate for the function  $L_G(\text{pr}^{-1}B(n))$ . The lower estimate follows from cardinality considerations (see, e.g., [2, 3]).

It follows from Theorem 1 that the problem of the behavior of the function  $L_G(\text{pr}^{-1}B(n))$  can be reduced in some cases to the problem of the complexity of realization of Boolean functions in incomplete bases (i.e., to the problem of the behavior of the function  $L_{\text{pr}G}(B(n))$ ). In particular, Theorem 1 and previously known upper estimates for the complexity of realization of Boolean functions (see, e.g., [2, 4, 14]) imply asymptotically exact estimates for Shannon functions corresponding to some maximal classes. Thus, the following assertion is valid.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be a closed class of Boolean functions such that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- 1)  $L_{01} \subseteq B$ ;
- 2)  $M_{01} \subseteq B$ ;
- 3)  $B \in \{O^\infty, O_0^\infty, I^\infty, I_1^\infty, MO^\infty, MO_0^\infty, MI^\infty, MI_1^\infty\}$ ;
- 4)  $B \in \{D_{01}, D_0, D_1, D, K_{01}, K_0, K_1, K, U, SU, U_{01}, MU, U_0, U_1\}$ .

*Then there exists a finite system  $G \subseteq P_{3,2}$  such that  $[G] = \text{pr}^{-1}B$  and*

$$L_G(\text{pr}^{-1}B(n)) \sim \frac{3^n}{\log_2 n}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Prof. A. B. Ugol'nikov for attention to the work.

The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 11-01-00508) and by the program of fundamental researches of OMN RAN “Algebraic and combinatorial methods of mathematical cybernetics and informational systems of new generation” (project “Problems of optimal synthesis of control systems”).

#### REFERENCES

1. D. A. Dagaev, “The Complexity of Pseudo-Linear Functions” *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Matem. Mekhan.*, No. 2, 53 (2010).
2. O. B. Luponov, *Asymptotic Estimates for Complexity of Control Systems* (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1984) [in Russian].
3. O. B. Luponov, “On Synthesis of Some Classes of Control Systems,” *Probl. Kibernet.* **10**, 63 (1963).
4. O. B. Luponov, “Complexity of Formula Realization of Functions of Logical Algebra,” *Probl. Kibernet.* **3**, 61 (1960).
5. S. V. Yablonskii, *Introduction to Discrete Mathematics* (Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow, 2008) [in Russian].
6. D. Lau, *Function Algebras on Finite Sets* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006).
7. A. B. Ugol'nikov, “Depth and Complexity of Formulas Realizing Functions from Closed Classes,” *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **298** (6), 1341 (1988).
8. S. B. Gashkov, “Parallel Computation of Some Classes of Polynomials with Increasing Number of Variables,” *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Matem. Mekhan.*, No. 2, 88 (1990).
9. E. Yu. Zakhарова, “Realization of Functions from  $P_k$  by Formulas,” *Matem. Zametki* **11** (1), 99 (1972).
10. S. A. Lozhkin, “Complexity of Realization for Functions of  $k$ -Valued Logic by Formulas and Quasi-Formulas,” in *Proc. XI Intern. Conf. “Problems in Theoretical Cybernetics”, Ul'yanovsk, June 10–14, 1996* (Izd-vo RGGU, Moscow, 1996) [in Russian], pp. 125–127.
11. A. B. Ugol'nikov, “Complexity of Realization for a Certain Sequence of Functions of 4-Valued Logic by Formulas,” *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Matem. Mekhan.* No. 3, 52 (2004).
12. A. B. Ugol'nikov, “On Closed Post Classes,” *Izv. Vuzov, Matem.*, No. 7, 79 (1988).
13. Yu. L. Vasil'ev and V. V. Glagolev, “Metric Properties of Disjunctive Normal Forms,” in *Discrete Mathematics and Mathematical Problems in Cybernetics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1974), Vol. 1, pp. 99–148.
14. A. B. Ugol'nikov, “Synthesis of Schemes and Formulas in Incomplete Bases,” *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **249** (1), 60 (1979).

*Translated by A. Oshemkov*