## Introduction

## Learner corpora and language teaching

Sandra Götz and Joybrato Mukherjee Justus Liebig University Giessen

The present volume contains a selection of thematically related papers presented at the 12th *Teaching and Language Corpora Conference* (TaLC) in Giessen in 2016. Although the conference welcomed papers and posters from all areas that combined language learning and teaching with the utilization of corpora, the present volume focuses on – and thus only contains – 11 papers that present state-of-theart learner corpus research studies with clear language-pedagogical implications.

While native corpora and corpus linguistic tools and methods have been used and applied for quite some time in the development of learning and teaching materials, most notably through the development of data driven learning scenarios, learner corpora are only just beginning to impact the field of language teaching (including language testing and assessment) more systematically. In her survey on the contribution of learner corpora to second language acquisition and foreign language teaching, Granger (2009: 24) concluded ten years ago that "there is undeniably very little evidence of fully-fledged up-and-running applications". The situation today is still very much the same. This is very surprising indeed, as learner corpora present large amounts of "hitherto inaccessible information on what learners can and cannot do at different levels of proficiency" (Granger 2015: 505), which can undoubtedly be very useful for language-pedagogical purposes. For instance, findings from learner corpus analyses can inform teaching materials developers to take into consideration features that are over-, under-, or misused by certain learner populations and thus put special emphasis on these over others (e.g. Gilquin, Granger & Paquot 2007; Flowerdew 2015; Granger 2015). However, despite these obvious benefits of learner corpus research to language pedagogy, Flowerdew (2012: 283) finds that "learner corpora are still at the periphery of language teaching".

With this volume, we would like to contribute to closing the still existing gap between linguistic corpora and the language classroom and discuss the high potential of learner corpus research for language teaching, testing and assessment

## Subject index

a bit 221, 230, 232-236, 238 academic essay 80, 82, 95-96 academic literacy 132, 147, 150 academic writing 129-134, 148-151 accuracy rate 160, 164-165, 170 active listenership 23-24 advanced learner(s) 55, 92, 102, 196, 221, 231-232, 238-239 articulation rate 177, 183 attribution 132, 135-136, 144-149, 150 automated generation 34-35 automatic analysis 102-103, 117, 119 automatic syntactic complexity 113, 117

B boundary tone 192–194, 203–204
British intonation 1

C
CALE, see Corpus of
Academic Learner English
CEA, see computer-aided
error analysis
CIA 2 79-80, 83
see also Contrastive
Interlanguage Analysis
citation 132-133, 135, 136,
144-145, 147
co-text 130-131
coherence 76, 77
cohesion 75-76, 77-78, 80,
93, 96
cohesive device 76-77, 80, 117

collocation 54, 56, 58, 68-70

collocational competence 54 combination frequency 108, 115, 116, 121-122 complex phrasal verbs 63, 64 composition 130, 159 compound 56, 58-59, 61, 65 computer-aided error analysis 159 Contrastive Interlanguage Analysis 57, 78, 195 see also CIA 2 corpus design 186, 224 Corpus of Academic Learner English 130-131, 134 corpus tool 250 cross-linguistic influence 78, 81, 95-96 curriculum 16, 118, 246, 252-253 Czech learners of English 178

D
data-driven learning 16, 121,
149, 253
DDL, see data-driven learning
depth of vocabulary 51–52, 53
direct quotation 129–131,
131–134, 139, 142, 148–150
disagreement 18–19
disciplinary assignments 130
discourse marker 77, 78,
220–221, 221–223, 228,
231–232

E edge tone 192, 193, 197, 200
EFL 75, 96
classroom 33-34, 93, 207, 208
learner 82, 90, 103, 104, 133, 159, 161, 170
instructor 31, 43, 91, 93

teacher 208, 249, 250 teaching 77, 208, 249, 251-252 textbook 91, 93 English as a foreign language, see EFL embedding 143 error 11, 16, 17, 31, 32, 33, 34, 39, 40, 49, 55, 59, 61, 67, 77, 78, 92, 112, 133, 157-161, 163, 167-168, 169, 170, 171, 253, 256, 261 analysis 16 annotation, see error tagging rates 164, 165, 166, 167 span 36, 37, 38, 40, 41 tagged learner corpus 30 tagging 29, 32-33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 42, 46-48, 162, 163, 164 essay writing 65-66, 95-96 expert annotation 30, 33

F fluency 43, 175–178, 187, 195, 199, 219–221, 222–223, 237–239

G German learners of English 52, 54, 57, 65, 67, 70–71, 134, 193–195, 196, 208, 220, 223–224, 226, 237–239, 254

H hedge 21 hedging 19 high-frequency verb 52, 55-57

I I mean 222, 223, 225, 229, 230, 230, 232, 235–236