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#### Abstract

We describe the basic cohomology ring of the canonical holomorphic foliation on a moment-angle manifold, LVMB-manifold or any complex manifold with a maximal holomorphic torus action. Namely, we show that the basic cohomology has a description similar to the cohomology ring of a complete simplicial toric variety due to Danilov and Jurkiewicz. This settles a question of Battaglia and Zaffran, who previously computed the basic Betti numbers for the canonical holomorphic foliation in the case of a shellable fan. Our proof uses an Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence argument; the key ingredient is the formality of the Cartan model for the torus action on a moment-angle manifold. For an arbitrary complex manifold with a maximal torus action, we show that it is transverse equivalent to a moment-angle manifold and therefore has the same basic cohomology.


## 1. Introduction

The moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ is a topological space build up as a union of products of polydiscs and tori with respect to combinatorial data given by $\mathcal{K}$; see [3] where it was first defined in this fashion. The spaces $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ carry natural torus actions. It was shown in [15] and [17] that an even-dimensional momentangle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma}$ corresponding to a complete simplicial fan $\Sigma$ admits complex structures invariant under the torus action. Later it was shown 9 that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ admits an invariant complex structure only if $\mathcal{K}$ is the underlying complex of a complete simplicial fan (in other words, $\mathcal{K}$ is a star-shaped sphere triangulation).

Another class of complex manifolds with holomorphic torus action was constructed by Bosio in [2] and became known as LVMB-manifolds. It was proved in [9, Theorem 9.4] that complex moment-angle manifolds are biholomorphic to LVMB-manifolds of certain type. Both complex moment-angle manifolds and LVMB-manifolds are examples of complex manifolds with maximal torus action, completely classified in 9] in terms of simplicial fans.

Battaglia and Zaffran [1] considered a certain holomorphic foliation on a complex LVMB-manifold, which later was shown in to be a particular case of the canonical foliation on any complex manifold with a holomorphic torus action [8]. We review the construction of this canonical foliation in Section 2. Battaglia and Zaffran computed the basic Betti numbers for their foliation in the case when the associated complete fan is

[^0]shellable. Their method consisted in applying the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. They conjectured that the basic cohomology ring has a description similar to the cohomology ring of a complete simplicial toric variety due to Danilov and Jurkiewicz [5]. The conjecture was justified by the fact that in the case of a complete regular fan the foliation becomes a locally trivial bundle over the associated toric variety with fibre a holomorphic torus (see Remark 2.2).

In this paper we first prove the conjecture for all complex moment-angle manifolds with invariant complex structure (see Theorem 3.4). Our approach is different from that of Battaglia-Zaffran: we use the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence and establish the formality of the Cartan model for the torus action on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ (see Lemma 3.2).

In the second part of the paper we study the notion of transverse equivalence for foliated manifolds. It is useful, as the basic cohomology rings of transverse equivalent foliated manifolds are isomorphic. We adapt the notion of transverse equivalence to our situation of complex manifolds with maximal torus actions and their canonical foliations (see Definition 5.2). We use the classification results of the first author [9] for complex manifolds with maximal torus actions to show that the transverse equivalence class of such a manifold is determined by its marked fan data (Theorem 5.7). As a consequence, we obtain that any complex manifold with a maximal torus action is transverse equivalent to a complex moment-angle manifold (Theorem 5.8). This gives a description of the basic cohomology ring for any complex manifold with a maximal torus action (Theorem 5.9). Since LVMB manifolds are a particular class of maximal torus actions, the conjecture of Battaglia and Zaffran is proved completely.

We note that our approach can be also applied to smooth moment-angle manifolds $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ rather than complex ones. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasise the holomorphic nature of the foliation under consideration. The reason is that we hope that our methods can be applied for calculation of basic Dolbeault cohomology for the foliation and Dolbeault cohomology of complex moment-angle manifolds. Recently these rings were computed for the case when the foliation is transverse Kähler, which is the case if and only if the fan $\Sigma$ is polytopal (see [10]). In the general case, the description of the Dolbeault cohomology rings is an open problem, which we shall address in the subsequent work.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The moment-angle complex. An abstract simplicial complex on the set $[m]=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ is a collection $\mathcal{K}$ of subsets $I \subset[m]$ such that if $I \in \mathcal{K}$ then each $J \subset I$ also belongs to $\mathcal{K}$. We always assume that $\varnothing \in \mathcal{K}$.

The moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}$ is a topological space constructed as follows. Consider the unit $m$-dimensional polydisc:

$$
\mathbb{D}^{m}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}:\left|z_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}:=\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{D} \times \prod_{i \notin I} \mathbb{S}\right) \subset \mathbb{D}^{m}
$$

where $\mathbb{S}$ is the boundary of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$.
The moment-angle complex is equipped with a natural action of the torus

$$
T^{m}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}:\left|t_{i}\right|=1\right\}
$$

When $\mathcal{K}$ is simplicial subdivision of a sphere, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a topological manifold 44, Theorem 4.1.4], called the moment-angle manifold.

We define an open submanifold $U(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ in a similar way:

$$
U(\mathcal{K}):=\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} \times \prod_{i \notin I} \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{\times}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. The manifold $U(\mathcal{K})$ has a coordinate-wise action of the algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}$, in which $T^{m}$ is a maximal compact subgroup. Furthermore, $U(\mathcal{K})$ is a toric variety with the corresponding fan given by

$$
\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant}\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle: I \in \mathcal{K}\right\}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant}\langle A\rangle$ denotes the cone spanned by the elements in $A$.

Given a commutative ring $R$ with unit, the face ring (or the Stanley-Reisner ring) of $\mathcal{K}$ is

$$
R[\mathcal{K}]:=R\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / I_{\mathcal{K}}
$$

where $R\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]$ is the polynomial algebra, $\operatorname{deg} v_{i}=2$, and $I_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal, generated by those monomials $v_{I}=\prod_{i \in I} v_{i}$ for which $I$ is not a simplex of $\mathcal{K}$.
2.2. Complex structure on moment-angle manifolds. Assume that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ admits a complex structure invariant under the action of $T^{m}$. Then the action of $T^{m}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ extends to a holomorphic action of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$. The global stabilisers subgroup

$$
H=\left\{g \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}: g \cdot x=x \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right\}
$$

is a complex-analytic subgroup of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $H$ is a complex subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}$. It was proved in [9, Proposition 7.8 ] that $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) the composite $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Re}} \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is injective;
(b) the quotient map $q: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} / \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{h})$ sends the fan $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$ to a complete fan $q\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m} / \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{h})$.
Here we identify $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $T^{m}$. By [9, Theorem 7.9], the complex manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is $T^{m}$-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient manifold $U(\mathcal{K}) / H$.

Conversely, it was proved in [15, Theorem 3.3] that if a complex subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) above, then the Lie subgroup $H$ of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{m}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $U(\mathcal{K})$ freely and properly, and the complex manifold $U(\mathcal{K}) / H$ is $T^{m}$-equivariantly homeomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

It follows that a moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ admits a complex structure if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is the underlying complex of a complete simplicial fan (that is, $\mathcal{K}$ is a star-shaped sphere triangulation), and a stably complex structure on such $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is defined by a choice of a complex subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ satisfying (a) and (b) above.

Construction 2.1 (Holomorphic foliation on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ ). Define the Lie subalgebra and the corresponding Lie group

$$
\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}=\mathfrak{t}, \quad H^{\prime}=\exp \left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right) \subset T^{m}
$$

The restriction of the $T^{m}$-action on $U(\mathcal{K}) / H$ to $H^{\prime} \subset T^{m}$ is almost free (i. e., all stabiliser subgroups are discrete), see [4, Proposition 5.4.6]. Therefore, we obtain a smooth foliation on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ by the orbits of $H^{\prime}$. To see that this foliation is holomorphic, let $J \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{T} \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ be the operator of the complex structure on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$, and $u \in \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. By condition (a) above, there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $u+i v \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex subspace, we have $v-i u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Hence, $v \in \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Let $X_{u}$ and $X_{v}$ denote the fundamental vector fields on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}=U(\mathcal{K}) / H$ generated by $u$ and $v$, respectively. Then $X_{v}=J X_{u}$ because $v-i u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore, at each point, $J X_{u}$ belongs to the tangent space of a leaf of the foliation. This means that the foliation is holomorphic.

Remark 2.2. If the subspace $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is rational (i.e., generated by integer vectors), then $H^{\prime}$ is a subtorus of $T^{m}$ and the complete simplicial fan $\Sigma:=q\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ is rational. The rational fan $\Sigma$ defines a toric variety $V_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} / H^{\prime}=U(\mathcal{K}) / H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}$. The holomorphic foliation of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ by the orbits of $H^{\prime}$ becomes a holomorphic Seifert fibration over the toric orbifold $V_{\Sigma}$ with fibres compact complex tori $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime} / H$ (see [15, Proposition 5.2]).
2.3. Basic cohomology and equivariant cohomology. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$-differential graded algebra ( $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$-DGA for short) is a differential graded algebra (DGA for short) equipped with an action of operators $\iota_{\xi}$ (concatenation) and $L_{\xi}$ (Lie derivative) for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, see [6, Definition 3.1] for the details. For a $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$-DGA $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$, the basic subcomplex $A_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$
A_{\mathrm{bas} \mathfrak{g}}:=\left\{\omega \in A: \iota_{\xi} \omega=L_{\xi} \omega=0 \text { for any } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\right\}
$$

Basic cohomology of $A$ is given by

$$
H_{\mathrm{bas} \mathfrak{g}}(A)=H\left(A_{\mathrm{bas} \mathfrak{g}}, d_{A}\right)
$$

We omit $\mathfrak{g}$ by writing $H_{\text {bas }}(A)$ for simplicity when $\mathfrak{g}$ is clear from the context.
Let $S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$ denote the symmetric (polynomial) algebra on the dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ with generators of degree 2 , and $\Lambda\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$ the exterior algebra with generators of degree 1 . The Weil algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the DGA

$$
\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}):=\left(\Lambda\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right), d_{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})}\right)
$$

with the standard acyclic (Koszul) differential $d_{\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})}$. We refer to $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ simply as $\mathcal{W}$ when $\mathfrak{g}$ is clear from the context. There are two models for equivariant cohomology of $A$. The Cartan model is defined as

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)=\left(\left(S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right) \otimes A\right)^{\mathfrak{g}}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)
$$

where $\left(S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right) \otimes A\right)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant subalgebra. We think of an element $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant polynomial map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $A$. The differential $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$
d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega)(\xi)=d_{A}(\omega(\xi))-\iota_{\xi}(\omega(\xi))
$$

The Weil model is defined as

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)=\left((\mathcal{W} \otimes A)_{\mathrm{bas}}, d\right)
$$

where $d=d_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes 1+1 \otimes d_{A}$. The Mathai-Quillen isomorphism [12] implies that the Weil model $W_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ and the Cartan model $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ have the same cohomology $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$. The algebra $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is called the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant cohomology of the $\mathfrak{g}$-algebra $A$.

A $\mathcal{W}^{\star}$-algebra $B$ is a $\mathfrak{g}^{\star}$-DGA which is also a $\mathcal{W}$-module, see [7, Definition 3.4.1]. For a $\mathcal{W}^{\star}$-algebra $B$, there are weak equivalences between $B_{\text {bas }}$ and the algebras $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$, see [7, Section 5.1]. In particular, we have $H_{\text {bas }}(B) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$ if $B$ is a $\mathcal{W}^{\star}$-algebra.

Now let $M$ be a smooth manifold equipped with an action of a connected Lie group $G$, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. Then the algebra $\Omega(M)$ of differential forms on $M$ is a $\mathcal{W}^{\star}$-algebra, so we have algebra isomorphisms

$$
H_{\mathrm{bas}}(\Omega(M)) \cong H\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Omega(M))\right) \cong H\left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Omega(M))\right)
$$

If in addition $G$ is a compact, then the algebra above is isomorphic to the equivariant cohomology $H_{G}^{*}(M):=H^{*}\left(E G \times_{G} M\right)$, see [7, Theorem 2.5.1]:

$$
H_{\mathrm{bas}}(\Omega(M)) \cong H_{G}^{*}(M)
$$

2.4. The case of torus actions. Let $G$ be a compact torus, and $M$ a smooth $G$-manifold. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ be a subspace of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$, and $H^{\prime}$ the corresponding Lie subgroup of $G$. Assume that the action restricted to $H^{\prime}$ is almost free. Then we have a smooth foliation of $M$ by $H^{\prime}$-orbits. It follows from [11, Lemma 4.4] that $\Omega(M)$ and $\Omega(M)^{G}$ have a structure of $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{\star}$-algebras.

Lemma 2.3. The natural inclusion $\Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}^{G} \hookrightarrow \Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.
Proof. First, we show that the induced homomorphism $H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega(M)^{G}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{bash}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$ is injective. Let $I: \Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}^{G}$ be the linear map given by

$$
I(\alpha)=\int_{g \in G} g^{*} \alpha d g, \quad \alpha \in \Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}
$$

where $d g$ denotes the normalised Haar measure on $G$. Then the composite $\Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}^{G} \hookrightarrow$ $\Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{I} \Omega(M)_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}^{G}$ is the identity. Passing to cohomology, we obtain that the homomorphism $H_{\mathrm{bash}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega(M)^{G}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{bas} \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$ is injective.

Now, we prove that $H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega(M)^{G}\right) \rightarrow H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$ is surjective. This will be done by showing that $I(\alpha)$ and $\alpha$ define the same cohomology class in $H_{\text {bas }} \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}(\Omega(M))$.

Let $[\alpha] \in H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$ be a cohomology class represented by $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathrm{bash}^{\prime}}(M)$. Let $\exp _{G}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ be the exponential map, and let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ be a lattice basis of Ker $\exp _{G}$. Define

$$
D:=\left\{v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \gamma_{i}: 0 \leqslant a_{i}<1\right\}
$$

Then the exponential map restricted to $D$ gives a bijection $\left.\exp _{G}\right|_{D}: D \rightarrow G$. For $v \in D$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $g_{t}:=\exp _{G}(t v) \in G$ and

$$
\theta_{g_{1}}:=\int_{0}^{1} g_{t}^{*}\left(\iota_{X_{v}} \alpha\right) d t \in \Omega_{\mathrm{bas} \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(M)
$$

The form $\theta_{g_{1}}$ is basic because $G$ is a commutative group. We have

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_{t+h}^{*} \alpha-g_{t}^{*} \alpha}{h}=L_{X_{v}} g_{t}^{*} \alpha=i_{X_{v}} d g_{t}^{*} \alpha+d i_{X_{v}} g_{t}^{*} \alpha=d i_{X_{v}} g_{t}^{*} \alpha=d g_{t}^{*} i_{X_{v}} \alpha
$$

implying that

$$
d \theta_{g_{1}}=d \int_{0}^{1} g_{t}^{*} i_{X_{v}} \alpha d t=\int_{0}^{1} d g_{t}^{*} i_{X_{v}} \alpha d t=g_{1}^{*} \alpha-g_{0}^{*} \alpha=g_{1}^{*} \alpha-\alpha
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{g_{1} \in G}\left(g_{1}^{*} \alpha-\alpha\right) d g=\int_{g_{1} \in G} d \theta_{g_{1}} d g=d \int_{g_{1} \in G} \theta_{g_{1}} d g
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{g_{1} \in G}\left(g_{1}^{*} \alpha-\alpha\right) d g=I(\alpha)-\alpha
$$

It follows that $I(\alpha)$ and $\alpha$ represent the same class in $H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$. This together with $I(\alpha) \in \Omega_{\mathrm{bash}^{\prime}}(M)^{G}$ yields that the induced homomorphism $H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega(M)^{G}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{\text {bas } \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}(\Omega(M))$ is surjective, proving the lemma.

## 3. BASIC COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$

Here we consider moment-angle manifolds $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ equipped with a $T^{m}$-invariant complex structure. The purpose of this section is to describe the basic cohomology algebra of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ with respect to the canonical holomorphic foliation described in Construction [2.1:

$$
H_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right):=H_{\text {bas }^{\prime}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right) .
$$

We first reduce the computation of $H_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma}\right)$ to cohomology of a special DGA:
Lemma 3.1. Consider the algebra

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}\right)=\left(S\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}, d_{\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$
H_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right) \cong H(\mathcal{N})
$$

Proof. Applying the quasi-isomorphism of Lemma 2.3 to the $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{\star}$-algebras $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}$ we obtain

$$
H_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)=H_{\text {bash }^{\prime}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right) \cong H_{\text {bash }}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}\right)=H\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}\right)\right)=H(\mathcal{N})
$$

Recall that a DGA $B$ is called formal if it is weak equivalent to its cohomology algebra: $\left(B, d_{B}\right) \simeq\left(H^{*}\left(B, d_{B}\right), 0\right)$. (A weak equivalence is the equivalence generated by quasiisomorphisms; it may not be realised by a single quasi-isomorphism of DGA, but rather by a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms.)

As $T^{m}$ is compact, cohomology of the Cartan model

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)=\left(S\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right) \otimes \Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}, d_{\mathrm{t}}\right)
$$

is the equivariant cohomology $H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$, which is a module over $S\left(\mathrm{t}^{*}\right)=H_{T^{m}}^{*}(p t)=$ $H^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$.

Lemma 3.2. The algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)$ is formal. Furthermore, there is a zigzag of quasiisomorphisms of DGAs between $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)$ and $H_{T^{m}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ which respect the $S\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)$-module structure.

Proof. In this proof, $\mathcal{W}$ is the Weil algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{t})$ of the torus $T^{m}$. Let $E=E U(m)$ be the space of orthonormal $m$-frames in $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}$. Let $\Omega(E)$ be the inverse limit of the algebras of differential forms on the smooth manifolds of $m$-frames in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. We consider the commutative diagram


Here $\iota$ and $\iota_{\text {bas }}$ are the quasi-isomorphisms induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \Omega(E)$ of a free acyclic $\mathcal{W}^{\star}$-algebra (see [7, Proposition 2.5.4 and §4.4]), and the restriction $\mathcal{W}_{\text {bas }} \hookrightarrow$ $\Omega(E)_{\text {bas }}$ is the Chern-Weil homomorphism. The quasi-isomorphism $\varphi$ is given by Cartan's Theorem (see [7, Theorem 4.2.1]). The isomorphism $\psi$ follows from the fact that $T^{m}$ acts freely on $E$.

The middle line of the diagram above gives a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms between $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)$ and $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times_{T^{m}} E\right)$ which respect the $S\left(\mathrm{t}^{*}\right)$-module structure.

Now, the Borel construction $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times_{T^{m}} E$ is homotopy equivalent to the polyhedral product $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)^{\mathcal{K}}$, which is a rationally formal space by [14, Theorem 4.8] or [4, Theorem 8.1.6, Corollary 8.1.7]. Rational formality implies a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms between $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times_{T^{m}} E\right)$ and $H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)=H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times T^{m} E\right)$, as the de Rham forms $\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}} \times{ }_{T^{m}} E\right)$ is a commutative cochain model. This zigzag can be chosen to respect the $H^{*}\left(B T^{m}\right)$ module structure (see [4, 8.1.11-8.1.12]).

We have the following extended functoriality property of Tor in the category of DGAs, which is a standard corollary of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence:
Lemma 3.3 ([16, Corollary 1.3]). Let $A$ and $B$ be $D G A s$, let $L, L^{\prime}$ be a pair of $A$-modules and let $M, M^{\prime}$ be a pair of $B$-modules given together with morphisms

$$
f: A \rightarrow B, \quad g: L \rightarrow M, \quad g^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}
$$

where $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are $f$-linear. If $f, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are quasi-isomorphisms, then

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{f}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Tor}_{A}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{B}\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Now we are ready to prove the main result:
Theorem 3.4. There is an isomorphism of algebras:

$$
H_{\mathrm{bas}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] /\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+J\right)
$$

where $I_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of $\mathcal{K}$, generated by the monomials

$$
v_{i_{1}} \cdots v_{i_{k}} \quad \text { with }\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \notin \mathcal{K}
$$

and $J$ is the ideal generated by the linear forms

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle\boldsymbol{u}, q\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)\right\rangle v_{i} \quad \text { with } \boldsymbol{u} \in\left(\mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)^{*}
$$

Here $q: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ is the projection, and $\mathfrak{t}=\mathbb{R}^{m}$.
Proof. Denote $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}:=\mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. We have a splitting $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Hence, $S\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right) \cong S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime *}\right)$, and $S\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)$ is an $S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$-module via the linear monomorphism $q^{*}: \mathfrak{g}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$. We also obtain a DGA isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right) \cong S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{T^{m}}\right)$ (see Lemma 3.1) and the right hand side is understood as the Cartan model of $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$.

Recall that $H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{K}]$ (see [3, Corollary 3.3.1]). Since the fan $\Sigma=q\left(\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ is complete, the Stanley-Reisner ring $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{K}]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, that is, it is a finitelygenerated free module over its polynomial subalgebra. Furthermore, the composite $\mathfrak{g}^{*} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{I}^{*}$ is onto for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathfrak{t}_{I}$ is the coordinate subspace generated by all $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ with $i \in I$. Therefore, the criterion [4, Lemma 3.3.1] applies to show that $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{K}]$ is a finitely generated free module over $S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$.

Consider the following pushout diagram of DGAs:

where the morphisms are given by

$$
f^{*}: p \mapsto p(0), \quad \pi^{*}: p \mapsto p \otimes 1, \quad \tilde{f}^{*}: \omega \mapsto 1 \otimes \omega, \quad \tilde{\pi}^{*}: c \mapsto c \otimes 1
$$

We have a sequence of algebra isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}, S^{*}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\Omega\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right)  \tag{2}\\
\cong \operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{* *}\right)}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{S_{\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}} H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right) \cong H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right) / S^{+}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \\
\cong \mathbb{R}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] /\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+J\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The first isomorphism follows from (1). The second isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. In the third isomorphism, the higher Tor vanish because $H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ is a free module over $S^{*}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{* *}\right)$. The fourth and fifth isomorphisms are clear. For the last isomorphism, recall that $q: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is the quotient projection, so that $q^{*}(\boldsymbol{u})=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i}\right\rangle v_{i}$ for any $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$.

On the other hand, we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}, S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right) \cong H(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)} H\left(S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \cong H\left(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right)}\left(S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime *}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right)\right) \cong H(\mathcal{N}) \cong H_{\mathrm{bas}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For the first isomorphism, the higher Tor vanish by (2). The second isomorphism is by definition of Tor ${ }^{0}$. The third isomorphism follows from the Künneth Theorem, since $H\left(S\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{N}\right)=H_{T^{m}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ is a free module over $S^{*}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{* *}\right)$. The fourth isomorphism is clear. The last isomorphism is Lemma 3.1

The theorem follows from (2) and (3).

## 4. Complex manifolds with maximal torus actions

We briefly recall the classification of complex manifolds with maximal torus action given in [9]. Let $M$ be a connected smooth manifold equipped with an effective action of a compact torus $G$. We say that the $G$-action on $M$ is maximal if there exists a point $x \in M$ such that $\operatorname{dim} G+\operatorname{dim} G_{x}=\operatorname{dim} M$. If the action of $G$ on $M$ is maximal, then we can think of $G$ as a maximal compact torus of the group of diffeomorphisms on $M$ (see [9, Lemma 2.2]). Examples of maximal torus actions include the half-dimensional torus action on a smooth toric variety and the $T^{m}$-action on a moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ denote the category of complex manifolds with maximal torus actions, with objects given by triples $(M, G, y)$, where

- $M$ is a compact connected complex manifold;
- $G$ is a compact torus acting on $M$, the $G$-action is maximal and preserves the complex structure on $M$;
- $y \in M$ satisfies $G_{y}=\{1\}$.

The set of morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\left(\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)$ consists of pairs $(f, \alpha)$, where

- $\alpha: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is a smooth homomorphism;
- $f: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ is an $\alpha$-equivariant holomorphic map, i. e. $f(g \cdot x)=\alpha(g) \cdot f(x)$ for $x \in M_{1}$ and $g \in G_{1}$;
- $f\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{2}$.

Given a compact torus $G$, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G$ and by $\exp _{G}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ the exponential map. We think of Ker $\exp _{G} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ as a lattice in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus i \mathfrak{g}$ be the complexified Lie algebra. We denote by $p: \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the first projection.

As a combinatorial counterpart of $\mathscr{C}_{1}$, we consider the category $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ with objects given by triples $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, G)$ satisfying the following:

- $G$ is a compact torus;
- $\Sigma$ is a nonsingular fan in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the lattice $\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G}$;
- $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex subspace such that the restriction $\left.p\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is injective; we denote by $q: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / p(\mathfrak{h})$ be the quotient map of real vector spaces;
- $q(\Sigma):=\{q(\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{g} / p(\mathfrak{h}): \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ is a complete fan, and the map $\Sigma \rightarrow q(\Sigma)$ given by $\sigma \mapsto q(\sigma)$ is bijective.
The morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_{2}}\left(\left(\Sigma_{1}, \mathfrak{h}_{1}, G_{1}\right),\left(\Sigma_{2}, \mathfrak{h}_{2}, G_{2}\right)\right)$ are defined as the set of smooth homomorphisms $\alpha: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with the following properties:
- the differential $d \alpha: \mathfrak{g}_{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ induces a morphism of fans $\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}$ (that is, for any $\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}$, there exists $\sigma_{2} \in \Sigma_{2}$ such that $\left.d \alpha\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \subset \sigma_{2}\right)$;
- the complexified differential $d \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}: \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $d \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{2}$.

It is proved in [9, Theorem 8.2] that the categories $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ are equivalent.
Namely, there is a functor $\mathscr{F}_{1}: \mathscr{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{2}$ defined as follows. For $(M, G, y) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, there exists a unique $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, G) \in \mathscr{C}_{2}$ such that $M$ is $G$-equivariantly biholomorphic to the quotient manifold $V_{\Sigma} / H$, where $V_{\Sigma}$ is the toric variety associated with $\Sigma$ and $H$ is the subgroup of the algebraic torus $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The category $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ fully contains momentangle manifolds with invariant complex structures and LVMB manifolds (see [9, Section 10] for the details).

In the opposite direction, there is a functor $\mathscr{F}_{2}: \mathscr{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{1}$ defined as follows. Given $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, G) \in \mathscr{C}_{2}$, define the manifold $M$ as the quotient $V_{\Sigma} / H$ with the natural $G$-action. This gives an object in $\mathscr{C}_{1}$. In particular, if $\Sigma$ is a subfan of the standard fan in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ defining the toric variety $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, then the manifold $V_{\Sigma} / H$ is $G$-equivariantly homeomorphic to the moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the underlying simplicial complex of $\Sigma$. If $\Sigma$ is a subfan of the fan defining the toric variety $\mathbb{C} P^{m}$, then the corresponding manifold $V_{\Sigma} / H$ is an LVMB manifold.

The functors $\mathscr{F}_{1}: \mathscr{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{2}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{2}: \mathscr{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{1}$ are weak inverse to each other.
Given $(M, G, y) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, we define a holomorphic foliation on $M$ as in the case of momentangle manifolds, see Construction 2.1. Namely, we consider the Lie subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of $G$ corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}:=p(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. It was shown in [8, Propositions 3.3 and 5.2] that the action of $H^{\prime} \subset G$ on $M$ is almost free. Thus we have a holomorphic foliation of $M$ by $H^{\prime}$-orbits. We refer to this foliation as the canonical foliation on $M$ (see [11, Section 2]).

## 5. Transverse equivalence

Let $\left(M_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, F_{2}\right)$ be smooth manifolds with foliations $F_{1}$ on $M_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ on $M_{2}$. We say that $\left(M_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, F_{2}\right)$ are transversely equivalent if there exist a foliated manifold $\left(M_{0}, F_{0}\right)$ and surjective submersions $f_{i}: M_{0} \rightarrow M_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ such that

- $f_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is connected for all $x_{i} \in M_{i}$, and
- the preimage under $f_{i}$ of every leaf of $F_{i}$ is a leaf of $F_{0}$
(see [13, Definition 2.1] for details).
The important property of the transverse equivalence is that the algebra of basic differential forms is an invariant of the equivalence class:

Proposition 5.1. If foliated manifolds $\left(M_{1}, F_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, F_{2}\right)$ are transversely equivalent via $\left(M_{0}, F_{0}\right)$ and $f_{i}: M_{0} \rightarrow M_{i}$, then there is a DGA isomorphism $\Omega_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \cong \Omega_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Proof. We show that each $f_{i}^{*}: \Omega_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(M_{i}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text {bas }}^{*}\left(M_{0}\right)$ is a DGA isomorphism. The map $f_{i}^{*}$ is injective since $f_{i}$ is a submersion. To prove that $f_{i}^{*}$ is surjective, we take a basic form $\omega \in \Omega_{\text {bas }}^{q}\left(M_{0}\right)$ and construct $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\text {bas }}^{q}\left(M_{i}\right)$ such that $f_{i}^{*} \omega^{\prime}=\omega$. Choose a point $x_{i} \in M_{i}$ and $q$ tangent vectors $v_{1}, \ldots,\left.v_{q} \in \mathcal{T} M_{i}\right|_{x_{i}}$. Take any $x_{0} \in f_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and tangent vectors $u_{1}, \ldots,\left.u_{q} \in \mathcal{T} M_{0}\right|_{x_{0}}$ such that $d f_{i}\left(u_{j}\right)=v_{j}$. Then put $\omega^{\prime}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right):=\omega\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}\right)$. This definition is independent of all choices. First, it is independent of the choice of a
point $x_{0}$, since $f^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is connected, belongs to a single leaf of $F_{0}$ and $L_{\xi} \omega=0$ for any section $\xi$ of $\mathcal{T} F_{0}$. Second, the definition of $\omega^{\prime}$ is independent of the choice of vectors $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}$, since $\left.\left.\operatorname{Ker} d f_{i}\right|_{x_{0}} \subset \mathcal{T} F_{0}\right|_{x_{0}}$ and $\omega$ is a basic form. Thus, $\omega^{\prime}$ is a well-defined basic form and $f_{i}^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=\omega$.

Transverse equivalence is an equivalence relation on foliated manifolds. Restricting our attention to complex manifolds with maximal torus actions and their canonical foliations, we obtain the appropriate version of transverse equivalence, as described next.
Definition 5.2. Let $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$. We say that triples $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are principal equivalent (p-equivalent for short) if there exist $\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ and morphisms $\left(f_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\left(\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(M_{i}, G_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i=1,2$ such that

- Ker $\alpha_{i}$ is connected;
- $f_{i}: M_{0} \rightarrow M_{i}$ is a principal Ker $\alpha_{i}$-bundle.

Lemma 5.3. Let $(M, G, y),\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$. Let $F$ and $F_{0}$ be the canonical foliations on $M$ and $M_{0}$, respectively. Let $(f, \alpha) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\left(\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right),(M, G, y)\right)$ be a morphism such that

- Ker $\alpha$ is connected;
- $f: M_{0} \rightarrow M$ is a principal Ker $\alpha$-bundle.

Then,

- $f^{-1}(x)$ is connected for all $x \in M$ and
- the preimage under $f$ of every leaf of $F$ is a leaf of $F_{0}$.

Proof. Since Ker $\alpha$ is connected and $f: M_{0} \rightarrow M$ is a principal Ker $\alpha$-bundle, we have that $f^{-1}(x)$ is connected for all $x \in M$.

We put $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, G)=\mathscr{F}_{1}(M, G, y)$ and $\left(\Sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}, G_{0}\right)=\mathscr{F}_{1}\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. It follows from [9, Theorem 11.1] that $\alpha$ is surjective and the differential $d \alpha: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ induces a one-toone correspondence between the primitive generators of 1 -cones in $\Sigma$ and the primitive generators of 1-cones in $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Let $p_{0}: \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $p: \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the projections. We put $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=p(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}=p_{0}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$. Let $q_{0}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $q: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ be the quotient maps. Since $d \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{0}\right) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and $p \circ d \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}=d \alpha \circ p_{0}$, we have $d \alpha\left(\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Hence, $d \alpha: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ induces a linear map $\overline{d \alpha}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. Since $d \alpha$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive generators of 1-cones in $\Sigma$ and the primitive generators of 1-cones in $\Sigma^{\prime}$, we have that $\overline{d \alpha}$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive generators of 1-cones in $q(\Sigma)$ and the primitive generators of 1-cones in $q_{0}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that $\overline{d \alpha}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $(d \alpha)^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, since $d \alpha$ is surjective, its restriction $\left.d \alpha\right|_{\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}}: \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ is also surjective. For the corresponding Lie subgroups $H_{0}^{\prime}=\exp _{G} \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} \subset G_{0}$ and $H^{\prime}=\exp _{G} \mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \subset G$, the map $\left.\alpha\right|_{H_{0}^{\prime}}: H_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ is also surjective.

Let $L$ be a leaf of $F$. By definition, $L$ is an $H^{\prime}$-orbit, that is, $L=H^{\prime} \cdot x$ for some $x \in L$, Since $f: M_{0} \rightarrow M$ is a principal bundle, there exists $x_{0} \in M_{0}$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x$. We need to show that $f^{-1}(L)=H_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0}$. The map $f$ is $\alpha$-equivariant and $\alpha\left(H_{0}^{\prime}\right)=H^{\prime}$ by the previous paragraph, which implies that $H_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0} \subset f^{-1}(L)$. To show the opposite inclusion, let $x_{0}^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(L)$. Then $f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in L$. Hence, there exists $h^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}$ such that $x=h^{\prime} \cdot f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left.\alpha\right|_{H_{0}^{\prime}}: H_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ is surjective, there exists $h_{0}^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha\left(h_{0}^{\prime}\right)=h^{\prime}$. Then we have $f\left(h_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=h^{\prime} \cdot f\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=x=f\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since $f$ is a principal Ker $\alpha$-bundle, there exists $k \in \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$ such that $x_{0}=k \cdot\left(h_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Now $(d \alpha)^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$ implies that Ker $d \alpha \subset \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$. Since Ker $\alpha$ is connected, we obtain Ker $\alpha \subset H_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $k \cdot h_{0}^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{\prime}$ and $x_{0}^{\prime}=\left(k h_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \cdot x_{0} \in H_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0}$. Thus, $f^{-1}(L)=H_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{0}$ is a leaf of $F_{0}$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ be complex manifolds with maximal torus actions. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be the canonical foliations on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. If
$\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are p-equivalent, then $\left(M_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, F_{2}\right)$ are transversely equivalent.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.3 immediately.
The p-equivalence class of a maximal torus action is determined by the combinatorial data defined next.

Definition 5.5. A marked fan is a quadruple $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda})$, where

- $\widetilde{V}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space;
- $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $\widetilde{V}$ that spans $\widetilde{V}$ linearly;
- $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is a fan in $\widetilde{V}$ and each 1-cone of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is generated by an element of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$;
- $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is a function $\widetilde{\lambda}: \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Gamma}$, where $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}$ is the set of 1-cones of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, and $\widetilde{\lambda}(\rho)$ is a generator of $\rho \in \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}$.
We say that a marked fan $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda})$ is simplicial (respectively, complete) if the fan $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is simplicial (respectively, complete). We denote by $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{2}$ the class that consists of complete simplicial marked fans.

We say that marked fans $\left(\widetilde{V}_{1}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{1}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{V}_{2}, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{2}, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{2}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic if there exists a linear isomorphism $\varphi: \widetilde{V}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{2}$ such that

- $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}\right)=\widetilde{\Gamma}_{2}$;
- $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism of fans $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{2}$;
- $\left.\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} \circ \varphi\right|_{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{1}^{(1)}}=\varphi \circ \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}$.

Construction 5.6 (the marked fan data of a maximal torus action). To each $(M, G, y) \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ we can assign a complete simplicial marked $\operatorname{fan}(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda}) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{2}$ as follows. Set $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, G)=\mathscr{F}_{1}(M, G, y)$. As before, let $p: \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the projection, $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=p(\mathfrak{h})$ and $q: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ the quotient map. For each 1-cone $\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}$, we denote by $\lambda(\rho) \in \operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G}$ the primitive generator of $\rho$. Now set $\widetilde{V}:=\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\Gamma}:=q\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G}\right), \widetilde{\Sigma}:=q(\Sigma)$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}(q(\rho)):=q(\lambda(\rho))$ for $\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}$. This defines a map $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}: \mathscr{C}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{2}$. Its properties are described in the next two theorems.

Theorem 5.7. Let $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$. Then, $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are p-equivalent if and only if the marked fans $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. For $j=0,1,2$, let $\left(\Sigma_{j}, \mathfrak{h}_{j}, G_{j}\right):=\mathscr{F}_{1}\left(M_{j}, G_{j}, y_{j}\right)$. Let $p_{j}: \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ be the projection, $\mathfrak{h}_{j}^{\prime}:=p_{j}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{j}\right), q_{j}: \mathfrak{g}_{j} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j} / p_{j}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{j}\right)$ the quotient map and $\exp _{G_{j}}: \mathfrak{g}_{j} \rightarrow G_{j}$ the exponential map.

Suppose that $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are p-equivalent. Then there exists a triple $\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ and $\left(f_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}\left(\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(M_{i}, G_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i=1,2$ such that Ker $\alpha_{i}$ is connected and $f_{i}: M_{0} \rightarrow M_{i}$ is a principal Ker $\alpha_{i}$-bundle. The map $\overline{d \alpha_{i}}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{g}_{i} / \mathfrak{h}_{i}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism (see the proof of Lemma 5.3) and it induces an isomorphism between $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{0}, G_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{i}, G_{i}, y_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Conversely, suppose that $\widetilde{F}_{1}\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic. By definition, this means that there is a linear isomorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{g}_{1} / \mathfrak{h}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2} / \mathfrak{h}_{2}^{\prime}$ such that

- $\varphi\left(q_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{1}}\right)\right)=q_{2}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{2}}\right) ;$
- ${\underset{\sim}{\lambda}}$ induces an isomorphism of fans $q_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \rightarrow q_{2}\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$;
- $\left.\widetilde{\lambda}_{2} \circ \varphi\right|_{q_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}^{(1)}\right)}=\varphi \circ \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}$.

We construct $\left(\Sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}, G_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{2}$ and use [9, Theorem 11.1] to show that $\left(M_{1}, G_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and ( $M_{2}, G_{2}, y_{2}$ ) are equivalent. Define

$$
\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{1}} \times \operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{2}} \mid \varphi\left(q_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)=q_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ the linear hull of the discrete subgroup $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{1} \times \mathfrak{g}_{2}$. Let $\exp _{G_{1} \times G_{2}}: \mathfrak{g}_{1} \times$ $\mathfrak{g}_{2} \rightarrow G_{1} \times G_{2}$ be the exponential map. Then $G_{0}:=\exp _{G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right)$ is a subtorus of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ coincides with the kernel of $\exp _{G_{0}}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow G_{0}$. Since $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are bijective on the sets of cones of the fans, for each $\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}$ we can define $\Phi\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=q_{2}^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \in \Sigma_{2}$. This implies that, for each $\rho_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}^{(1)}$, the element $\lambda_{0}\left(\rho_{1}\right):=\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(\Phi\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ is a primitive element of $\Gamma_{0}$. Suppose that a cone $\sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}$ is spanned by $\rho_{1,1}, \ldots, \rho_{1, k} \in \Sigma_{1}^{(1)}$. Then we denote by $\Psi\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ the cone in $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ spanned by $\lambda_{0}\left(\rho_{1,1}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{0}\left(\rho_{1, k}\right)$. Under this notation, we have a nonsingular fan $\Sigma_{0}:=\left\{\Psi\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \Sigma_{1}\right\}$. Let $\alpha_{i}: G_{0} \rightarrow G_{i}$ be the projection $G_{1} \times G_{2} \rightarrow G_{i}$ restricted to $G_{0} \subset G_{1} \times G_{2}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $\alpha_{i}: G_{0} \rightarrow G_{i}$ is surjective and its differential $d \alpha_{i}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i}$ induces a morphism of fans $\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{i}$ that is bijective on the sets of cones. In particular, $d \alpha_{i}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i}$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between the primitive generators of 1-cones in $\Sigma_{0}$ and the primitive generators of 1-cones in $\Sigma_{i}$.

Now define $\mathfrak{h}_{0}:=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{1} \times \mathfrak{h}_{2}\right) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{2}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, the restriction $\left.p_{0}\right|_{\mathfrak{h}_{0}}$ of the projection $p_{0}: \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is injective because both $\left.p_{1}\right|_{\mathfrak{h}_{1}}$ and $\left.p_{2}\right|_{\mathfrak{h}_{2}}$ are injective. Put $\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}:=p_{0}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$. Let $q_{0}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$ be the quotient map. Since $q_{i}$ and $d \alpha_{i}$ both are surjective, the composite $q_{i} \circ d \alpha_{i}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i} / \mathfrak{h}_{i}^{\prime}$ is surjective for $i=1,2$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker} q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}=\operatorname{Ker} q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equality above holds since $q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2}=\varphi \circ q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}$ and $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. For the second equality of (44), let $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Ker} q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2}$. Then we have $q_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=0$ and hence $\gamma_{2} \in \mathfrak{h}_{2}^{\prime}$. The identity $q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2}=\varphi \circ q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}$ implies $\varphi \circ q_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is an isomorphism, $q_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=0$. Hence, $\gamma_{1} \in \mathfrak{h}_{1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$. We proved that $\operatorname{Ker} q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$. For the opposite inclusion, let $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$. Then $\gamma_{1} \in \mathfrak{h}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in \mathfrak{h}_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=q_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=0$, which implies $\operatorname{Ker} q_{2} \circ d \alpha_{2} \supset \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$.

Since $d \alpha_{1}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is surjective and $\operatorname{Ker} q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$, we have that $d \alpha_{1}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{d \alpha}{ }_{1}: \mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{1} / \mathfrak{h}_{1}^{\prime}$. Since the maps $\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{1}$ given by $\sigma_{0} \mapsto d \alpha_{1}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow q_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ given by $\sigma_{1} \mapsto q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ are bijective, the composite $\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow q_{1}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)$ given by $\sigma_{0} \mapsto q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ is also bijective. Now, both $\overline{d \alpha}_{1}$ and $q_{0}=\left(\overline{d \alpha}_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ q_{1} \circ d \alpha_{1}$ are isomorphism, so that

$$
q_{0}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)=\left\{q_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right): \sigma_{0} \in \Sigma_{0}\right\}
$$

is a complete fan in $\mathfrak{g}_{0} / \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{\prime}$ and the map $\Sigma_{0} \rightarrow q_{0}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$ given by $\sigma_{0} \mapsto q_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ is bijective. Therefore $\left(\Sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}, G_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{2}$.

Applying [9, Theorem 11.1] to the morphism $\alpha_{i}:\left(\Sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{h}_{0}, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma_{i}, \mathfrak{h}_{i}, G_{i}\right)$, we obtain a $\alpha_{i}$-equivariant principal $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{i}$-bundle $V_{\Sigma_{0}} / H_{0} \rightarrow V_{\Sigma_{i}} / H_{i} \cong M_{i}$. It remains to show that $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{i}$ is connected for $i=1,2$. Since $\alpha_{i}: G_{0} \rightarrow G_{i}$ is surjective, we have that $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{i}$ is connected if and only if $d \alpha_{i}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{i}}$. Recall that Ker $\exp _{G_{0}}=\Gamma_{0}$. Take $\gamma_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{1}}$. Since $\varphi\left(q_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{1}}\right)\right)=q_{2}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{2}}\right)$, there exists $\gamma_{2} \in \operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{2}}$ such that $q_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\varphi \circ q_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$. Then we have $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}$ with $d \alpha_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1}$, showing that $d \alpha_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{1}}$. Similarly, $d \alpha_{2}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \exp _{G_{2}}$. Thus, Ker $\alpha_{i}$ is connected.

Theorem 5.8. For any $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda}) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}_{2}}$, there exists a moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ equipped with a $T^{m}$-invariant complex structure such that $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}, T^{m}, y\right)$ is isomorphic to $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda})$.
$\operatorname{Proof}$. Let $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda}) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{2}$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}=\left\{\widetilde{\rho}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\rho}_{m^{\prime}}\right\}$ be the set of 1-cones of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the underlying simplicial complex of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, given by

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset\left\{1, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right\} \mid \rho_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\rho_{i_{k}} \in \widetilde{\Sigma}\right\}
$$

Put $\widetilde{\gamma}_{j}:=\widetilde{\lambda}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is finitely generated, we can choose elements $\widetilde{\gamma}_{m^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{m}, m \geqslant m^{\prime}$, such that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{m^{\prime}}, \widetilde{\gamma}_{m^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{m}$ generate $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $m-\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{V}$ is nonnegative and even. For $i=1, \ldots, m$, let $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}$ denote the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The collection of cones $\Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}:=\left\{\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant}\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}_{i}: i \in I\right\rangle: I \in \mathcal{K}\right\}$ is the fan of the toric variety $U(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \widetilde{V}$ be the linear map given by $\Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)=\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ such that $R e: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ restricted to $\mathfrak{h}$ is injective and $\operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{h})=\operatorname{Ker} \Lambda$ (see Subsection 2.2). Therefore $\left(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}, T^{m}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, and the moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}=U(\mathcal{K}) / H$ has the required properties.

Theorem 5.9. Let $(M, G, y) \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ be a complex manifold with a maximal torus action, and let $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\lambda})=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}(M, G, y)$ be the corresponding marked fan data. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}=$ $\left\{\widetilde{\rho}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\rho}_{m}\right\}$ be the set of 1 -cones of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. There is an isomorphism of algebras:

$$
H_{\mathrm{bas}}^{*}(M) \cong \mathbb{R}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] /\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+J\right)
$$

where $I_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the underlying simplicial complex of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, and $J$ is the ideal generated by the linear forms

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle\boldsymbol{u}, \widetilde{\lambda}\left(\widetilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right\rangle v_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{u} \in \widetilde{V}^{*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem5.8, for the manifold $M$, there exist a moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ with isomorphic marked fan data. By Theorem 5.7, the manifolds $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $M$ are p-equivalent as manifolds with maximal torus actions. By Proposition 5.1, their basic cohomology algebras are isomorphic. Finally, the basic cohomology algebra of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is described by Theorem 3.4.
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