



Math-Net.Ru

Общероссийский математический портал

V. S. Medvedev, E. V. Zhuzhoma, On a Classification of Chaotic Laminations which are Nontrivial Basic Sets of Axiom A Flows, *Rus. J. Nonlin. Dyn.*, 2023, том 19, номер 2, 227–237

DOI: 10.20537/nd230402

Использование Общероссийского математического портала Math-Net.Ru подразумевает, что вы прочитали и согласны с пользовательским соглашением

<http://www.mathnet.ru/rus/agreement>

Параметры загрузки:

IP: 85.140.3.36

24 августа 2023 г., 14:56:24





MATHEMATICAL PROBLEMS OF NONLINEARITY

MSC 2010: 37D05

On a Classification of Chaotic Laminations which are Nontrivial Basic Sets of Axiom A Flows

V. S. Medvedev, E. V. Zhuzhoma

We prove that, given any $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq q \leq n-1$, there is a closed n -manifold M^n admitting a chaotic lamination of codimension q whose support has the topological dimension $n - q + 1$. For $n = 3$ and $q = 2$, such chaotic laminations can be represented as nontrivial 2-dimensional basic sets of axiom A flows on 3-manifolds. We show that there are two types of compactification (called casings) for a basin of a nonmixing 2-dimensional basic set by a finite family of isolated periodic trajectories. It is proved that an axiom A flow on every casing has repeller-attractor dynamics. For the first type of casing, the isolated periodic trajectories form a fibered link. The second type of casing is a locally trivial fiber bundle over a circle. In the latter case, we classify (up to neighborhood equivalence) such nonmixing basic sets on their casings with solvable fundamental groups. To be precise, we reduce the classification of basic sets to the classification (up to neighborhood conjugacy) of surface diffeomorphisms with one-dimensional basic sets obtained previously by V. Grines, R. Plykin and Yu. Zhironov [16, 28, 31].

Keywords: chaotic lamination, basic set, axiom A flow

Introduction

Roughly speaking, a lamination is a foliation with no singularities on a closed subset of manifold (for exact definitions, see Section 1). Following the definition of Devaney's chaos [10], Churchill [9] introduced the notation of chaotic foliation. A good example of chaotic foliation is a transitive Anosov flow [1]. A natural generalization of chaotic foliation is the notation of chaotic lamination introduced in [33]. By definition, a chaotic lamination is a transitive

Received January 17, 2023

Accepted April 12, 2023

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF), grant No. 22-21-00304.

Vladislav S. Medvedev

medvedev-1942@mail.ru

E. V. Zhuzhoma

zhuzhoma@mail.ru

National Research University Higher School of Economics

ul. Bolshaya Pecherskaya 25/12, Nizhny Novgorod, 603150 Russia

lamination containing a dense subset of compact leaves. Due to Proposition 2 [33], there are no transversally oriented chaotic laminations of codimension one on closed 3-manifolds. However, many examples of chaotic laminations of codimension $q \geq 2$ can be constructed starting with hyperbolic dynamical systems with nontrivial basic sets (see Corollary 1 [33]). The reason for the existence of such examples is the Spectral Decomposition Theorem by Smale [30] saying that each basic set is a transitive set containing a dense subset of periodic orbits.

Our first result is the following generalization of Theorem 2 [33]. Below, \mathbb{R}^k is a k -dimensional Euclidean space, \mathbb{S}^l is an l -dimensional sphere, and \mathbb{T}^s is an s -dimensional torus.

Theorem 1. *Given any $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq q \leq n-1$, there is a closed orientable n -manifold M^n admitting a chaotic lamination Λ of codimension q such that the support of Λ has the topological dimension $n - q + 1$. Moreover, the compact leaves of Λ are homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^{n-q} , while the noncompact leaves are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$.*

For the particular case $n = 3$ and $q = 2$, Theorem 1 was proved in [33]. Nontrivial 2-dimensional basic sets of axiom A flows on 3-manifolds are a good example of chaotic laminations (for basic definitions and notation of the theory of dynamical systems, see the books [3, 19, 29] and surveys [17, 30]). Such basic sets give codimension two chaotic laminations whose supports have the topological dimension 2.

Later on, we consider carefully chaotic laminations that are nontrivial 2-dimensional basic sets of axiom A flows (in short, A-flows) on closed orientable 3-manifolds. It was proved in [24] that a nontrivial 2-dimensional basic set of A-flow on a closed orientable 3-manifold is either an expanding attractor or a contracting repeller. For definiteness, we will consider two-dimensional basic sets that are attractors.

Let f^t be an A-flow on a closed 3-manifold M^3 such that f^t has a 2-dimensional attractor Λ_a . Since Λ_a is a codimension one attractor, the stable manifold $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ called a basin of Λ_a is an open 3-dimensional submanifold of M^3 . We know that Λ_a has a local fractal structure [28]. Therefore, the complement $M^3 \setminus W^s(\Lambda_a)$ of $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ can have a complicated topological structure (in the example by Franks and Williams [12], such a complement is locally homeomorphic to the product of a Cantor set and a 2-dimensional plane). It is natural to try to embed the basin $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ into a topological or smooth manifold L with the simplest complement $L \setminus W^s(\Lambda_a)$ and the simplest dynamics. By (topological or smooth) compactification of $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ we mean a (topological or smooth) closed manifold L containing an embedding of $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ such that the complement $L \setminus W^s(\Lambda_a)$ consists of finitely many compact components with a topological structure which is simple in a sense (we will call such a compactification a *casings*). To get such compactifications (and corresponding casings), we consider for simplicity nonmixing attractors Λ_a . The next result says that there are two kinds of smooth compactification of the basin $W^s(\Lambda_a)$, and both compactifications lead to simple dynamics of attractor-repeller type on the corresponding casings.

Theorem 2. *Let f^t be an A-flow on an orientable closed 3-manifold M^3 such that the nonwandering set $NW(f^t)$ contains a 2-dimensional nonmixing attractor Λ_a . Then there are two compactifications $M(\Lambda_a)$ and $N(\Lambda_a)$ of the basin $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ by the family of circles l_1, \dots, l_k such that*

- both $M(\Lambda_a)$ and $N(\Lambda_a)$ are closed smooth orientable 3-manifolds;
- the restriction $f^t|_{W^s(\Lambda_a)}$ is extended continuously to the structurally stable nonsingular flows \tilde{f}_M^t and \tilde{f}_N^t on $M(\Lambda_a)$ and $N(\Lambda_a)$, respectively, with the nonwandering set consisting of the attractor Λ_a and the repelling isolated periodic trajectories l_1, \dots, l_k ;



- the family $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\} \subset M(\Lambda_a)$ is a fibered link in $M(\Lambda_a)$;
- the manifold $N(\Lambda_a)$ is the total space of a locally trivial fiber bundle over a circle;
- the flow \tilde{f}_N^t is topologically equivalent to the dynamical suspension $\text{sus}(\vartheta)$ over some structurally stable diffeomorphism $\vartheta: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ of a closed surface $M^2 \subset N(\Lambda_a)$ with the non-wandering set $NW(\vartheta)$ consisting of the expanding one-dimensional attractor $\lambda_a = \Lambda_a \cap M^2$ and the isolated repelling periodic orbits $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\} \cap M^2$.

After Theorem 2, it is natural to consider the problem of classification for structurally stable flows with two-dimensional basic sets which are dynamical suspensions over structurally stable surface diffeomorphisms with one-dimensional basic sets.

Let $f_i: M_i \rightarrow M_i$ be a diffeomorphism and Ω_i an invariant set of f_i , $i = 1, 2$. Recall that the restrictions $f_1|_{\Omega_1}, f_2|_{\Omega_2}$ are *neighborhood conjugate* if there is a homeomorphism $h: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ such that $h(\Omega_1) = \Omega_2$ and $f_2 \circ h|_{\Omega_1} = h \circ f_1|_{\Omega_1}$ [15, 19, 34]. Let f_i^t be a flow on a manifold N_i and Λ_i an invariant set of f_i^t , $i = 1, 2$. We say that the restrictions $f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1}, f_2^t|_{\Lambda_2}$ of f_1^t, f_2^t on the invariant sets Λ_1, Λ_2 , respectively, are *neighborhood equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ taking any trajectory from Λ_1 onto a trajectory from Λ_2 preserving a time direction. The first step to solve the problem of classification for structurally stable flows with two-dimensional basic sets which are dynamical suspensions over structurally stable surface diffeomorphisms with one-dimensional basic sets is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for neighborhood equivalence. The following result says that the neighborhood equivalence of such flows reduces to the neighborhood conjugacy of corresponding structurally stable surface diffeomorphisms provided that support manifolds have a solvable fundamental group. We restrict ourselves to orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms and orientable basic sets.

Theorem 3. *Let f_i^t be a structurally stable flow that is a dynamical suspension $\text{sus}(\vartheta_i)$ over an orientation-preserving structurally stable diffeomorphism $\vartheta_i: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ of a closed orientable surface M^2 such that ϑ_i has a one-dimensional orientable basic set λ_i (thus, f_i^t has a two-dimensional orientable basic set Λ_i), $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_1}^3), \pi_1(M_{\vartheta_2}^3)$ of the supporting manifolds $M_{\vartheta_1}^3, M_{\vartheta_2}^3$ for f_1^t and f_2^t , respectively, are solvable. Then the flows $f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1}, f_2^t|_{\Lambda_2}$ are neighborhood equivalent if and only if the diffeomorphisms $\vartheta_1|_{\lambda_1}, \vartheta_2|_{\lambda_2}$ are neighborhood conjugate.*

Let f^t be a flow satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3. To be precise, f^t is a structurally stable flow that is a dynamical suspension $\text{sus}(\vartheta)$ over an orientation-preserving structurally stable diffeomorphism $\vartheta: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ of a closed orientable surface M^2 such that ϑ has a one-dimensional orientable basic set λ . This implies that f^t has a two-dimensional orientable basic set Λ . Grines and Plykin [15, 16, 28] introduced the complete invariant of neighborhood conjugacy for the diffeomorphism $\vartheta|_{\lambda}$. This invariant has in a sense an algebraic nature concerning an action in the fundamental group of casing for λ . Zhiron [31, 32] introduced a more convenient complete invariant of neighborhood conjugacy for the diffeomorphism $\vartheta|_{\lambda}$ ¹. This invariant is combinatorial, and there is a finite algorithm deciding either two invariants coincide or not. It is natural to assign Zhiron's invariant denoted by $Z(f^t|_{\lambda})$ to the flow f^t (it would be interesting to construct Zhiron's invariant $Z(f^t|_{\lambda})$ directly for the flow f^t). The following statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.

¹Actually, Grines, Plykin and Zhiron constructed complete invariants for the more wide classes of diffeomorphisms and homeomorphisms.

Corollary 1. *Let f_i^t be a structurally stable flow that is a dynamical suspension $\text{sus}(\vartheta_i)$ over an orientation-preserving structurally stable diffeomorphism $\vartheta_i: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ of a closed orientable surface M^2 such that ϑ_i has a one-dimensional orientable basic set λ_i (thus, f_i^t has a two-dimensional orientable basic set Λ_i), $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_1}^3)$, $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_2}^3)$ of the supporting manifolds $M_{\vartheta_1}^3$, $M_{\vartheta_2}^3$ for f_1^t and f_2^t , respectively, are solvable. Then the flows $f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1}$, $f_2^t|_{\Lambda_1}$ are neighborhood equivalent if and only if Zhirov's invariants $Z(f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1})$, $Z(f_2^t|_{\Lambda_2})$ coincide.*

We mention some results concerning the subject. Chaotic foliations were considered in [6]. High-dimensional flows with nonmixing codimension one basic sets were classified in [4]. Laminations and its applications in dynamical systems were considered in the book [19].

The structure of the article is as follows. Section 1 provides preliminary information and results. The main theorems are proved in Section 2.

1. Preliminaries

Here, we introduce the main definitions and notions. In addition, we prove Lemma 1, which we need in the proof of Theorem 3.

Chaotic laminations. Following [2], we introduce the definition of local lamination, see also [19]. For simplicity, we actually give the definition of local lamination without singularities. Let M^n be an n -manifold, $n \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{M} \subset M^n$ a subset which can coincide with M^n . Suppose \mathcal{M} is a union $\bigcup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}$ of pairwise disjoint connected injectively embedded d -manifolds, $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, where α runs some set of indexes. The family $\{L_{\alpha}\}$ denoted by \mathcal{D} is called a *local lamination* if, given any point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a neighborhood $U(x) \subset M^n$ of x and a C^r -diffeomorphism $\psi: U(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \geq 0$, such that any component of the intersection $U(x) \cap L_{\alpha}$ (if nonempty) is mapped under ψ onto a hyperplane $x_{d+1} = c_1, \dots, x_n = c_{n-d}$. The set \mathcal{M} is called a *support* of the local lamination \mathcal{D} . Each L_{α} is called a *leaf*. A component of the intersection $L_{\alpha} \cap U(x)$ is called a *local leaf*. This local leaf induces an interior topology on every leaf L_{α} . Keeping such a topology in mind, we will say that a leaf is closed, compact or homeomorphic to some manifold.

The notation of local lamination is a generalization of notation of foliation and lamination. If the support \mathcal{M} coincides with the manifold M^n , then a local lamination \mathcal{D} is a *foliation* (sometimes, it is said to be a foliation without singularities). A good example of foliation is provided by trajectories of nonsingular flow. For other examples of foliations, see [8, 26]. If the support \mathcal{M} is a closed subset of M^n , then a local lamination is called a *lamination*. A good example of lamination is a geodesic lamination. Many examples of laminations have dynamical origins [19].

Let \mathcal{L} be a lamination and $|\mathcal{L}|$ the support of \mathcal{L} . The lamination \mathcal{L} is called *transitive* if \mathcal{L} has a leaf that is dense in the support $|\mathcal{L}|$. A lamination \mathcal{L} is called *chaotic* if \mathcal{L} is transitive and the set of closed leaves of \mathcal{L} is dense in $|\mathcal{L}|$.

The notation of chaotic foliation was induced by the notation of a chaotic dynamical system introduced by Devaney [10]. Recall that a dynamical system is chaotic in the Devaney sense provided that the following conditions hold:

- 1) the dynamical system is transitive (there is a dense orbit) and the set of periodic orbits is dense in the phase space of the dynamical system;
- 2) there is a sensitive dependence on initial conditions.



In the paper [5], it was proved that condition (1) implies condition (2). This allowed Churchill [9] to introduce the notation of chaotic foliation as follows. A foliation \mathcal{F} on a manifold M is called *chaotic* if \mathcal{F} is transitive (there is a leaf that is dense in M) and the set of closed leaves of \mathcal{F} is dense in M . We see that the notation of chaotic lamination generalizes the notation of chaotic foliation (a chaotic lamination becomes a chaotic foliation when a support of the lamination becomes a supporting manifold).

Hyperbolic invariant sets. Let f^t be a smooth flow on a closed n -manifold M^n , $n \geq 3$. A subset $\Lambda \subset M^n = M$ is *invariant* provided that Λ consists of trajectories of f^t . An invariant nonsingular set $\Lambda \subset M$ is called *hyperbolic* if the subbundle $T_\Lambda M$ of the tangent bundle TM can be represented as a Df^t -invariant continuous splitting $E_\Lambda^{ss} \oplus E_\Lambda^t \oplus E_\Lambda^{uu}$ such that

- 1) $\dim E_\Lambda^{ss} + \dim E_\Lambda^t + \dim E_\Lambda^{uu} = n$;
- 2) E_Λ^t is the line bundle tangent to the trajectories of the flow f^t ;
- 3) there are $C_s > 0$, $C_u > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$\|df^t(v)\| \leq C_s \lambda^t \|v\|, \quad v \in E_\Lambda^{ss}; \quad \|df^{-t}(v)\| \leq C_u \lambda^t \|v\|, \quad v \in E_\Lambda^{uu}, \quad t > 0.$$

A singular point x is hyperbolic if x is an isolated hyperbolic equilibrium state. The topological structure of flow near x is described by the Grobman–Hartman theorem, see, for example, [29]. In this case $E_x^t = 0$ and $\dim E_\Lambda^{ss} + \dim E_\Lambda^{uu} = n$.

If Λ does not contain fixed points, then the bundles $E_\Lambda^{uu} \oplus E_\Lambda^t = E_\Lambda^u$, $E_\Lambda^{ss} \oplus E_\Lambda^t = E_\Lambda^s$, E_Λ^{uu} , E_Λ^{ss} are uniquely integrable [22, 30]. The corresponding leaves $W^u(x)$, $W^s(x)$, $W^{uu}(x)$, $W^{ss}(x)$ through a point $x \in \Lambda$ are called *unstable*, *stable*, *strongly unstable*, and *strongly stable manifolds*, respectively.

A-flows. Given a set $U \subset M^n$, denote by $f_{t_0}(U)$ the shift of U along the trajectories of f^t at time t_0 . Recall that a point x is nonwandering if, given any neighborhood U of x and a number $T_0 > 0$, there is $t_0 \geq T_0$ such that $U \cap f_{t_0}(U) \neq \emptyset$. The *nonwandering set* $NW(f^t)$ of f^t is the union of all nonwandering points.

Denote by $\text{Fix}(f^t)$ the set of fixed points of f^t . Following Smale [30], we shall call f^t an *A-flow* provided that its nonwandering set $NW(f^t)$ is hyperbolic and the periodic trajectories are dense in $NW(f^t) \setminus \text{Fix}(f^t)$. A-flows are a wide class of dynamical systems containing structurally stable flows including Anosov flows and Morse–Smale flows [1, 20].

According to Smale’s Spectral Decomposition Theorem [21, 30], the nonwandering set of A-flow is a disjoint union of closed, and invariant, and transitive sets called *basic sets*. A basic set is called *trivial* if it is either an isolated singularity or an isolated periodic trajectory. Otherwise, a basic set is *nontrivial*. Any nontrivial basic set of A-flow has the topological dimension no less than one, and a supporting manifold admitting a nontrivial basic set has the dimension no less than three.

Fibered links. Recall that a *link* in a 3-manifold M^3 is a collection of disjoint embedded circles $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\} \subset M^3$. The link $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ is *fibered* if $M^3 \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i\right)$ is the total space of fiber bundle $p: (M^3 \setminus L) \rightarrow S^1$ and the boundary of the fibers $p^{-1}(\cdot)$ is L . In addition, the fibers $p^{-1}(\cdot)$ meet L nicely. To be precise, consider the solid torus $\mathbb{P}_0 = S^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ called a *canonical solid torus*. Here, S^1 is a circle endowed with the cyclic coordinate ϑ , and \mathbb{D}^2 a unit disk $\mathbb{D}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$. Set $S^1 = \partial\mathbb{D}^2$. The mapping $p_0(\vartheta, z) = \frac{z}{|z|}$, $\vartheta \in S^1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, is the fiber bundle

$$p_0: S^1 \times (\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow S^1$$

over S^1 with the fiber an annulus denoted by A_0 . There is a tubular neighborhood $T(l_i)$ of l_i homeomorphic to \mathbb{P}_0 (so, we can assume $T(l_i) = \mathbb{P}_0$) such that $T(l_i) \setminus \{l_i\} = \mathbb{P}_0 \setminus (S^1 \times \{0\})$. By definition, $p|_{T(l_i) \setminus \{l_i\}}$ is isomorphic to p_0 , $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Next, we need the following statement.

Lemma 1. *Let M_φ^3 be a mapping torus that is the total space of the fiber bundle over a circle and a fiber a closed orientable surface M^2 . Suppose that M_φ^3 is an orientable closed 3-manifold with a solvable fundamental group. Then M^2 is either S^2 or \mathbb{T}^2 .*

Proof. The combinatorial description of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M_\varphi^3)$ is as follows:

\langle the generators of $\pi_1(M^2)$, $c \mid$ the relations in $\pi_1(M^2)$, $c \cdot \gamma \cdot c^{-1} = \varphi_*(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \pi_1(M^2) \rangle$.

Clearly, $\pi_1(M^2)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(M_\varphi^3)$. Since each subgroup of the solvable group is a solvable group, $\pi_1(M^2)$ is a solvable group. Hence, M^2 is either S^2 or \mathbb{T}^2 because the fundamental groups of closed surfaces of Euler characteristic less than zero are not solvable. \square

2. Proofs of main results

In this section we prove the main results.

Proof of Theorem 1. Choose and fix $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq q \leq n - 1$. Since a basic set of A-flow is transitive and periodic trajectories are dense in the basic set, any nontrivial basic set is a one-dimensional chaotic lamination. By Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 [24], any closed orientable $(q + 1)$ -manifold M^{q+1} admits an A-flow f^t such that the nonwandering set $NW(f^t)$ contains a two-dimensional attractor. As a consequence, M^{q+1} admits a one-dimensional chaotic lamination, say Λ , of the topological dimension 2. Then the n -manifold $M^n = M^{q+1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$ admits an $(n - q)$ -dimensional (i. e., codimension q) lamination $\Lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$ with the topological dimension $n - q + 1$. A product of periodic trajectory and \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1} gives a compact leaf which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^{n-q} . A product of nonperiodic trajectory and \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1} gives a noncompact leaf homeomorphic $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$. Since periodic trajectories are dense in Λ , the compact leaves are dense in $\Lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$. It follows from a transitivity of Λ that $\Lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$ contains a leaf which is dense in $\Lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$. Hence, $\Lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{n-q-1}$ is also a chaotic lamination. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. According to [7], the restriction $f^t|_{\Lambda_a}$ of f^t on Λ_a is a dynamical τ -time suspension over some homeomorphism $\varphi_*: \Pi_0 \rightarrow \Pi_0$ where Π_0 is the topological closure of $W^{uu}(x_0)$, $x_0 \in \Lambda_a$. Taking a circle S^1 as $[0; \tau]/0 \simeq \tau$, one gets the fiber bundle $p_a: \Lambda_a \rightarrow S^1 = [0; \tau]/0 \simeq \tau$ with the fiber Π_0 , where $p_a(x) = t$ provided that $x \in f_t(\Pi_0)$. Due to Lemma 3 [24], this fiber bundle structure can be extended to some attracting neighborhood $U(\Lambda_a)$ of Λ_a such that

- the boundary $\partial U(\Lambda_a)$ is transversal to f^t and consists of finitely many components T_i^2 , $i = 1, \dots, k$, where each T_i^2 is homeomorphic to the 2-torus \mathbb{T}^2 ;
- the flow f^t in $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ has a global section S that is a locally flat surface transversal to $\partial U(\Lambda_a)$, and the intersection $S \cap \partial U(\Lambda_a)$ consists of pairwise disjoint closed simple curves;



- there is the fiber bundle $P_W: W^s(\Lambda_a) \rightarrow S^1 = [0; \tau]/0 \simeq \tau$ with the fiber S where $P_W(x) = t$ provided that $x \in f_t(S)$; moreover, the fibers form a foliation denoted by F_W .

The idea of the next speculations is to glue solid tori to the boundary $\partial U(\Lambda_a)$ extending the fiber bundle P_W .

The transversality of each T_i^2 to the trajectories of f^t and the inclusion $U(\Lambda_a) \subset W^s(\Lambda_a)$ imply that every positive semitrajectory starting at a point of T_i^2 belongs to $U(\Lambda_a)$ and never intersects again $\bigcup_{j=1} T_j^2$. It follows that the union $T_a = \bigcup_{j=1} T_j^2$ divides $W^s(\Lambda_a)$ into two domains $U(\Lambda_a)$ and $U_{out} = W^s(\Lambda_a) \setminus U(\Lambda_a)$. Clearly, every negative semitrajectory starting at a point of T_a belongs to U_{out} and never intersects T_a again. Keeping in mind the continuous dependence of trajectories on initial conditions, one finds that U_{out} is homeomorphic (in the interior topology) to $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i^2\right) \times (-\infty; 0)$ that is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^k (T_i^2 \times (-\infty; 0)) = U_{out}$. To construct compactifications of $W^s(\Lambda_a)$, it is enough to construct compactifications for every $T_i^2 \times (-\infty; 0)$ by a circle.

Let $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$ be the *canonical solid torus*. Denote by \vec{v} a vector field on \mathbb{P}_0 such that \vec{v} is transversal to the boundary $\partial\mathbb{P}_0$ directed outside of \mathbb{P}_0 , and suppose that \vec{v} has a unique periodic trajectory $l_0 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ that is a repeller of \vec{v} . Using a homeomorphism $\vartheta_i: \partial\mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow T_i^2$, one can construct a homeomorphism $\tilde{\vartheta}_i: \mathbb{P}_0 \setminus \{l_0\} \rightarrow T_i^2 \times (-\infty; 0] = T_i^3$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ as follows. Take a point $z \in \mathbb{P}_0 \setminus \{l_0\}$, and let F_0^t be a flow induced by the vector field \vec{v} . Denote by F_t a shift (the so-called t -shift) along the trajectories of F^t at time t . It is well known that F^t is a diffeomorphism for any fixed t . By the definition of \vec{v} , there is a unique $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $F_{t_0}(z) \in \partial\mathbb{P}_0$. Denote by f_t the t -shift along the trajectories of t^t . Put by definition $\tilde{\vartheta}_i(z) = f_{-t_0} \circ \vartheta_i \circ F_{t_0}(z)$.

The sets T_1^3, \dots, k are pairwise disjoint because each T_i^3 is generated by negative semitrajectories starting on T_i^2 , an $T_i^2 \cap T_j^2 = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. We see that

$$W^s(\Lambda_a) = U(\Lambda_a) \bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i^3 = U(\Lambda_a) \bigcup_{i=1}^k \tilde{\vartheta}_i^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_0 \setminus \{l_0\}).$$

To finish some compactification, we take copies l_1, \dots, l_k of l_0 and introduce a topological structure on every set $T_i^3 \cup l_i = \tilde{\vartheta}_i^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_0 \setminus \{l_0\}) \cup l_i$ separately as follows. Take the set $T_i^3 \cup l_i$ where $l_i = l_0$. Remark that T_i^3 is endowed with the initial topology induced by M^3 . Given a point $x \in l_i = l_0$, let $U(x)$ be a neighborhood of $x \in l_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_0$ in the solid torus \mathbb{P}_0 . Clearly, $U(x) \setminus \{l_0\}$ is an open set in \mathbb{P}_0 . Therefore, $\tilde{\vartheta}_i(U(x) \setminus \{l_0\}) = \tilde{U}(x)$ is an open set in T_i^3 . We consider the union $\tilde{U}(x) \cup (U(x) \cap l_0)$ as a neighborhood of x in $T_i^3 \cup l_i$. It is easy to see that the set of such neighborhoods introduces the topological structure on $T_i^3 \cup l_i$. This gives the compactification of T_i^3 by the closed curve l_i for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. As a consequence, one gets the compactification $W^s(\Lambda_a) \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i$ denoted by $M(\Lambda_a)_{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k}$. One can easily check that $M(\Lambda_a)_{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k}$ is a closed topological manifold. Due to [25], every topological 3-dimensional manifold admits a unique structure of smooth manifold which is an extension of previous topological structure. Hence, $M(\Lambda_a, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k)$ is endowed with the structure of a smooth manifold which is the extension of the smooth structure on $W^s(\Lambda_a)$. Below, we describe the homeomorphisms $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k$ in detail to get the compactification $N(\Lambda_a)$.

Let P_i^3 be a copy of \mathbb{P}_0 , and $\vec{v}_i = \vec{v}$ the vector field with closed curve $l_i = l_0$ in P_i^3 , $i = 1, \dots, k$. By construction, $N(\Lambda_a, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k) = U(\Lambda_a) \cup_{\vartheta_1} P_1^3 \cup \dots \cup_{\vartheta_k} P_k^3$. Slightly deforming the vector fields \vec{v}_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, one can assume that these fields and the restriction $f^t|_{U(\Lambda_a)}$ form the smooth flow \tilde{f}^t that is the extension of f^t to $N(\Lambda_a, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k)$. Clearly, $NW(\tilde{f}^t) = \Lambda_a \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i$. Since l_i are repelling periodic trajectories of \vec{v}_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, the trajectories l_1, \dots, l_k are repelling isolated periodic trajectories of \tilde{f}^t .

Take the foliation F_W generated by the fibers of the bundle P_W . By construction, given any T_i^2 , the intersections of the leaves with T_i^2 form a rational foliation $F(T_i^2)$ such that each leaf of $F(T_i^2)$ belongs to a leaf of F_W . Therefore, P_W induces the fiber bundle $P_W|_{U(\Lambda_a)} : U(\Lambda_a) \rightarrow S^1 = [0; \tau]/0 \simeq \tau$ such that the restriction $F_W|_{U(\Lambda_a)}$ of F_W on $U(\Lambda_a)$ is a foliation whose leaves are the fibers of the bundle $P_W|_{U(\Lambda_a)}$. This gives the continuation of the fiber bundle $P_W|_{U(\Lambda_a)}$ to T_i^3 , $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The intersections of these leaves with \mathbb{T}^2 produce the rational foliation F_0 generated by parallels on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \partial\mathbb{P}_0$. We know that rational foliations are topologically equivalent [3, 27]. Hence, there are the mappings $\vartheta_i : \partial\mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow T_i^2$ taking the leaves of the foliation F_0 to the leaves of $F(T_i^2)$. This gives the continuation of the fiber bundle $P_W|_{U(\Lambda_a)}$ to T_i^3 , $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Let μ_α , $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be the family of meridians on the solid torus $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2$, and these meridians form a rational foliation. Each meridian bounds a disk $\{\cdot\} \times \mathbb{D}^2$ that is transversal to the vector field \vec{v} . Recall that S is the global section of the flow f^t in $U(\Lambda_a)$, S is transversal to f^t , and the intersection $S \cap T_i^2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, consists of closed simple curves s_1, \dots, s_{j_i} . It follows that s_1, \dots, s_{j_i} belong to the same nonzero homotopy class. Therefore, there is ϑ_i taking some meridians $\mu_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \mu_{\alpha,j_i}$ to the curves s_1, \dots, s_{j_i} . The final flow \tilde{f}^t becomes \tilde{f}_N^t . The locally flat surface S and the disks bounded by the meridians $\mu_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \mu_{\alpha,j_i}$ form the closed locally flat embedded surface which can be approximated by a smooth surface M^2 such that M^2 is a global section for the flow \tilde{f}_N^t . Thus, by construction, \tilde{f}_N^t is a dynamical suspension $sus(\vartheta)$ over some A-diffeomorphism $\vartheta : M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ with the nonwandering set $NW(\vartheta)$ consisting of the expanding one-dimensional attractor $\lambda_a = \Lambda_a \cap M^2$ and the isolated repelling periodic orbits $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\} \cap M^2$.

Obviously, the unstable manifolds of the repelling periodic trajectories l_1, \dots, l_k are three-dimensional open submanifolds of M^3 . Hence, they intersect transversally the two-dimensional stable manifolds of the points of Λ_a . Since the nonwandering set $NW(\tilde{f}^t) = \Lambda_a \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i$ has a hyperbolic structure, \tilde{f}_N^t is an A-flow satisfying a strong transversality condition. It follows from [20] that \tilde{f}_N^t is a structurally stable nonsingular flow.

The compactification $M(\Lambda_a)$ and the flow \tilde{f}_M^t were constructed in [24]. For the reader's convenience, we give a sketch of the proof. By construction above,

$$M(\Lambda_a, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_k) = U(\Lambda_a) \cup_{\vartheta_1} P_1^3 \cup \dots \cup_{\vartheta_k} P_k^3,$$

where P_i^3 is a copy of \mathbb{P}_0 , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Recall (see Section 1) that $p_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \times (\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow S^1$ is the fiber bundle where $p_0(\vartheta, z) = \frac{z}{|z|}$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \partial\mathbb{D}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbb{D}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$. The fibers $p_0^{-1}(\cdot)$ form a foliation denoted by \mathcal{F} . The leaves of \mathcal{F} are annuli transversal to the

boundary $\mathbb{T}^2 = \partial\mathbb{P}_0$. The intersections of these leaves with \mathbb{T}^2 produce the rational foliation F_0 generated by parallels on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \partial\mathbb{P}_0$. We know that rational foliations are topologically equivalent [3, 27]. Hence, there are the mappings $\vartheta_i: \partial\mathbb{P}_0 \rightarrow T_i^2$ taking the leaves of the foliation F_0 to the leaves of $F(T_i^2)$. It follows that the collection $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ is a fibered link in $M(\Lambda_a)$. Again, due to [20], \tilde{f}^t is a structurally stable flow. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Obviously, if the diffeomorphisms $\vartheta_1|_{\lambda_1}, \vartheta_2|_{\lambda_2}$ are neighborhood conjugate, the flows $f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1}, f_2^t|_{\Lambda_2}$ are neighborhood equivalent. So, we have to prove the converse statement.

Suppose that the flows $f_1^t|_{\Lambda_1}, f_2^t|_{\Lambda_2}$ are neighborhood equivalent. Hence, there is a homeomorphism $h: M_{\vartheta_1}^3 \rightarrow M_{\vartheta_2}^3$ taking each trajectory of the flow f_1^t onto a trajectory of f_2^t preserving the direction of time. According to Lemma 1, M_1^2 is either a sphere or a torus because the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_1}^3), \pi_1(M_{\vartheta_2}^3)$ are solvable.

Since the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_1}^3), \pi_1(M_{\vartheta_2}^3)$ are isomorphic, both M_1^2 and M_2^2 are either spheres or both M_1^2 and M_2^2 are tori. If each M_1^2 and M_2^2 is a sphere, then the result follows from [23], Theorem 4.1 (see also Corollary 4.3) where it was proved that dynamical suspensions over homeomorphisms over a sphere are orbitally topologically equivalent if and only if the corresponding homeomorphisms are conjugate.

Assume that M_i^2 is a torus denoted by $T_i^2, i = 1, 2$. Recall that the fundamental group $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_i}^3)$ is generated by $\pi_1(T_i^2)$ and the element l_i represented by the embedding of a circle S^1 in $M_{\vartheta_i}^3$, see Section 1. Clearly, the fundamental group $\pi_1(T_i^2)$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M_{\vartheta_i}^3), i = 1, 2$. According to Grines [15], the isomorphism $(\vartheta_i)_*: \pi_1(T_i^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(T_i^2)$ induced by $\vartheta_i: T_i^2 \rightarrow T_i^2$ is hyperbolic. Since $\pi_1(T_i^2)$ is isomorphic to the homology group $H_1(T_i^2)$, the isomorphism $(\vartheta_i)_*$ is represented by unimodular integer matrices which define the linear mapping of T_i^2 denoted by the same symbol $(\vartheta_i)_*: T_i^2 \rightarrow T_i^2$. It follows from [11] that there is a continuous map $h_i: T_i^2 \rightarrow T_i^2$ such that $(\vartheta_i)_* \circ h_i = h_i \circ \vartheta_i$. Since $(\vartheta_i)_*$ is hyperbolic, $(\vartheta_i)_*$ is an Anosov diffeomorphism. We see that h_i is a semiconjugacy from ϑ_i to the Anosov diffeomorphism $(\vartheta_i)_*$. Clearly, the dynamical suspension $sus(\vartheta_i)_*$ is an Anosov flow on the mapping torus $M_{(\vartheta_i)_*}^3$. The semiconjugacy h_i can be extended to the semiconjugacy $\bar{h}_i: M_{\vartheta_i}^3 \rightarrow M_{(\vartheta_i)_*}^3$ from $f_i^t = sus(\vartheta_i)$ to $sus(\vartheta_i)_*$. Following [4] (see also [18]), put by definition

$$B_i = \left\{ z \in M_{(\vartheta_i)_*}^3 \mid \bar{h}_i^{-1}(z) \text{ consists of more than one point} \right\}.$$

It follows from [14] that $h_*(\pi_1(T_1^2)) = \pi_1(T_2^2)$ and $h_*(l_1) = l_2$. Applying [13], Aranson and Zhuzhoma [4], Theorem 1, proved that there is a mapping $\psi: M_{(\vartheta_1)_*}^3 \rightarrow M_{(\vartheta_2)_*}^3$ taking B_1 to B_2 such that the restriction $\psi|_{T_1^2 \times \{0\}}: T_1^2 \times \{0\} \rightarrow T_2^2 \times \{0\}$ is a linear map. It follows from [15] that $\vartheta_1|_{\lambda_1}, \vartheta_2|_{\lambda_2}$ are neighborhood conjugate. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Nina Zhukova for useful discussions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Anosov, D. V., Geodesic Flows on Closed Riemannian Manifolds of Negative Curvature, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 1967, vol. 90, pp. 1–235; see also: *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 1967, vol. 90, pp. 3–210.
- [2] Anosov, D. V. and Zhuzhoma, E. V., Nonlocal Asymptotic Behavior of Curves and Leaves of Laminations on Universal Coverings, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 2005, no. 2 (249), pp. 1–219; see also: *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 2005, vol. 249, pp. 3–239.
- [3] Aranson, S. Kh., Belitsky, G. R., and Zhuzhoma, E. V., *Introduction to the Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems on Surfaces*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 153, Providence, R.I.: AMS, 1996.
- [4] Aranson, S. Kh. and Zhuzhoma, E. V., On the Classification of Codimension-One Attractors without Mixing, *Selecta Math. Soviet.*, 1992, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 327–332.
- [5] Banks, J., Brooks, J., Cairns, G., Davis, G., and Stacey, P., On Devaney’s Definition of Chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 1992, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 332–334.
- [6] Bazaikin, Ya. V., Galaev, A. S., and Zhukova, N. I., Chaos in Cartan Foliations, *Chaos*, 2020, vol. 30, no. 10, 103116, 9 pp.
- [7] Bowen, R., Periodic Orbits for Hyperbolic Flows, *Amer. J. Math.*, 1972, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 1–30.
- [8] Candel, A. and Conlon, L., *Foliations: 1*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 23, Providence, R.I.: AMS, 2000.
- [9] Churchill, R. C., On Defining Chaos in the Absence of Time, in *Deterministic Chaos in General Relativity (Kananaskis, AB, 1993)*, D. Hobill, A. Burd, A. Coley (Eds.), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys. Sci., vol. 332, New York: Plenum, 1994, pp. 107–112.
- [10] Devaney, R. L., *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, 2nd ed., New York: Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [11] Franks, J., Anosov Diffeomorphisms, in *Global Analysis: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. (Berkeley, Calif., 1968): Vol. 14*, Providence, R.I.: AMS, 1970, pp. 61–93.
- [12] Franks, J. and Williams, B., Anomalous Anosov Flows, in *Global Theory of Dynamical Systems: Proc. Internat. Conf. (Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1979)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 819, Berlin: Springer, 1980, pp. 158–174.
- [13] Fried, D., The Geometry of Cross Sections to Flows, *Topology*, 1982, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 353–371.
- [14] Ghys, E. and Sergiescu, V., Stabilité et conjugaison différentiable pour certains feuilletages, *Topology*, 1980, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 179–197.
- [15] Grines, V. Z., The Topological Conjugacy of Diffeomorphisms of a Two-Dimensional Manifold on One-Dimensional Orientable Basic Sets: 2, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 1978, vol. 34, pp. 237–245; see also: *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc.*, 1977, vol. 34, pp. 243–252.
- [16] Grines, V. Z., On Topological Classification of A -Diffeomorphisms of Surfaces, *J. Dynam. Control Systems*, 2000, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 97–126.
- [17] Grines, V. Z., Gurevich, E. Ya., Zhuzhoma, E. V., and Pochinka, O. V., Classification of Morse–Smale Systems and the Topological Structure of Underlying Manifolds, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 2019, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 37–110; see also: *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 2019, vol. 74, no. 1 (445), pp. 41–116.
- [18] Grines, V. and Zhuzhoma, E., On Structurally Stable Diffeomorphisms with Codimension One Expanding Attractors, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2005, vol. 357, no. 2, pp. 617–667.
- [19] Grines, V. and Zhuzhoma, E., *Surface Laminations and Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Izhevsk: R&C Dynamics, Institute of Computer Science, 2021.
- [20] Hayashi, Sh., Connecting Invariant Manifolds and the Solution of the C^1 Stability and Ω -Stability Conjectures for Flows, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 1997, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 81–137.



- Hayashi, Sh., Correction to: “Connecting Invariant Manifolds and the Solution of the C^1 Stability and Ω -Stability Conjectures for Flows” [*Ann. of Math. (2)*, 1997, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 81–137], *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 1999, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 353–356.
- [21] Hirsch, M., Palis, J., Pugh, C., and Shub, M., Neighborhoods of Hyperbolic Sets, *Invent. Math.*, 1969/70, vol. 9, pp. 121–134.
- [22] Hirsch, M. W., Pugh, C. C., and Shub, M., *Invariant Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 583, New York: Springer, 1977.
- [23] Ikegami, G., On Classification of Dynamical Systems with Cross-Sections, *Osaka Math. J.*, 1969, vol. 6, pp. 419–433.
- [24] Medvedev, V. S. and Zhuzhoma, E. V., Two-Dimensional Attractors of A -Flows and Fibered Links on Three-Manifolds, *Nonlinearity*, 2022, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 2192–2205.
- [25] Moise, E. E., *Geometric Topology in Dimensions 2 and 3*, Grad. Texts Math., vol. 47, New York: Springer, 1977.
- [26] Nikolaev, I., *Foliations on Surfaces*, Berlin: Springer, 2001.
- [27] Nikolaev, I. and Zhuzhoma, E., *Flows on 2-Dimensional Manifolds: An Overview*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1705, Berlin: Springer, 1999.
- [28] Plykin, R. V., On the Geometry of Hyperbolic Attractors of Smooth Cascades, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 1984, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 85–131; see also: *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 1984, vol. 39, no. 6 (240), pp. 75–113.
- [29] Robinson, C., *Dynamical Systems: Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*, 2nd ed., Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 28, Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC, 1998.
- [30] Smale, S., Differentiable Dynamical Systems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (NS)*, 1967, vol. 73, pp. 747–817.
- [31] Zhironov, A. Yu., Complete Combinatorial Invariants for Conjugacy of Hyperbolic Attractors of Diffeomorphisms of Surfaces, *J. Dynam. Control Systems*, 2000, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 397–430.
- [32] Zhironov, A. Yu., *Topological Conjugacy of Pseudo-Anosov Homeomorphisms*, Moscow: MCNME, 2013 (Russian).
- [33] Zhuzhoma, E., Chaotic Laminations and Their Properties, *Math. Notes*, 2022, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 150–153; see also: *Mat. Zametki*, 2022, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 138–142.
- [34] Zhuzhoma, E. V. and Isaenkova, N. V., On the Classification of One-Dimensional Expanding Attractors, *Math. Notes*, 2009, vol. 86, nos. 3–4, pp. 333–341; see also: *Mat. Zametki*, 2009, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 360–370.