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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show that the weighted vertex coloring problem can be solved in polynomial on the sum of vertex weights time for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs. As a corollary, this fact implies polynomial-time solvability of the unweighted vertex coloring problem for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs. As usual, $P_{5}$ and $K_{2,3}$ stands, respectively, for the simple path on 5 vertices and for the biclique with the parts of 2 and 3 vertices, $K_{2,3}^{+}$denotes the graph, obtained from a $K_{2,3}$ by joining its degree 3 vertices with an edge.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only simple graphs, i.e. unlabelled, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges. An induced subgraph is formed by a subset of vertices of a graph together with all edges, whose endvertices are both in this subset.

Any subset of pairwise non-adjacent vertices of a graph is called independent. A clique of a graph is any subset of its pairwise adjacent vertices. A dominating set of a graph is any subset of its vertices, such that any vertex outside the set has a neighbour in the set.

[^0]A coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a mapping $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that $c(u) \neq$ $c(v)$, for any adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$. All elements of $\{c(v) \mid v \in V\}$ are said to be colors. In other words, a graph coloring is a partition of its vertex set into independent sets of vertices of the same color. The chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum number $k$, such that $G$ can be colored in $k$ colors. For a given graph $G$ and a number $k$, the coloring problem (the COL problem, for short) is to decide whether $\chi(G) \leq k$ or not.

The weighted coloring problem is a generalization of the coloring problem. For given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a function $w: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, a pair $(G, w)$ is called a weighted graph. A coloring of a weighted graph $(G, w)$ is any function $c: V \longrightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $|c(v)|=w(v)$, for any $v \in V$, and $c\left(v_{1}\right) \cap c\left(v_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, for any edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ of $G$. All elements of $\bigcup_{v \in V} c(v)$ are also called colors. The weighted coloring problem (the wCOL problem, for short), for a given weighted graph $(G, w)$, is to find the minimum number $k$, denoted by $\chi_{w}(G)$, such that ( $G, w$ ) admits a coloring in $k$ colors. The WCOL problem becomes the COL problem for the all-ones vector of vertex weights. Notice that none of the colors should be arranged to any zero-weight vertex, and all these vertices can be removed from any weighted graph with their incident edges.

A class of graphs is called hereditary if it is closed under deletion of vertices. Any hereditary (and only hereditary) graph class $\mathcal{X}$ can be defined by a set of its forbidden induced subgraphs $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. the minimal under deletion of vertices graphs that do not belong to $\mathcal{X}$. We write $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Free}(\mathcal{S})$, and the graphs in $\mathcal{X}$ are said to be $\mathcal{S}$-free. If $\mathcal{S}=\{G\}$, then we write " $G$-free" instead of " $\{G\}$-free".

The computational complexity of the COL problem was intensively studied for families of hereditary classes, defined by small graphs only or by a small number of forbidden induced structures. We should mention the papers [1-16,18-23] in this field. We should also mention the interesting papers [17,24-26], concerning graph coloring. The computational complexity of the COL problem was completely determined for all classes of the form $\operatorname{Free}(\{G\})$ [16]. A study of forbidden pairs was also initiated in [16]. For all but 3 cases, either NP-completeness or polynomial-time solvability was shown for the COL problem in the family of all hereditary classes, defined by 4-vertex forbidden induced structures [18].

As usual, $O_{n}$ stands for the empty graph on $n$ vertices, $P_{n}$ stands for the simple path on $n$ vertices, $K_{p, q}$ stands for the complete bipartite graph with $p$ vertices in the first part and $q$ vertices in the second one. By $K_{2,3}^{+}$we denote the graph, obtained from a $K_{2,3}$ by joining its degree 3 vertices with an edge. The graphs $K_{2,3}^{+}$, bull, butterfly, $W_{4}$ are depicted in Fig. 1.

The computational complexity of the COL problem for pairs of connected 5-vertex forbidden induced fragments was considered in [14,15,19,20,22,23]. At the present time, the complexity of this problem is still open only for the following pairs of the mentioned type:

- $\left\{K_{1,3}, G\right\}$, where $G \in\{$ bull, butterfly $\}$,
- $\left\{P_{5}, H\right\}$, where $H \in\left\{K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}, W_{4}\right\}$.

Unfortunately, for none of these 5 open cases, we clarify the complexity status of the cOL problem. We consider the intersection of two of them and present a polynomial-


Fig. 1 The graphs $K_{2,3}^{+}$, bull, butterfly, and $W_{4}$
time algorithm for its graphs. Perhaps, this result will help to design polynomial-time algorithms for graphs from the initial classes.

In this paper, we show that the WCOL problem can be solved in polynomial on the sum of vertex weights time for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs. Hence, the cOL problem can be solved in polynomial time on the length of input data for these graphs.

## 2 Some definitions and notations

For a vertex $x$ of a graph, $N(x)$ is its neighbourhood. Let $A$ and $B$ be non-intersecting subsets of vertices of a given graph. If all possible edges are present between the sets $A$ and $B$, then $A$ is said to be complete to $B$. If no edges between $A$ and $B$ are present, then $A$ is said to be anti-complete to $B$. We assume that $A$ is simultaneously complete and anti-complete to $B$, whenever $B=\emptyset$.

For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a subset $V^{\prime} \subset V, G\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ means its subgraph, induced by $V^{\prime}$, and $G \backslash V^{\prime}$ means the result of deletion of all vertices in $V^{\prime}$ with their incident edges.

## 3 Irreducible graphs and their properties

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A set $M \subseteq V$ is a module in $G$ if, for any $x \in V \backslash M, x$ is adjacent either to all elements of $M$ or to none of them. A module in a graph is trivial if it contains only one vertex or all vertices of the graph, otherwise, it is non-trivial. A separating clique in a graph is a clique, whose removal increases the number of connected components. A graph is called atomic, if it does not contain non-trivial modules and separating cliques. The following result is well-known, see, for example, the paper [23].
Lemma 1 For any hereditary class, the WCOL problem can be reduced in polynomial on the length of input data time to its atomic graphs.

The anti-neighbourhood of a vertex $v \in V$ is the set $V \backslash N(v)$, denoted by $\overline{N(v)}$.
Lemma 2 Let $(G, w)$ be a weighted graph, containing a vertex $v$, such that $\overline{N(v)}=$ $\left\{v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is an independent set. Then, $\chi_{w}(G)=\chi_{w^{\prime}}(G \backslash\{v\})+w(v)$, where $w^{\prime}(u)=w(u)$, for any $u$, not belonging to $\overline{N(v)}$, and $w^{\prime}(u)=\max (w(u)-w(v), 0)$, for any $u \neq v$, belonging to $\overline{N(v)}$.

Proof As $\overline{N(v)}$ is independent, then any color, used for $v$, can also be used for all other vertices from $\overline{N(v)} \backslash\{v\}$ without changing the feasibility and the total number of used colors. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider colorings of ( $G, w$ ), where, for any $u \in \overline{N(v)}$, some of $\min (w(v), w(u))$ colors of $u$ coincide with some of $\min (w(v), w(u))$ colors of $v$. Removing $v$ from $G$ and decreasing $w(u)$, for any $u \in \overline{N(v)} \backslash\{v\}$, by $\min (w(v), w(u))$ gives the weighted graph $\left(G \backslash\{v\}, w^{\prime}\right)$, which can be colored in $\chi_{w}(G)-w(v)$ colors. Hence, $\chi_{w}(G) \geq \chi_{w^{\prime}}(G \backslash\{v\})+w(v)$. On the other hand, any coloring of ( $G \backslash\{v\}, w^{\prime}$ ) can be extended to a coloring of $(G, w)$ by using new $w(v)$ colors to color $v$ and to add any of $w(u)-w^{\prime}(u)$ new colors to $u$, for any $u \in \overline{N(v)} \backslash\{v\}$. Hence, $\chi_{w}(G) \leq \chi_{w^{\prime}}(G \backslash\{v\})+w(v)$. Therefore, the statement of this lemma is true.

A graph is irreducible if it is connected, atomic, and the anti-neighbourhood of any its vertex is not independent. By Lemmas 1 and 2, the following result is true.

Lemma 3 For any hereditary class, the WCOL problem can be reduced in polynomial on the length of input data time to its irreducible graphs.

## 4 Some complexity results for the weighted coloring problem

The next two Lemmas have been proven in [23].
Lemma 4 The WCOL problem for any $O_{3}$-free weighted graph $(G=(V, E), w)$ can be solved in $O\left(\left(\sum_{v \in V} w(v)\right)^{3}\right)$ time.
Lemma 5 For each fixed $C$, the WCOL problem can be solved in polynomial time on the sum of vertex weights in the class of all graphs, having at most $C$ vertices.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a graph class. By $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ we denote the set of all graphs, obtained from graphs in $\mathcal{X}$ as follows. We take a graph $G=(V, E) \in \mathcal{X}$, add vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}$, add all edges of the form $v v_{i}$, where $v \in V, i \in\{1,2\}$, and the edges $v_{1} u_{1}, u_{1} u_{2}, u_{2} v_{2}$. It is easy to check that the new graph contains exactly one induced $P_{4}$ with degree 2 internal vertices, assuming that $G$ has at least 2 vertices. Hence, for graphs in $\mathcal{X}^{*}$, it is possible to uniquely restore their prefiguration graphs from $\mathcal{X}$ in polynomial on the number of vertices time.

Lemma 6 If $\mathcal{X}$ is a hereditary class, then the wcol problem for graphs in $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ can be reduced in polynomial on the sum of vertex weights time to the same problem in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof Let $(H, w)$ be a weighted graph, where $H \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$. The prefiguration graph $G_{H}$ for $H$ can be found in polynomial on the number of its vertices time. By symmetry, one can assume that $w\left(v_{1}\right) \geq w\left(v_{2}\right)$. Let $x$ mean the number of common colors of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in a considering coloring of $(H, w)$. Hence, there are exactly $w\left(v_{1}\right)+w\left(v_{2}\right)-x$ distinct colors for $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, each of which cannot be used to color any vertex in $G_{H}$. To minimize the number of colors, used for $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, all of the remaining $w\left(v_{1}\right)-x$ colors for $v_{1}$ can be used to color $u_{2}$. Similarly, all of the remaining $w\left(v_{2}\right)-x$ colors for $v_{2}$ can be used to color $u_{1}$. Hence, to color $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, we need exactly

$$
\chi_{x}^{\prime}=\max \left(w\left(u_{1}\right)-w\left(v_{2}\right)+x, 0\right)+\max \left(w\left(u_{2}\right)-w\left(v_{1}\right)+x, 0\right)
$$

colors. Therefore, $\chi_{w}(H)=\min _{x \leq w\left(v_{2}\right)}\left(w\left(v_{1}\right)+w\left(v_{2}\right)-x+\max \left(\chi_{w}(G), \chi_{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. So, this lemma holds.

## 5 Some properties of irreducible $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs

Let $G=(V, E)$ be an irreducible $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graph and $C=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ be an arbitrary its induced cycle with 4 vertices. We associate with $G$ and $C$ the following notations, assuming throughout the paper for indices to be taken modulo 4 :

1. for any $1 \leq i \leq 4, V_{i}$ is the set of all vertices $v$, such that $N(v) \cap V(C)=\left\{v_{i}\right\}$.
2. for any $1 \leq i \leq 4, V_{i}^{\prime}$ is the set of all vertices $v$, such that $N(v) \cap V(C)=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$.
3. for any $1 \leq i \leq 4, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is the set of all vertices $v$, such that $N(v) \cap V(C)=$ $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\right\}$.
4. $W_{C}$ is the set of all vertices, adjacent to all vertices of $C$, and $S_{C}$ is the set of all vertices, not having a neighbour on $C$.

Further, we will prove several relations between the sets, defined above.
Lemma 7 Any vertex of $G$, having a neighbour on $C$, belongs to

$$
V(C) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(V_{i} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup W_{C}
$$

Any element in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(V_{i} \cup V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ has no neighbours in $S_{C}$.
Proof Assume that there is a vertex $v \notin V(C) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(V_{i} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup W_{C}$ with $N(v) \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, $v$ must be adjacent to exactly two non-adjacent vertices of $C$. Then, $v, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$.

Assume that some element of $v \in V_{i} \cup V_{i}^{\prime}$ has a neighbour $u \in S_{C}$. Then either $u, v, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$ or $u, v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Lemma 8 For any $i, V_{i}$ is anti-complete to

$$
V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}
$$

and complete to $V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. For any $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. For any $i, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique.

Proof Let $v \in V_{i}$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $u \in V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$, then either $u, v, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$ or $u, v, v_{i}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $u \in V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$, then either $v, u, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$ or $v, u, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $u \in V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$, then $v, u, v_{i+3}, v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. If $v$ is adjacent to a vertex $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, then $v, u, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$.

Let $v \in V_{i}$ and $u \in V_{i+2}$. If $v$ and $u$ are not adjacent, then $v, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, u$ induce a $P_{5}$. Now, let $v \in V_{i}$ and $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. If $v$ and $u$ are not adjacent, then either $u, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, v_{i}, v$ or $v, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, u$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Let $v \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. If $v u \notin E$, then either $v, v_{i}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}, u$ or $v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, u$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Assume that vertices $v \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are not adjacent. Then, $v, u, v_{i}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$.
Lemma 9 If $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$, then each of the pairs $\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime}\right)$ contains the empty set. If $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, then $V_{i+2}=V_{i+3}=\emptyset$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Proof Let us prove the first statement. By symmetry, it is enough to consider the case, when $a \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $b \in V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 8, we have $b c \in E$ and $a c \notin E$, where $c \in V_{i}$. Then, $c, b, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$, if $b a \notin E$, or $c, b, a, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$, if $b a \in E$.

Assume that $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+1}$. Additionally, suppose that $V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+3} \neq \emptyset$. If $V_{i+2} \neq \emptyset$, then $V_{i+2}$ is complete to $V_{i}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i+1}$, by Lemma 8. Then, any vertex of $V_{i+2}$, any vertex of $V_{i}$, $v_{i}, v_{i+1}$, any vertex of $V_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i+2}=\emptyset$. Similarly, $V_{i+3}=\emptyset$.

Now, additionally suppose that $V_{i+2}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. By Lemma 7, the set $S_{C}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+1}$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$. By the same reasons, $V_{i+1}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$. The set $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}$, otherwise, any element of $V_{i}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}$, and any element of $V_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Similarly, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+1}$.

As $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\}$ is a clique, but not separating, since $G$ is irreducible, then some element of $V_{i}$ and some element of $V_{i+1}$ must be adjacent to elements in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(V_{i}^{\prime} \cup\right.$ $\left.V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $x$ be an arbitrary element of $V_{i}$ and $y$ be an arbitrary element of $V_{i+1}$, both having neighbours in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Suppose that $z^{\prime} \in V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. Then, $y z^{\prime} \in E$, by Lemma 8 . Then, by the first part of this lemma, $V_{i+1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Hence, there is a vertex $z^{\prime \prime} \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, such that $x z^{\prime \prime} \in E$. If $z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \notin E$, then $y, z^{\prime}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}, z^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$, otherwise, $x, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, we will consider that $V_{i+3}^{\prime}=V_{i+1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. If $y z_{1} \in E$ and $x z_{2} \in E$, where $z_{1} \in V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}, z_{2} \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then $z_{1} z_{2} \in E$, otherwise, $y, z_{1}, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}, z_{2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. By this fact and as $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ are both cliques, by Lemma $8,\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\} \cup V_{i}^{1} \cup V_{i}^{2}$ is a separating clique, where

$$
V_{i}^{1}=\left\{v \in V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \mid \exists u \in V_{i+1}, v u \in E\right\} \text { and } V_{i}^{2}=\left\{v \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mid \exists u \in V_{i}, v u \in E\right\} .
$$

Hence, $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ must be non-empty. By Lemma 8, $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+1}$. Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Lemma 8, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+1}$. Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, as $G$ is $K_{2,3}-$ free. Then, $G$ contains an induced $P_{5}$. Thus, $V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Lemma 10 For any $i, V_{i}$ is either empty or independent.
Proof Assume the opposite, i.e. that $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and it is not independent, for some $i$. Let $\tilde{V}$ be the vertex set of an arbitrary connected component with at least 2 vertices of $G\left[V_{i}\right]$. Notice that $\tilde{V}$ exists, as $V_{i}$ is not independent. Let us show that $\tilde{V}$ is a non-trivial module in $G$.

By Lemmas 7, 8, and the choice of $\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}$ is anti-complete to

$$
\left(V_{i} \backslash \tilde{V}\right) \cup S_{C} \cup V_{i+3} \cup V_{i+1} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}
$$

and complete to $V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. Let $j \in\{i, i+2\}$. If $\tilde{V}$ is not complete to $V_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, then either $\tilde{V}$ is anti-complete to $V_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ or there are vertices $x, y \in \tilde{V}, z \in V_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, such that $x y \in E, y z \in E, x z \notin E$. Hence, either $x, y, z, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$ or $x, y, z, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. We have a contradiction. Hence, the assumption was false.

Lemma 11 If C dominates the maximum number of vertices among all induced cycles with 4 vertices, then $S_{C}$ must be empty.

Proof Assume the opposite. By $\tilde{V}$ we denote the set of all vertices, each of which lies outside $S_{C}$ and has a neighbour in $S_{C}$. This set is not empty. By Lemma 7, we have that $\tilde{V} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$. If an element $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ has a neighbour $s \in S_{C}$ and $s s^{\prime} \in E$, where $s^{\prime} \in S_{C}$ and $s^{\prime} v \notin E$, then $s^{\prime}, s, v$, and some two vertices of $C$ induce a $P_{5}$. If non-adjacent elements $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$ have neighbours $u_{1} \in S_{C} \cap\left(N\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash N\left(v_{2}\right)\right)$ and $u_{2} \in S_{C} \cap\left(N\left(v_{2}\right) \backslash N\left(v_{1}\right)\right)$, then $u_{1} u_{2} \in E$, otherwise, $v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}$, and some vertex of $C$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, if $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$ belongs to $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then $G$ is not $P_{5}$-free, by the previous statement.

As $G$ does not contain separating cliques, then $\tilde{V}$ is not a clique. Therefore, there are non-adjacent vertices in $\tilde{V}$. Suppose that $a \in \tilde{V}$ and $b \in \tilde{V}$ are arbitrary non-adjacent vertices.

Suppose that there is a vertex $c \in S_{C}$, simultaneously adjacent to $a$ and $b$. If $\{a, b\} \cap W_{C} \neq \emptyset$, then both $a$ and $b$ are simultaneously adjacent to two non-adjacent vertices of $C$. Hence, $G$ contains an induced copy of a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $a \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $b \in V_{j}^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma $8, j \neq i$. If $j=i+2$, then $v_{i+1}, a, c, b, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Thus, we may consider that $j=i+1$. As $C$ dominates the maximum number of vertices and $\left(v_{i}, a, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\right),\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}, b, v_{i+3}\right)$ are induced cycles, then $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+2} \neq$ $\emptyset$. Hence, by Lemma 9, there exists a vertex $d \in V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 7, $d c \notin E$. To avoid the induced paths $\left(d, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, b, c\right)$ and $\left(d, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}, a, c\right), d a$ and $d b$ are edges of $G$. Then, $a, d, c, d, v_{i+1}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, any two non-adjacent elements of $\tilde{V}$ have no a common neighbour in $S$. Hence, $a, b \in W_{C}$ and $S_{C} \cap(N(a) \backslash N(b))$ is complete to $S_{C} \cap(N(b) \backslash N(a))$. Let $a^{\prime} \in S_{C} \cap(N(a) \backslash N(b))$ and $b^{\prime} \in S_{C} \cap(N(b) \backslash N(a)$.

Suppose that some vertex $x \in \tilde{V} \backslash\{a, b\}$ has a neighbour $x^{\prime} \in S_{C}$. If $x^{\prime} \in N(a) \cup$ $N(b)$, then $x^{\prime}$, two non-adjacent vertices of $C, x$, and a vertex in $\{a, b\}$ induce either a $K_{2,3}$ or a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Hence, $x$ has no neighbours in $(N(a) \cup N(b)) \cap S_{C}$. The vertex $x$ is simultaneously adjacent to $a$ and $b$, otherwise, $x, v_{j}, a, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ or $x, v_{j}, b, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$, for some $j$. The vertex $x^{\prime}$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}$ (say, $a^{\prime}$ ), otherwise, $x^{\prime}, x, a, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $b, v_{1}, a, a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Thus, $\tilde{V}=\{a, b\}$.

If there is a vertex $v^{\prime} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}$, then $a v^{\prime} \notin E, b v^{\prime} \notin E, v^{\prime} a^{\prime} \notin E, v^{\prime} b^{\prime} \notin E$, by Lemmas 7 and 8 . Then, $v^{\prime}$, a vertex on $C, a, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}=\emptyset$. If there is a vertex $v^{\prime \prime} \in V_{i}^{\prime}$, non-adjacent to $a$, then $v^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} \notin E, v^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime} \notin E$, and $v^{\prime \prime}, v_{i}, a, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $\{a, b\}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Similarly, $\{a, b\}$ is complete to $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left(W_{C} \backslash\{a, b\}\right)$. Hence, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left(\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup\left(W_{C} \backslash\{a, b\}\right)$ is a non-trivial module in $G$.

So, our initial assumption was false.
We will assume up to the end of this section that $C$ is an induced cycle with 4 vertices, dominating the maximum number of vertices of $G$. By Lemma 11, $S_{C}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 12 If $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, then $|V| \leq 11$.
Proof By Lemmas 8 and $9, V_{i+2}=V_{i+3}=\emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, and $V_{i} \cup V_{i+1}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. Hence, by Lemma 10, we have $V_{i}=\{a\}$, otherwise, $V_{i}$ is independent and any two its elements, $v_{i}, v_{i+3}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Similarly, $V_{i+1}=\{b\}$. By Lemma $8, a b \notin E$ and $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $\{a\}$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}, W_{C}$ is anti-complete to $\{a, b\}, V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is complete to $\{a\}$ and anti-complete to $\{b\}, V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ is complete to $\{b\}$ and anti-complete to $\{a\}$.

The set $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+2}$, some vertex of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, a, v_{i}$, and some vertex of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $\{b\}$, otherwise, any element of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}, b, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}$ and anti-complete to $\{a\}$. Similarly, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup\{a\}$ and anti-complete to $\{b\}$. The set $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, $b$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, a, v_{i}$, an element of $W_{C}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. Thus, $W_{C}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. The set $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i}, a$, an element of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Hence, $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$.

Let us show that $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Suppose the opposite, and let $v \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma 8, $b v \notin E$. Then, $v a \notin E$, otherwise, $a, v, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$. Thus, $\{a, b\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. The vertex $v$ is adjacent to all vertices of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v, v_{i}, a$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$. The vertex $v$ is adjacent to all vertices of $W_{C}$, otherwise, $v, v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+1}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$. Therefore, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup W_{C}$. The set $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, otherwise, $a, v_{i}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, an element of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. The set $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}$, some element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, some element of $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, $v_{i+1}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Suppose that $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$. If $u \in V_{i+3}^{\prime}$, then $b u \in E$. The vertices $u$ and $v$ must be adjacent, otherwise, $v, u, a, v_{i}$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. If $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, then $a u \notin E$. The vertices $u$ and $v$ must be adjacent, otherwise, $a, v_{i}, v, v_{i+2}, u$ induce a $P_{5}$. Suppose that $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Then, $v$ and $u$ must be non-adjacent, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, v, u, v_{i+1}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ and anticomplete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Recall that $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique, by Lemma 8. Then, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{v_{i+3}\right\}$ is a non-trivial module in $G$. Thus, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Similarly, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.

Suppose that $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that there is a vertex $x \in V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Then, $\{x\}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup\{a\}$ and $x b \notin E$. To avoid an induced $P_{5}$, formed by $a, b, x$, any vertex of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, and any vertex of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime},\{x\}$ must be complete to $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$. Then, $a$, any vertex of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}, x, v_{i+2}$, and any vertex of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Hence, $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. The set $V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}$ and anti-complete to $\{a\}$. Hence, $V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ must be complete to $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, otherwise, some element of $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, some element of $V_{i+3}^{\prime}$, some element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, a, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Thus, each of the sets $W_{C}, V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime}, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ has at most one element, as it is a module in $G$. By Lemma 9, at least one of the sets $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ is empty. Hence, $G$ has at most 11 vertices. The same is true, if $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Each of the sets $W_{C}, V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ has at most one element, as it is a module in $G$. Therefore, $\left|V_{i+2}^{\prime}\right|=1$. By Lemma 9, at least one of the sets $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ is empty. So, $|V| \leq 9$.

Lemma 13 If $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then $|V| \leq 12$.
Proof By Lemma 8, $V_{i}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 9, $V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. By Lemma 12, we may assume that $V_{i+1}=V_{i+3}=\emptyset$. The set $V_{i}$ contains only one element (say, $a$ ), otherwise, by Lemmas 8 and $10, V_{i}$ is independent and any two its elements, $v_{i}, v_{i+3}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. If $\left|V_{i+2}\right| \geq 2$, then $V_{i+2}$ is independent, by Lemma $10, V_{i+2}$ is complete to $V_{i}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, by Lemma 8. Hence, $a, v_{i+2}$, any two elements of $V_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $\left|V_{i+2}\right| \leq 1$.

Let us show that $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$ and each of the sets $V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, W_{C}$ is a module in $G$. By Lemma 8, $W_{C}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$. The set $W_{C}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, $a$ induce a $P_{5}$.

If $v \in V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, then $v a \notin E$, by Lemma 8 . The set $\{v\}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v, v_{i}, a$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, v_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Similarly, $\{v\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Hence, $v, a, v_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Hence, $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$.

By Lemma 8, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$. The set $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+2}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$, $a$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Suppose that $u$ is an arbitrary vertex of $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. If $u$ is adjacent to a vertex $u^{\prime} \in V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, then it must be adjacent to $a$, otherwise, $u, a, v_{i}, v_{i+3}, u^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Then, $a, v_{i+1}, u^{\prime}, u$, and any vertex of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ induce either a $K_{2,3}$ or a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, $\{u\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. Then, $a u \notin E$, otherwise, $v_{i+1}, u, a$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\{u\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}, v_{i+1}, u$ induce a $P_{5}$. The vertex $u$ is not adjacent to the vertex in $V_{i+2}$, otherwise, $u$, the vertex in $V_{i+2}, a$, any vertex in $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\{u\}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, $u, v_{i+1}$, some vertex of $W_{C}, v_{i+3}$, and any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\{u\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, otherwise, $a, v_{i}, u, v_{i+2}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. Similarly, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. If $u$ is adjacent to $u^{\prime \prime} \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, then $v_{i+1}, u, u^{\prime \prime}$, any vertex in $V_{i+2}^{\prime}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$.

So, each of the sets $V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, W_{C}$ is a module in $G$. Therefore, each of them has at most one element and $|V| \leq 12$.

Lemma 14 If $V_{i} \neq \emptyset$, then either $V_{i+1} \cup V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+3} \neq \emptyset$ or $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
Proof Assume the opposite. Then, $V_{i+1}=V_{i+2}=V_{i+3}=\emptyset$ and $\left(V_{i+1}^{\prime}=\emptyset\right.$ or $\left.V_{i+2}^{\prime}=\emptyset\right)$. By Lemma 10, $V_{i}$ is an independent set. By Lemma 8, $V_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$. Let

$$
V_{i}^{1}=\left\{v \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mid \exists u \in V_{i}, v u \in E\right\} \text { and } V_{i}^{2}=\left\{v \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \mid \exists u \in V_{i}, v u \in E\right\} .
$$

Let us show that $V_{i}^{1} \cup V_{i}^{2}$ is the empty set or a clique. Suppose the opposite. Then, there are non-adjacent vertices $u_{1} \in V_{i}^{1}, u_{2} \in V_{i}^{2}$, such that there are vertices $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{i}$, for which we have $v_{1} u_{1} \in E$ and $v_{2} u_{2} \in E$. By Lemma 8, one may consider that $u_{1} \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, u_{2} \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, as, by Lemma $8, V_{i}^{1}$ and $V_{i}^{2}$ are cliques. As $G$ is $K_{2,3}^{+}$-free, $v_{2} u_{1} \notin E, v_{1} u_{2} \notin E$. Therefore, $v_{1}, u_{1}, v_{i+2}, u_{2}, v_{2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i}^{1} \cup V_{i}^{2}$ is the empty set or a clique.

As $G$ is irreducible, $\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup V_{i}^{1} \cup V_{i}^{2}$ is a clique, but not separating. Therefore, at least one of the sets $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ is not empty. By our assumption, at least one (say, $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ ) of these sets is empty. Hence, $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $V_{i+3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, by Lemma 9. By Lemma $8, V_{i}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. By this fact and as $G$ is $K_{2,3}$-free, we have that $V_{i}=\{a\}$ and $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is a clique.

Let $x \in W_{C}$. Then, $x a \notin E$. By Lemma $8, V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$. The set $\{x\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, x, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, and $a$. The set $\{x\}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$, to avoid a $K_{2,3}$, induced by $a, x, v_{i}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, and an element of $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $W_{C}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$

As $G$ is irreducible, the set $\overline{N\left(v_{i+1}\right)}$ is not independent. Therefore, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Then, $\{v\}$ is anti-complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ or complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $v a \in E$, then $v_{i+3}, v, a$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, non-adjacent to $v, v_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $v a \notin E$ and $v$ is adjacent to an element in $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, then this element, $a, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, x$ induce a $K_{2,3}$.

Let us show that $\{v\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma 8, $\{a\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $u \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, such that $v u \notin E$. Notice that $\{u\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, u, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. Then, $\{v\}$ is complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $u, v_{i+2}, v, v_{i}, a$ or $v, v_{i+3}, u, v_{i+1}$, and an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Then, $v_{i+1}, u, v_{i+3}, v, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\{v\}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, $v, v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+1}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. Therefore, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$.

Let us show that $\{v\}$ is complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Suppose the opposite. If there is a vertex $u \in V_{i}^{\prime}$, adjacent to $v$, then $u a \notin E$ and $v_{i+3}, v, u, v_{i+1}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $\{v\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$. If there is a vertex $u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to $v$, then $\{u\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, v, u, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Similarly, $a u \in E$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, v, u$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, and $a$ induce a $P_{5}$. Then, $a, v, u, v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Hence, $\{v\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup W_{C}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique. Therefore, $\left\{v, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is a module in $G$. Thus, $\{v\}$ is really complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}$.

The set $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is empty, otherwise, if $y \in V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, then $\{y\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $y, v_{i+3}, v_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, and $a$. Hence, $a, y, v, v_{i+2}$, and any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. The set $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is empty, otherwise, if $y \in V_{i}^{\prime}$, then $y a \notin E$ and either $a, v, v_{i+2}, v_{i+1}, y$ induce a $P_{5}$ or $a, v, y, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. If there is a vertex $y \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, such that $v y \in E$, then $a y \in E$, to avoid the $K_{2,3}^{+}$, induced by $a, v, y, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$. Hence, any vertex in $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, having a neighbour in $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, is adjacent to $a$. If $z \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, z \neq v$, then $z y \in E$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+1}, y, a, z, v_{i+3}$. Thus, all vertices of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ have the same neighbourhoods in $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be the set of all vertices of $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, each of which does not have a neighbour in $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Let us show that $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\emptyset$. Suppose the opposite. Recall that $V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset, V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$, and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$. The set $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, otherwise, an element of $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+1}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, $v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ is anti-complete to $\{a\}$, otherwise, $v_{i+1}$, an element of $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}, a$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, an element of $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+1}$, an element of $W_{C}$, any element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $x \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, then $a x \notin E$ and $\{x\}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Then, $\{x\}$ is complete to $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$, otherwise, $a, v_{i}$, an element of $\hat{V}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, v_{i+2}, x$ induce a $P_{5}$. By Lemma $8, V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique. Therefore, $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ is complete to $\left(V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$ and anti-complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, $\left\{v_{i+1}\right\} \cup \hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ is a non-trivial module in $G$. Hence, $\hat{V_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\emptyset$, as $G$ is irreducible.

Thus, $V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset, V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is complete to $\{a\} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C}$, and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique. Hence, for any $v \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, its anti-neighbourhood consists of $v$ and $v_{i+1}$. So, $G$ is not irreducible. Our initial assumption was false.

Lemma 15 If $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+2}$ are simultaneously not empty, then either $|V| \leq 12$ or $G \in\left(\operatorname{Free}\left(\left\{O_{3}\right\}\right)\right)^{*}$.

Proof By Lemma 9, we have $V_{i+1}=V_{i+3}=\emptyset$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i}$ is complete to $V_{i+2} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$. Similarly, $V_{i+2}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$. Hence, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, otherwise, any element of $V_{i}$, any element of $V_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$, and $v_{i}, v_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. By Lemma 10, $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+2}$ are both independent. Hence, to avoid an induced $K_{2,3},\left|V_{i}\right|+\left|V_{i+2}\right| \leq 3$. By Lemma $8, W_{C}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$. By Lemma 8, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ are cliques. If $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i+3}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ or $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, V_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then $|V| \leq 12$, by Lemma 13 . Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Lemma 8, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i}, V_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i}$ and anti-complete to $V_{i+2}$. Then, any vertex of $V_{i}$, any vertex of $V_{i+2}$, any vertex of $V_{i}^{\prime}$, any vertex of $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, and $v_{i+2}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, by Lemma 9, at most one of the sets $V_{i}^{\prime}, V_{i+1}^{\prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime}, V_{i+3}^{\prime}$ is not empty. Hence, if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then, by symmetry, $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Recall that

$$
V_{i+1}=V_{i+3}=V_{i+1}^{\prime}=V_{i+2}^{\prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime}=V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset .
$$

Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\left|V_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i+2}\right|=1$, otherwise, by Lemmas 8 and 10, $G$ contains an induced $K_{2,3}$. Similarly, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is a clique. The set $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is complete to $W_{C}$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+1}$, an element of $V_{i}^{\prime}$, and the element of $V_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Therefore, $W_{C}=\emptyset$, otherwise, the element of $V_{i}$, the element of $V_{i+2}$, any element of $V_{i}^{\prime}$, any element of $W_{C}$, and $v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If there is a vertex $c \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to $a \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and non-adjacent to $b \in V_{i}^{\prime}$, then $b, a, c, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Suppose that there is a vertex $c \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to $a \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and non-adjacent to $b \in V_{i}^{\prime}$. Then, $c$ and the element of $V_{i}$ are not adjacent, otherwise, $a, c, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$,
and the element of $V_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Hence, $c$ and the element of $V_{i+2}$ are adjacent, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, c, a$, the element of $V_{i+2}$, and the element of $V_{i}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Then, $v_{i}, b, c$, the element of $V_{i}$, the element of $V_{i+2}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ contains exactly one element.

Let us show that if $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$ is not anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, then $|V| \leq 9$. Suppose that some vertex $v \in V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$ has a neighbour in $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Without loss of generality, let $v \in V_{i}$ and $\tilde{V}_{i}=\left\{u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mid u v \in E\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $\tilde{V}_{i+2}$ the set of all vertices in $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to $v$.

Let us show that $\tilde{V}_{i+2}=V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose the opposite. The set $\tilde{V}_{i}$ is complete to $\tilde{V}_{i+2}$, otherwise, $v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$, an element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, and an element of $\tilde{V}_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. The set $\tilde{V}_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$, to avoid a $K_{2,3}^{+}$, induced by $v, v_{i}, v_{i+1}$, and adjacent elements of $\tilde{V}_{i}$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$. Similarly, $\tilde{V}_{i+2}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$. The set $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$, otherwise, $v$, any element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, an element of $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$, and $v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$.

To avoid an induced $K_{2,3}^{+}, \tilde{V}_{i}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \backslash\{v\}$. If $V_{i}$ has 2 elements, then $\tilde{V}_{i}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}$, otherwise, $v$, the element of $V_{i+2}$, the element of $V_{i} \backslash\{v\}$, any element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, and $v_{i+1}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $V_{i+2}$ has 2 elements, then any element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$ has a neighbour in $V_{i+2}$, otherwise, $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2} \cup\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}$ and an element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Hence, the set $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$. Indeed, otherwise, either $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$ and $\tilde{V}_{i}$ have a common neighbour in $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$ or one of the sets $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+2}$ contains vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, such that $u_{1}$ has a neighbour in $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$ and $u_{2}$ has a neighbour in $\tilde{V}_{i}$. Therefore, $G$ will contain an induced $P_{5}$.

To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$, an element of $W_{C}$, and an element of $\tilde{V}_{i} \cup \tilde{V}_{i+2}$, the set $\tilde{V}_{i} \cup \tilde{V}_{i+2}$ is complete to $W_{C}$. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v$, any element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, an element of $W_{C}, v_{i+3}$, an element of $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$, the set $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}$ is complete to $W_{C}$. To avoid a $K_{2,3}^{+}$, induced by $v, v_{i}$, any element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, an element of $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$, an element of $W_{C}$, the set $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$ is complete to $W_{C}$. Therefore, $\left(V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i+2}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i+3}\right\}$ is a module in $G$. As $G$ is irreducible, then $\tilde{V}_{i+2}=V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$.

So, $\tilde{V}_{i+2}=V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $\overline{N(w)} \subseteq\left\{w, v_{i+3}\right\} \cup V_{i+2}$ is independent, for any $w \in \tilde{V}_{i}$. Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}=\{u\}$. Then, $\left|V_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i+2}\right|=\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right|=1$ and $W_{C}=\emptyset$. Then, $\{u\}$ is anti-complete to $\tilde{V}_{i}$, to avoid a $K_{2,3}$ or a $K_{2,3}^{+}$, induced by $v, u, v_{i+2}$, an element of $\tilde{V}_{i}$, and any element of $V_{i+2}$. If $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$, then either $u, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$, a vertex in $\tilde{V}_{i}$, a vertex in $\tilde{V}_{i+2}=V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ induce a $P_{5}$ or $v, u, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$, a vertex in $\tilde{V}_{i+2}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. If there is a vertex $w \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to the vertex in $V_{i+2}$, then $\overline{N(w)}$ is independent. If $V_{i+2}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then $\tilde{V}_{i}$ and $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \tilde{V}_{i}$ are modules in $G$. Hence, $\left|V_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 2$ and $|V| \leq 9$. So, we will assume that $V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$.

Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}=\{v\}$. Let us show that $|V|=7$. Recall that

$$
V_{i+1}=V_{i+3}=V_{i+1}^{\prime}=V_{i+2}^{\prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime}=V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=W_{C}=\emptyset,
$$

and $\left|V_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i+2}\right|=1$. Let $u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. If $u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then $v u \in E$, otherwise, $u, v_{i+1}, v$, the vertex in $V_{i+2}$, and the vertex in $V_{i}$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $u \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, then $v u \notin E$, otherwise, $v_{i+3}, u, v$, the vertex in $V_{i+2}$, and the vertex in $V_{i}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, if there are adjacent vertices $u \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $u^{\prime} \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, then $u v \in E$ and $u^{\prime} v \notin E$. Then, $v_{i+3}, u^{\prime}, u, v$, and the vertex in $V_{i+2}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{v_{i+3}\right\}$ are modules in $G$. Then, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}=V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, as $G$ is irreducible, and $|V|=7$.

Suppose that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Recall that $V_{i+1}=V_{i+3}=V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}=V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. The sets $V_{i}$ and $V_{i+2}$ are modules in $G$, and, hence, $\left|V_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i+2}\right|=1$. The graph $G \backslash\left(V_{i} \cup V_{i+2} \cup\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+2}\right\}\right)$ is the prefiguration graph for $G$. Let us check that the graph $H=G \backslash\left(V_{i} \cup V_{i+2}\right)$ is $O_{3}$-free. Indeed,

$$
V(H)=V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \cup W_{C} \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\} .
$$

Let $x, y, z$ be pairwise non-adjacent vertices of $H$. As $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ are cliques, by Lemma 8, then $\{x, y, z\} \cap\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}=\emptyset,\left|V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cap\{x, y, z\}\right| \leq 1$, and $\mid V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \cap$ $\{x, y, z\} \mid \leq 1$. If each of the sets $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}, W_{C}$ contains exactly one element of $\{x, y, z\}$, then $H$ has an induced $P_{5}$. Otherwise, $H$ contains an induced $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Thus, $G \in\left(\operatorname{Free}\left(\left\{O_{3}\right\}\right)\right)^{*}$.

Lemma 16 If $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}=\emptyset$, then $G$ is $O_{3}$-free.
Proof Firstly, we will prove the following observations: 1) for any $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ is complete to $\left.V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}, 2\right)$ for any $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ is a clique.

Let us prove the first observation. Suppose that vertices $a \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $b \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are not adjacent. If there is a vertex $x \in V_{i+3}^{\prime}$, then $a x \in E$, by Lemma 8 , and $x b \in E$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, x, a, v_{i+1}, b$. Then, $a, b, v_{i}, x, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Thus, $V_{i+3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. If there is a vertex $x \in V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, then either $x a \notin E$ or $x a \in E$. In the first case, $b x \in E$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $a, v_{i}, b, v_{i+2}, x$. Then, $a, v_{i+1}, b, x, v_{i+3}$ induce a $P_{5}$. In the second case, $b x \in E$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, x, a, v_{i+1}, b$. Then, $a, b, x, v_{i+3}, v_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $V_{i+2}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. The set $\overline{N\left(v_{i+1}\right)}$ is not independent, as $G$ is irreducible. Hence, there is a vertex $c \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. We will show that $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is a non-trivial module in $G$.

The vertex $c$ is simultaneously non-adjacent to $a$ and $b$. Indeed, if $a c \in E, b c \in E$, then $a, b, c, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. If $a c \in E, b c \notin E$, then $v_{i+3}, c, a, v_{i+1}, b$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $b c \in E, a c \notin E$, then $v_{i+3}, c, b, v_{i+1}, a$ induce a $P_{5}$. By Lemma $8,\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{c\}$. Let $v$ be a vertex in $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$, non-adjacent to $c$. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $c, v_{i+3}, v, v_{i+1}, a$ or $b$, we have $v a \in E$ and $v b \in E$. Then, $a, b, v, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Hence, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$. Similarly, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is complete to $V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $v \neq b$ be a vertex in $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to $c$. Then, $v b \in E$, by Lemma 8. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, c, v, v_{i+1}, a$, we have $v a \in E$. Then, $a, b, c, v, v_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $u \neq a$ be a vertex in $V_{i}^{\prime}$, adjacent to $c$. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, c, u, v_{i+1}$, $a$ or $b$, we have $u a \in E$ and $u b \in E$. Then, $a, b, c, u, v_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$, adjacent to $c$. Then, $u a \in E$, by Lemma 8 . To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, c, u, v_{i+1}, b$, we have $u b \in E$. Then,
$a, b, c, u, v_{i}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime}$. If there is a vertex $u \in W_{C}$, non-adjacent to $c$, then $u a \in E, u b \in E$, to avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $c, v_{i+3}, u, v_{i+1}, a$ or $b$. Then, $v_{i}, u, v_{i+3}, a, b$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is complete to $W_{C}$. So, $\left\{c, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is a non-trivial module in $G$. Hence, for any $i, V_{i}^{\prime}$ is complete to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let us prove the second statement. Suppose that $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is not a clique. Then, $V_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime \prime}$ is empty. Indeed, if an element belongs to this set, then it must be adjacent to all elements of $V_{i}^{\prime}$, by the first observation, and $G$ contains an induced $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Similarly, $V_{i+1}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Let $M \subseteq V_{i}^{\prime}$ be a minimal module among modules in $G\left[V_{i}^{\prime}\right]$, containing non-adjacent vertices. Hence, for any vertex $x \in M,\{x\}$ is not complete to $M \backslash\{x\}$.

If there is a vertex $v \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$, adjacent to a vertex $x \in M$, then there is a vertex $y \in M$, such that $x y \notin E$. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $x, y, v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+3}$ or $x, y, v, v_{i}, v_{i+2}$, we have $y v \in E$. Then, $x, y, v, v_{i+3}, v_{i}$ or $x, y, v, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. Therefore, $M$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. If there is a vertex $v^{\prime} \in V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, adjacent to $x^{\prime} \in M$, then there is a vertex $y^{\prime} \in M$, such that $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \notin E$. To avoid a $P_{5}$, induced by $v_{i+3}, v^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, v_{i+1}, y^{\prime}$, we have $v^{\prime} y^{\prime} \in E$. Then, $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, v_{i}, v_{i+3}$ induce a $K_{2,3}$. Therefore, $M$ is anti-complete to $V_{i+2}^{\prime}$.

Suppose that $W_{C} \neq \emptyset$. As $G$ is $K_{2,3}^{+}$-free, any vertex of $W_{C}$ has a neighbour in $M$, as $M$ is not a clique. Let $v^{\prime \prime} \in W_{C}, x$ and $y$ be any non-adjacent vertices of $M$. As $G$ is $K_{2,3}^{+}$-free, $V_{i}^{\prime} \cap N\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash N\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are cliques. Hence, $x v^{\prime \prime} \in E, y v^{\prime \prime} \notin E$ or vice versa. The vertex $v^{\prime \prime}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash M$, otherwise, $x, y, v_{i+2}$, an element of $V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash M$, and an element of $W_{C}$ induce a $P_{5}$. Additionally, $V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash M$ is a clique. As $G$ is $K_{2,3}^{+}$-free, if there are non-adjacent vertices $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime} \in W_{C}$, then any vertex of $V_{i}^{\prime}$ has a neighbour in $\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Hence, one may assume that $x v_{1}^{\prime} \in E, y v_{1}^{\prime} \notin E$ or $y v_{2}^{\prime} \in E, x v_{2}^{\prime} \notin E$. Then, $x, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{i+2}, v_{2}^{\prime}, y$ induce a $P_{5}$. Therefore, $W_{C}$ is a clique. Moreover, $W_{C} \cup\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\} \cup\left(V_{i}^{\prime} \backslash M\right)$ is a clique, which is separating. Thus, $W_{C}=\emptyset$. So, $M$ is a non-trivial module in $G$. Hence, $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is a clique, for any $i$.

Now, let us prove that $G$ is $O_{3}$-free. Suppose the opposite. Let $x, y, z$ be pairwise non-adjacent vertices of $G$. Clearly, $\{x, y, z\} \cap\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ has at most one vertex. If this set contains one vertex (say, $x$ ), then, by Lemma 8, either $y \in V_{i}^{\prime} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime}, z \in V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ (or vice versa) or $y, z \in V_{i}^{\prime}$, for some $i$. It contradicts to the observations. Suppose that $\{x, y, z\} \cap\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}=\emptyset$. By the second observation and Lemma 8, the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime} \cap\{x, y, z\}$ contains at most two elements.

Suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime} \cap\{x, y, z\}\right|=2$. We may consider that $x \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $y \in V_{i+2}^{\prime}$, by the second observation and Lemma 8. By the first observation, $z \in W_{C}$. Hence, $G$ contains a $P_{5}$, induced by $x, v_{i+1}, z, v_{i+3}, y$.

Suppose that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime} \cap\{x, y, z\}=\{x\}$, where $x \in V_{i}^{\prime}$. Then, by the first observation, we may assume that $y, z \in W_{C} \cup V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime} \cup V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$. If $W_{C} \cap\{y, z\}=\emptyset$, then, by Lemma 8 and the first observation, $y \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, z \in V_{i+2}^{\prime \prime}$ or vice versa. Then, $x, v_{i+1}, y, v_{i+3}, z$ induce a $P_{5}$. If $y, z \in W_{C}$, then $x, y, z, v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ induce a $K_{2,3}^{+}$. If only one of $y, z$ belongs to $W_{C}$, then, by the first observation, we have that $y \in W_{C}, z \in V_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ up to symmetry. Then, $z, v_{i+2}, y, v_{i}, x$ induce a $P_{5}$.

Suppose that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} V_{i}^{\prime} \cap\{x, y, z\}=\emptyset$. If at least two of the vertices $x, y, z$ belong to $W_{C}$, then $G$ contains an induced $K_{2,3}^{+}$. In all other cases, $G$ contains an induced $P_{5}$.

So, our assumption about the existence of three pairwise non-adjacent vertices of $G$ was false.

## 6 Main result

Theorem 1 The WCOL problem can be solved in polynomial on the sum of vertex weights time for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs.

Proof By Lemma 3 and the reasonings from the previous section, the WCOL problem for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs can be reduced in polynomial on the number of vertices time to the same problem for graphs in

$$
\left(\operatorname{Free}\left(\left\{O_{3}\right\}\right)\right)^{*} \cup \operatorname{Free}\left(\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,2}\right\}\right)
$$

and graphs on at most 12 vertices. The WCOL problem can be solved in polynomial on the length of input data time for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,2}\right\}$-free graphs [14]. Hence, by the mentioned facts and Lemmas $4-6$, this theorem is true.

As a corollary, Theorem 1 implies that the COL problem can be solved in polynomial on the number of vertices time for $\left\{P_{5}, K_{2,3}, K_{2,3}^{+}\right\}$-free graphs.

## 7 Conclusions and future work

In the present paper, we considered the weighted coloring problem for hereditary graph classes that are defined by a pair of forbidden induced connected subgraphs, each on 5 vertices. The computational status of the unweighted version of this problem has been resolved for all such pairs, except for 5 of them. We proved here that the weighted coloring problem is polynomial-time solvable for the class of graphs, which is defined by a triple of forbidden such subgraphs. This class is the intersection of some of the unresolved cases, mentioned above. We hope that our result will be helpful in resolving the computational complexity of the (un)weighted coloring problem for the open cases. Clarifying its complexity status for them is a challenging research problem for future work.
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