

Electronic offprint from:

Zeitschrift für Arabische Linguistik
Journal of Arabic Linguistics
Journal de Linguistique Arabe

Herausgegeben von / Edited by / Edité par
Werner Arnold, Otto Jastrow

63 (2016)

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Beratendes Herausbergremium / Editorial Board / Editeurs consultatifs:
J. Aguadé (Cádiz), A. Bloch (Berkeley), H. Bobzin (Erlangen), A. Denz
(München), H. Grotzfeld (Münster), M. Hegazi (Kairo), S. Procházka (Wien),
Sh. Talay (Berlin)

Manuskripte und Rezensionen werden erbeten an:
Please address manuscripts and book reviews to:

Prof. Dr. Werner Arnold
Universität Heidelberg
Seminar für Sprachen und Kulturen des Vorderen Orients, Semitistik
Schulgasse 2, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
Tel. +49(0)6221/542957, Fax +49(0)6221/543401
E-Mail: arnold@uni-hd.de

Diese Zeitschrift kann auch in elektronischer Form über JSTOR (www.jstor.org)
bezogen werden.
This journal can also be accessed electronically via JSTOR (www.jstor.org).

Informationen zum Verlagsprogramm finden Sie unter
<http://www.harrassowitz-verlag.de>
For further information about our publishing program please consult our website
<http://www.harrassowitz-verlag.de>

© Otto Harrassowitz GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden 2016
Die Zeitschrift und alle in ihr enthaltenen Beiträge sind urheberrechtlich geschützt.
Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne
Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere
für Vervielfältigungen jeder Art, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und
für die Einspeicherung in elektronische Systeme.
Satz: Friederike Busse
Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier
Druck und Verarbeitung: © Hubert & Co., Göttingen
Printed in Germany

ISSN 0170-026X

Inhalt

Aufsätze

Y. FURMAN – S. LOESOV: Five Essays in Lexical Interaction between Spoken Arabic and Turoyo	5
V. NAUMKIN – M. BULAKH – D. CHERKASHIN – L. KOGAN – A. ISSA – I. GUMAAN – M. MOHAMMED: Studies in the Verbal Morphology of Soqotri II: Weak and Geminated Roots in the Basic Stem	19
A. ABDOU: Aspects of the Syntactic Behaviour of Arabic Idioms: A Corpus Analysis	61

Buchbesprechungen

M. SHAWARBAH: A Grammar of Negev Arabic. Comparative Studies, Texts and Glossary in the Bedouin Dialect of the Azazmih Tribe (V. Ritt-Benmimoun)	87
U. SEEGER: Der arabische Dialekt der Dörfer um Ramallah: Teil 3: Grammatik (A. Geva Kleinberger)	91
CH. PELTZ: Der Koran des Abū l-‘Alāʾ. Teil 1: Materialien und Überlegungen zum K. al-Fuṣūl wa-l-ġāyāt des al-Ma‘arrī (S. Weninger)	94

Studies in the Verbal Morphology of Soqotri II: Weak and Geminated Roots in the Basic Stem^{*}

V. Naumkin, M. Bulakh, D. Cherkashin, L. Kogan,
A. Issa, I. Gumaan, M. Mohammed

0 Introduction

The present article continues the investigation of the Soqotri verbal morphology undertaken by the Russian-Soqotri fieldwork team.

The article focuses on the so-called “weak” and “geminated” roots in the basic stem. Roots in which the slot of the second or third radical is occupied by the “weak” consonants *y*, *w* or *ʔ* will be referred to as “weak roots”. Roots in which the second and the third radicals are identical will be referred to as “geminated roots”.

The investigation is based on the analysis of full paradigms (perfect, imperfect and jussive) of more than 170 “weak” and “geminated” Soqotri verbs, most of them occurring in NAUMKIN et al. 2014a (hereafter CSOL I) and the Vienna corpus. The weak verbs have been arranged into separate classes according to the structure of the root (the position and the type of the weak consonant).

One has to stress from the onset that a great deal of fluctuation between some classes dealt with in this article has been observed. Firstly, one verbal root can employ two alternative paradigms belonging to two different classes. One of these paradigms may be defective, with certain slots filled with forms from the other paradigm. Secondly, a verb clearly belonging to one class can, in some positions of the paradigm, employ doublet forms borrowed from another class. Thirdly, one verbal root can employ a mixed paradigm which combines forms from different classes.

* Russian State University for the Humanities, Miusskaya pl.6, 125993, Moscow, Russia. Our work on this article has benefited from the grants 14-04-00277 (RFH/РГНФ) and 15-06-07613 (RFBR/РФФИ).

Not unlike the strong verbs, the weak and geminated verbs distinguish between active and inactive types of conjugation, the most persistent feature of the inactive type being the vowel *-i-* in the 3 sg. f. base of the perfect.

In the “geminated” verbs and in the majority of “weak” verbs, the same bases of perfect, imperfect and jussive are distinguished as in the strong verb (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 27, 35, 41). However, in some classes of weak verbs one base is used for 3 sg. m. and 3 pl. f. and another base for 1 and 2 persons in the perfect (see Sections 1.1.1, 2.2, 3 below). In some classes, the 3 sg. m. base coincides with the 3 pl. m. base (see Sections 1.1.1, 1.2 (inactive type), 2.2, 3). In some classes of weak verbs 3 sg. f. and 3 du. m. are derived from different bases: 3 sg. f. can be derived from the 3 sg. m. base (and be identical to 3 sg. m.). The base from which 3 du. m. is derived will be labeled as 3 du. m. throughout the present article (in NAUMKIN et al. 2014b, this base is referred to as 3 sg. f. since in the strong verbs, 3 sg. f. and 3 du. m. are always identical).

In most classes, there are a few verbs which, in addition to the features characteristic of the corresponding class, display vocalic alternations triggered by one of the root consonants. These phenomena are basically the same as in the strong verb.

The transcription in the article follows the principles adopted in NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: predominantly phonemic rather than phonetic representation (allophones are recorded in some specific cases only). The symbol *l*, unless followed by *i*, stands for the “emphatic” *l* and differs from the palatal (“Arabic-like”) *l'* (more details see NAUMKIN et al. 2014a: 12–13).

1 Weak verbs with final weak consonant

The final weak consonant can be *ʔ* or *y*. The final consonant surfaces in the 3 du. m. base of the perfect, imperfect and jussive, although for many verbs the informants admit doublet forms with both *ʔ* and *y*. The final *ʔ* can also appear in the 1 sg. base of the perfect (before the consonantal ending) and/or in the 1 pl. of the perfect (intervocalically).

In the majority of bases, the final consonant does not surface. As a result, these bases display vocalic endings.

The distinction between active and inactive types is drawn in the perfect and in the imperfect, but not in the jussive. Moreover, the jussive paradigms of the C_1C_2y and $C_1C_2ʔ$ classes are identical in all positions except for the dual forms. In the latter case, either *y* or *ʔ* is displayed as the last radical, depending on the verbal class; still, many verbs belonging to the $C_1C_2ʔ$ class

exhibit doublet forms borrowed from C_1C_2y .¹ Thus, even in the dual the distinction between the two classes is blurred.

For the verb *?iti* ‘to grow weak’ a special paradigm of the jussive is attested (cf. 1.1.2.3).

1.1 Class C_1C_2y

1.1.1 Class C_1C_2y , active type

In the C_1C_2y class three distinct bases can be distinguished in the perfect:

(I) the 3 sg. m. base (from which also the 3 sg. f., 3 pl. m. and 3 pl. f. forms are derived)

(II) the 3 du. m. base (from which also the 3 du. f. form is derived)

(III) the 1 sg. base (from which all other forms of the 1 and 2 persons are derived).

	3 sg. m. (= 3 sg. f.)	3 du. m.	1 sg.
perfect	C_1eC_2e	$C_1eC_2a/\varepsilon y$	C_1oC_2i

In the imperfect and jussive, the same set of bases is employed as in the regular verbs:

(I) The 3 sg. m. base, from which the forms of 3 sg. f., 3 pl. f., 2 sg. m., 2 pl. f., 1 sg. and 1 pl. are derived.

(II) The 3 du. m. base, from which all other dual forms are derived.

(III) The 3 pl. m. base, from which the forms of 2 pl. m. and 2 sg. f. are derived.

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
imperfect	C_1oC_2/C_1eC_2	$C_1C_2\varepsilon y/C_1\varepsilon C_2/C_1eC_2$	C_1oC_2e/C_1eC_2
jussive	$C_1C_2\varepsilon$	$C_1C_2\varepsilon y$	C_1C_2e

Full inventories of forms belonging to the active type of the C_1C_2y class will be exemplified by the verbs *déme* ‘to sleep’ (imperfect *yédom*) and *réše* ‘to tie’ (imperfect *yéres̄/yéros̄*).

1 In the verbs *?hóde* ‘to calm down’ and *kéfe/kfe* ‘to be enough’, only forms with final *y* are attested; of these, *kéfe/kfe* can also be conjugated after the C_1C_2y pattern.

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>déme</i>	<i>déme</i>	<i>deméyo</i>	<i>demeyéto/deméto</i>	<i>déme</i>	<i>déme</i>
	<i>réše</i>	<i>réše</i>	<i>rešéyo</i>	<i>rešeyéto/rešéto</i>	<i>réše</i>	<i>réše</i>
2	<i>dómik</i>	<i>dómiš</i>	<i>domíki</i>		<i>domíken</i>	
	<i>róšik</i>	<i>róšiš</i>	<i>rošiki</i>		<i>rošiken</i>	
1	<i>dómik</i>		<i>domíki</i>		<i>dómin</i>	
	<i>róšik</i>		<i>rošiki</i>		<i>róšin</i>	

imper- fect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yédom</i>	<i>tédom</i>	<i>yedeméyo</i>	<i>tedeméyo</i>	<i>yedóme</i>	<i>tedómin</i>
	<i>yéreš/yéroš</i>	<i>téreš/téroš</i>	<i>yerešéyo/ yeréšo</i>	<i>terešéyo/ teréšo</i>	<i>yéreš/ yeróše</i>	<i>teróšin</i>
2	<i>tédom</i>	<i>tedómi</i>	<i>tedeméyo</i>		<i>tedóme</i>	<i>tedómin</i>
	<i>téreš/téroš</i>	<i>tériš/teróši</i>	<i>terešéyo/teréšo</i>		<i>téreš/teróše</i>	<i>teróšin</i>
1	<i>?édom</i>		<i>?edeméyo</i>		<i>nédom</i>	
	<i>?éreš/?éroš</i>		<i>?erešéyo/?eréšo</i>		<i>néreš/néroš</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>lidmé</i>	<i>tedmé</i>	<i>lidméyo</i>	<i>tedméyo</i>	<i>lidmé</i>	<i>tedméyen/tedméyn/ tedmén</i>
	<i>liršé</i>	<i>teršé</i>	<i>liršéyo</i>	<i>teršéyo</i>	<i>liršé</i>	<i>teršéyen/teršéyn/ teršén/</i>
2	<i>tedmé</i>	<i>tedmí</i>	<i>tedméyo</i>		<i>tedmé</i>	<i>tedméyen/tedméyn/ tedmén</i>
	<i>teršé</i>	<i>terší</i>	<i>teršéyo</i>		<i>teršé</i>	<i>teršéyen/teršéyn/teršén</i>
1	<i>ledmé</i>		<i>ledméyo</i>		<i>nedmé</i>	
	<i>leršé</i>		<i>leršéyo</i>		<i>neršé</i>	

1.1.1.1 The paradigm of the perfect

In three verbs, doublet forms of the 1 sg. base are recorded (C_1eC_2e borrowed from $C_1C_2?$, inactive type, alongside the regular base C_1oC_2i): *tébe* ‘to moo, to low’ — *tóbik/tébek*, *séle* ‘to follow’ — *sólik/sélek* ‘to follow’ (cf. 1.1.1.1.5 for *šéše/šše* ‘to take, to accept’).

In 3 du. f., the ending is attached either to the final *y* (base C_1eC_2ey) or to a truncated base (C_1eC_2 or C_1eC_2). The base C_1eC_2ey is always followed by the ending *-eto*, whereas after the truncated bases C_1eC_2 and C_1eC_2 the endings *-eto* and *-eto* are allowed (for many verbs, each of the three variants is recorded). Interestingly, no syncope of the vowel of the ending is recorded in the surroundings demanding such a syncope (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52–53): *béše* ‘to weep’ — 3 du. f. *bešéto* (not **béšto*).

1.1.1.2 The paradigm of the imperfect

1.1.1.2.1 The vowel of the 3 sg. m. base

The choice between the vowels *o* and *e* in the 3 sg. m. base of the imperfect is lexically determined; in some verbs, there is free variation between *o* and *e* in this position.

The following verbs belonging to the active type of the class C_1C_2y have *o* in the imperfect: *béde* ‘to tell lies’ — *yébod*, *béne* ‘to build’ — *yébon*, *béše* ‘to weep’ — *yéboš*, *déle* ‘to weigh’ — *yédol*, *déme* ‘to sleep’ — *yédom*, *féle/féle* ‘to seek; to delouse’ — *yéfol*, *fše* ‘to lunch’ — *yéfoš*, *réne* ‘to curse’ — *yéron*, *šéfe* ‘to run’ — *yéšoḥ*.

The following verbs have been recorded only with *e* in the imperfect: *féke* ‘to harm, to hurt’ — *yéfek*, *féde* ‘to help, to provide abundantly’ — *yéfed*, *féke* ‘to dress oneself’ — *yéfek*, *sébe* ‘to transfer one’s family temporarily to another place’ — *yéseb*, *tébe* ‘to moo, to low’ — *yéteb*.

The following verbs exhibit free variation between *e* and *o*: *gére* ‘to look for’ — *yéger/yégor*, *ʰéle* ‘to shut in a calf’ — *yéʰel/yéʰol*, *ʰéne* ‘to plant’ — *yéʰen/yéʰon*, *ʰáše* ‘to irrigate’ — *yéʰeš/yéʰoš*, *héde* ‘to carry’ — *yéhed/yéhod*, *héle* ‘to go down’ — *yéhel/yóhol*, *kéfe/kfe* ‘to be enough’ — *yékef/yékof*, *réke* ‘to take one’s livestock to upper areas’ — *yérek/yéroḥ*, *réše* ‘to tie’ — *yéreš/yéroš*, *séfe/sfe* ‘to cleanse’ — *yésef/yésot*, *séle* ‘to follow’ — *yésel/yésol*, *šéše/šše* ‘to take, to accept’ — *yéšeš/yéšoš*.

In the following verbs, the vowel of the imperfect is *a*, obviously as a result of the vowel alternation *o* > *a* after an emphatic or before a guttural in a closed syllable (cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 53): **béfe* ‘to bleat’ — **yébaḥ*, *kále* ‘to roast’ — *yékaḥ*, *káne* ‘to feed’ — *yékaḥ*, *káre* ‘to hide’ — *yékaḥ*, *káše* ‘to cut off’ — *yékaḥ*.

In the rest of the active verbs of the class C_1C_2y , the imperfect base is neither C_1oC_2 nor C_1eC_2 . This includes the majority of the verbs with the guttural as C_2 (on which v. 1.1.1.4), as well as the verb *ške* ‘to seek’ in which the imperfect paradigm is borrowed from other conjugational classes (subclasses): impf. *yešóki/yešóke*. The form *yešóki* is borrowed from the inactive type of the C_1C_2y class, the form *yešóke* is borrowed from the active type of the $C_1C_2?$ class. This verb can be said to have a mixed paradigm: the perfect from the C_1C_2y class active, the imperfect from the C_1C_2y inactive/ $C_1C_2?$ active.

In three verbs, alongside the regular forms of the imperfect, doublet forms are used, borrowed from the C_1C_2y inactive type: *réke* ‘to take one’s livestock upwards’ — impf. *yérek/yéroḱ/yeróki* and *šéke* ‘to harm, to hurt’ — imperfect *yéřek/yéřóki* (cf. further 1.1.1.5 for *šése/šše* ‘to take, to accept’).

In verbs with the first radical labial or emphatic, the vowel of the prefix *te-*, when stressed, may be realized as *ö*: *tóboš* ‘she weeps’ (cf. *yéboš* ‘he weeps’), *tóšam* ‘she dies’ (cf. *yéšam* ‘he dies’), cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 31–32 for this phenomenon elsewhere.

1.1.1.2.2 The 3 du. m. base

In the 3 du. m. of the imperfect, the base C_1eC_2ey is the most common one. However, quite a number of verbs exhibit forms derived from the base C_1eC_2 or C_1oC_2 , mostly as doublets to forms derived from C_1eC_2ey , e. g. *gére* ‘to look for’ — impf. 3 du. m. *yegeréyo/yegéro*, *sébe* ‘to transfer one’s family temporarily to another place’ — impf. 3 du. m. *yesebéyo/yesebo*, *ʔhéle* ‘to shut in a calf’ — *yeʔheléyo/yeʔhélo*.

1.1.1.2.3 The 3 pl. m. base

There are two bases of the 3 pl. m. imperfect: C_1oC_2e and C_1eC_2 . The choice between these two bases is lexically determined. The base C_1eC_2 is less widespread and mostly occurs alongside the C_1oC_2e base: *déme* ‘to sleep’ — impf. 3 sg. m. *yédom* — 3 pl. m. *yedóme*, but *réše* ‘to tie’ — impf. 3 sg. m. *yéroš/yéreš* — 3 pl. m. *yéreš/yeróše*, *ʔhéne* ‘to plant’ — impf. 3 sg. m. *yéʔhen/yéʔhon* — 3 pl. m. *yéʔhen/yéʔhóne*.

1.1.1.3 The paradigm of the jussive

For the verb *šéke* ‘to harm, to hurt’, alongside the expected *lišká*, a bisyllabic jussive form *lišáka* has been recorded.

1.1.1.4 Verbs with the second radical guttural

The following verbs with the second radical guttural belonging to the active type of the C_1C_2y class have been recorded: *béše* ‘to bleat (a sheep)’, *kéše* ‘to

open', *léhe/léhe* 'to bleat', *léhe/léhe* 'to join a kid to a goat other than its mother for suckling', *néhe* 'to burn (intr.); to be hot, warm', *réfe* 'to pasture (tr.)', *réhe* 'to lick', *zéfe* 'to take', *šéfe* 'to run'.

These verbs display a few deviations from the standard paradigm. In the perfect, they exhibit the 1 sg. base C_1aC_2ay (unstressed *ay* sometimes contracted to *i*). In the imperfect, only two verbs display the monosyllabic 3 sg. m. base usual for this class: *šé'e* 'to run' — *yéšoʃ*, *béfe* 'to bleat (sheep)' — *tébaʃ*. The majority have a special 3 sg. m. base $C_1a/\varepsilon C_2a/\varepsilon$.

Besides, the usual rules of vocalic changes in the neighborhood of the gutturals apply. This includes the rules of choice between the allophones *a* and ε and the rules of the vocalic assimilation (cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 50–51, 52).

The sample paradigm of the verb *réhe* 'to lick' looks as follows:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>réhe</i>	<i>réhe</i>	<i>raḥáyo</i>	<i>raḥayéto/rehéto</i>	<i>réhe</i>	<i>réhe</i>
2	<i>ráḥayk/ráḥik</i>	<i>ráḥayš/ráḥiš</i>	<i>raḥáyki</i>		<i>raḥáyken</i>	
1	<i>ráḥayk/ráḥik</i>		<i>raḥáyki</i>		<i>ráḥayn/ráḥin</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yeráḥa</i>	<i>teráḥa</i>	<i>yeraḥáyo</i>	<i>teraḥáyo</i>	<i>yeréhe</i>	<i>teráḥan/teráḥayn</i>
2	<i>teráḥa</i>	<i>teríḥi</i>	<i>teraḥáyo</i>		<i>teréhe</i>	<i>teráḥan/teráḥayn</i>
1	<i>?eráḥa</i>		<i>?eraḥáyo</i>		<i>neráḥa</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>lirḥá</i>	<i>terḥá</i>	<i>lirḥáyo</i>	<i>terḥáyo</i>	<i>lirḥé</i>	<i>terḥán/terḥáy</i>
2	<i>terḥá</i>	<i>terḥí</i>	<i>terḥáyo</i>		<i>terḥé</i>	<i>terḥán/terḥáy</i>
1	<i>lerḥá</i>		<i>lerḥáyo</i>		<i>nerḥá</i>	

1.1.1.5 Conjugation of the verbs with the syncope of the first vowel

The following verbs belonging to the class C_1C_2y , active type, can syncope the first vowel *e* (on the conditions for the syncope v. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 31): *fše* ‘to lunch’, *kéfe/kfe* ‘to be enough’, *séfe/sfe* ‘to cleanse’, *ške* ‘to look for’, *šése/šše* ‘to take, to accept’. All these verbs are characterized by a special 1 sg. base of the perfect, namely, $C_1C_2\epsilon y$: *fše* ‘to lunch’ — 1 sg. *fšeyk*. In the majority of verbs, the base $C_1C_2\epsilon y$ is used alongside the regular base C_1oC_2i : *séfe/sfe* ‘to cleanse’ — 1 sg. *sfeyk/sófik*, *kéfe/kfe* ‘to be enough’ — 1 sg. *kfeyk/kófik*.

The verb *šése/šše* ‘to take, to accept’, apart from this feature, is characterized by doublet forms of 3 du. m. and 1 sg. perfect bases and of imperfect: 3 du. m. perfect *šéšo/ššo* (perhaps borrowed from the paradigm of “hollow” verbs or “geminated” verbs, cf. 2.2, 3; the regular *šše* is less frequently used), 1 sg. perfect *šéšek* (borrowed from the inactive paradigm), imperfect *yešóši* (borrowed from the inactive paradigm).

1.1.1.6 Vocalic alternations in the vicinity of an emphatic

If the first or the second radical is an emphatic, the vowel *e* of the first syllable of the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect tends to shift to *a/ε* (the lateral approximant *l* behaves as an emphatic in this respect, cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 29, 37, 53): *ʔháše* ‘to irrigate’, *ʔhéle/ʔhéle* ‘to shut in a calf’, *féle/féle* ‘to seek; to delouse’, *héle* ‘to go down’, *ḳále* ‘to roast’, *ḳáne* ‘to feed’, *ḳáre* ‘to hide’, *ḳáše* ‘to cut off’. This shift is, however, far from universal, cf. *ʔéke* ‘to harm, to hurt’, *déle* ‘to weigh’, *féke* ‘to dress oneself’, *réke* ‘to take one’s livestock to upper areas’, *séle* ‘to follow’, *ṭéme* ‘to be thirsty’.

In the 3 sg. m. base of the imperfect, *o* is changed to *a* after an emphatic: *ḳále* — *yékal* ‘to roast’, *ḳáne* — *yékan* ‘to feed’, *ḳáre* — *yékar* ‘to hide’, *ḳáše* — *yékaš* ‘to cut off’, *ṭéme* — *yétam* ‘to be thirsty’. The presence of an emphatic after the vowel does not affect it: *déle* — *yédol* ‘to weigh’, *féle/féle* — *yéfol* ‘to seek; to delouse’, *ʔháše* — *yéʔhoš* ‘to irrigate’, *héle* — *yóhol* ‘to go down’, *réke* — *yéroḳ* ‘to take one’s livestock to upper areas’, *séle* — *yésol* ‘to follow’.

1.1.2 Class C_1C_2y , inactive type

In this subtype, only two distinct bases are employed in the perfect:

(I) the 3 sg. m. base

(II) the 3 pl. m. base

The 3 pl. m. base is limited to 3 pl. m., whereas all the other forms are derived from the 3 sg. m. base.

	3 sg. m.	3 pl. m.
perfect	C_1iC_2i	C_1eC_2e

The bases of the imperfect and the jussive are as follows (the paradigm of the jussive is identical with that of the active type; cf. 1.1.1):

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
imperfect	C_1oC_2i	$C_1\varepsilon C_2\varepsilon y$	C_1oC_2e
jussive	$C_1C_2\varepsilon$	$C_1C_2\varepsilon y$	C_1C_2e

The conjugation of a verb of the class C_1C_2y , inactive type, can be exemplified by the verb *mídi* ‘to be wearied’:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>mídi</i>	<i>mído</i>	<i>mído</i>	<i>midéto</i>	<i>méde</i>	<i>mídi</i>
2	<i>mídik</i>	<i>mídiš</i>	<i>midíki</i>		<i>midíken</i>	
1	<i>mídik</i>		<i>midíki</i>		<i>mídin</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yemódi</i>	<i>temódi</i>	<i>yemédéyo</i>	<i>temédéyo</i>	<i>yemóde</i>	<i>temódin</i>
2	<i>temódi</i>	<i>temódi</i>	<i>temédéyo</i>		<i>temóde</i>	<i>temódin</i>
1	<i>?emódi</i>		<i>?emédéyo</i>		<i>nemédéyo</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>limdé</i>	<i>temdé</i>	<i>limdéyo</i>	<i>temdéyo</i>	<i>limdé</i>	<i>temdéyn/temdén</i>
2	<i>temdé</i>	<i>temdí</i>	<i>temdéyo</i>		<i>temdé</i>	<i>temdéyn/temdén</i>
1	<i>lemdé</i>		<i>lemdéyo</i>		<i>nemdé</i>	

1.1.2.1 The perfect paradigm

In two verbs, the 3 du. f. ending *-oto* (in one of them, alongside the expected *-eto*) is recorded: *?íti* ‘to grow weak’ — 3 du. f. *?ítéto/?ítóto*, *ťími* ‘to be thirsty’ — 3 du. f. *ťímóto*. Unlike the active type, in the inactive verbs the ending *-eto* is only rarely attested: *tíri* ‘to be wet’ — 3 du. f. *tiréto*.

In the 1 and 2 person forms, the ending can be preceded by an *?*: *?íti* ‘to grow weak’ — 2 sg. m. *?ítik/?ítik?*, *gízi* ‘to despair’ — 2 sg. f. *gíziš/gízižš*, *ťími* ‘to be thirsty’ — 2 du. *ťímí?ki*, *nífi* ‘to be pinked’ — 1 pl. *nífin/nífi?in*.

The verbs *míli* ‘to be filled’ and *míta* ‘to reach’ exhibit mixed paradigms of the perfect: the 3 sg. f. and 3 du. m. forms are those of the inactive type of the class $C_1C_2?$ (*miló?o*, *miťó?o*).

Three verbs have been recorded in which C_2 is an emphatic: *míši* ‘to be finished’, *réži* ‘to be glad’, *míta* ‘to reach’. For one of them (*míši* ‘to be finished’), no deviations from the standard paradigm have been recorded. In the verb *réži* ‘to be glad’, the 3 sg. m. base is irregular and differs from that of 3 du. m. (from which 3 sg. f. and 3 du. f. are derived): 3 du. m. *rížo*. In the verb *míta* ‘to reach’, the final *a* appears instead of the expected *i* — a unique development within this class. Among the verbs belonging to other weak classes, a parallel is found in *?éža* ‘to grow (plants)’, likewise unique within its own class (cf. 1.2.1.3.2).

1.1.2.2 The imperfect paradigm

In some verbs, the 3 sg. m. base of the imperfect is C_1oC_2e , with final *e* rather than *i* (thus, coinciding with the imperfect of the class $C_1C_2?$): *fíni* ‘to turn one’s face’ — *yefóne*, **lísi* ‘to rain’ — **yelóse*, *míli* ‘to be filled’ — *yemóle*, *nífi* ‘to be pinked’ — *yenófe*. The final *e* instead of the expected *i* is also found in the verb *ťími* ‘to be thirsty’ — *yeťáme* (the shift *o* > *a* after an emphatic is regular). Of these verbs, *nífi* and *ťími* have correlates in the $C_1C_2?$ class, inactive type, with the same meaning and full paradigms, so that one can speak of C_1C_2y lexical doublets with defective paradigms (perfect only). In the case of *fíni* and *míli*, we have to do with a mixed paradigm. For the verb *nígi* ‘to fail to meet one’s expectations’, the imperfect *yenóge* is attested alongside the regular *yenógi*.

The only C_1C_2y inactive verb with a guttural as C_2 is *kíři* ‘to remain’, whose imperfect is *yeké?e*. It thus behaves in the same way as the verbs of the same root structure belonging to the active type of C_1C_2y (cf. 1.1.1.4).

The 3 sg. m. imperfect base of the verb *míta* ‘to reach’ is C_1aC_2a (*yemáta*). Thus, as in the perfect, its behavior differs from that of other verbs with an emphatic as C_2 (cf. *réži* ‘to be glad’ — *yeróži*).

In the verbs *míli* ‘to be filled’ and *míta* ‘to reach’, the regular dual forms have doublet forms borrowed from the class $C_1C_2?$: 3 du. m. *yeméleyo/yemeló?o, yemaťáyo/yeměťó?o*.

In the verb *říti* ‘to grow weak’ the dual forms are derived from the base $C_1\varepsilon C_2$ respectively: *yeřéto* (borrowed from the active type, cf. 1.1.1.2.2).

1.1.2.3 The jussive paradigm

The verb *říti* ‘to grow weak’ displays irregular forms of the jussive: *liřít* (alongside the expected *liřé*). By its structure, the form *liřít* is reminiscent of the regular shape of the jussive of the C_1C_2y verbs in the VIII (Gt) stem: *moténe* — *limtín* ‘to desire’, *fotéde* — *liftíd* ‘to profit’, etc. It would be tempting to surmise that *říti* is in fact a (fossilized?) VIII from a triply-week root **řwy*, but no etymological support for such a conclusion is at hand.

1.2 Class $C_1C_2?$

The active type of $C_1C_2?$ is characterized by the same set of bases of the perfect as the regular verbs (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 27), which we reproduce here for the reader’s convenience:

(I) 3 du. m., from which 3 sg. f. (identical with 3 du. m.) and 3 du. f. forms are derived.

(II) The second base is reserved for 3 pl. m.

(III) 3 sg. m., from which all the remaining forms are derived.

In the imperfect and jussive, the set of the bases is identical with that of the regular verbs (cf. 1.1.1).

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
perfect	$C_1oC_2e(?)$	$C_1\varepsilon C_2V?^2$	$C_1\varepsilon C_2e$
imperfect	C_1oC_2e	$C_1\varepsilon C_2V?$	C_1oC_2e
jussive	$C_1C_2\varepsilon$	$C_1C_2V?$	C_1C_2e

As a sample, the paradigms of *zóke* ‘to be sad’ can be adduced:

2 Here and below V denotes a vowel whose quality depends on the quality of the vowel of the suffix.

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>zóke</i>	<i>zekóʔo</i>	<i>zekóʔo</i>	<i>zekεʔéto</i>	<i>zéke</i>	<i>zóke</i>
2	<i>zóke(?)k</i>	<i>zóke(?)š</i>	<i>zoké(?)ki</i>		<i>zoké(?)ken</i>	
1	<i>zóke(?)k</i>		<i>zoké(?)ki</i>		<i>zoké(?)en</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yezóke</i>	<i>tezóke</i>	<i>yezékóʔo</i>	<i>tezekóʔo</i>	<i>yezóke</i>	<i>tezekéʔen</i>
2	<i>tezóke</i>	<i>tezóki</i>	<i>tezekóʔo</i>		<i>tezóke</i>	<i>tezekéʔen</i>
1	<i>ʔezóke</i>		<i>ʔezékóʔo</i>		<i>nezóke</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>lizké</i>	<i>tezké</i>	<i>lizkóʔo</i>	<i>tezkóʔo</i>	<i>lizké</i>	<i>tezkéʔen/tezkén</i>
2	<i>tezké</i>	<i>tezkí</i>	<i>tezkóʔo</i>		<i>tezké</i>	<i>tezkéʔen/tezkén</i>
1	<i>lezké</i>		<i>lezkóʔo</i>		<i>nezké</i>	

The inactive type of the class with the final ʔ distinguishes between two bases in the perfect:

(I) 3 du. m., from which 3 sg. f. (identical with 3 du. m.) and 3 du. f. forms are derived.

(II) 3 sg. m., from which all the remaining forms are derived.

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.
perfect	$C_1eC_2e(?)$	$C_1iC_2V?$

In the imperfect and jussive, the set of the bases is identical with that of the regular verbs (cf. 1.1.1).

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
imperfect	C_1oC_2e	$C_1εC_2V?$	C_1oC_2e
jussive	$C_1C_2ε$	$C_1C_2V?$	C_1C_2e

As a sample, the paradigms of *gébe* ‘to be able’ can be added:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>gébe</i>	<i>gibóʔo</i>	<i>gibóʔo</i>	<i>gibeʔéto</i>	<i>gébe</i>	<i>gébe</i>
2	<i>gébe(ʔ)k</i>	<i>gébe(ʔ)š</i>	<i>gebé(ʔ)ki</i>		<i>gebé(ʔ)ken</i>	
1	<i>gébe(ʔ)k</i>		<i>gebé(ʔ)ki</i>		<i>gebéʔen</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yegóbe</i>	<i>tegóbe</i>	<i>yegebóʔo</i>	<i>tegebóʔo</i>	<i>yegóbe</i>	<i>tegebéʔen</i>
2	<i>tegóbe</i>	<i>tegóbi</i>	<i>tegebóʔo</i>		<i>tegóbe</i>	<i>tegebéʔen</i>
1	<i>ʔegóbe</i>		<i>ʔegebóʔo</i>		<i>negóbe</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>ligbé</i>	<i>tegbé</i>	<i>ligbóʔo</i>	<i>tegbóʔo</i>	<i>ligbé</i>	<i>tegbéʔen/tegbén</i>
2	<i>tegbé</i>	<i>tegbí</i>	<i>tegbóʔo</i>		<i>tegbé</i>	<i>tegbéʔen/tegbén</i>
1	<i>legbé</i>		<i>legbóʔo</i>		<i>negbé</i>	

Only two bases of the inactive type — 3 sg. m. and 3 du. m. of the perfect — differ from the corresponding bases of the active type. Moreover, as will be shown below, the inactive 3 sg. m. base $C_1eC_2e(?)$ is not entirely absent from the active paradigm. Thus, the diagnostic position for the inactive type is 3 du. m.

The recorded verbs belonging to the active type of the $C_1C_2?$ class are as follows: *ʔége* ‘to beat’, *ʔéte* ‘to pass by’, *ʔhóde* ‘to calm down’, *kéfe/kfe* ‘to be enough’, *kóle* ‘to turn (tr. or intr.)’, *kése/kse* ‘to find’, *káre* ‘to read’, *móle* ‘to fill’, *nófe* ‘to pink’, *zóke* ‘to be sad’.

The recorded verbs belonging to the inactive type of the $C_1C_2?$ class are as follows: *ʔéža* ‘to grow (plants)’, *défe* ‘to be deaf’, *gébe* ‘to be able’, *hére* ‘to look for; to try’, **lébe* ‘to be in season (a female goat)’, *néfe* ‘to be pinked’, *šebe* ‘to believe’, *téme* ‘to be thirsty’.

For two verbs, both active and inactive forms have been recorded: *góše/gése* ‘to belch’, *káne/kéne* ‘to be jealous; to be envious’. The verbs *béde* ‘to be-

gin' and *hède* 'to carry' have both active and inactive bases of 3 du. m.: *bedóʔo/bidóʔo*, *hedóʔo/hidóʔo*. However, for the 3 sg. m. base only the forms *béde* and *hède* (inactive) are recorded (1.2.1.1.1).

1.2.1.1 The perfect paradigm

1.2.1.1.1 The first vowel of the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect

In the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect of the active type the first vowel is *e* rather than *o* in a few verbs characterized by some additional irregularity — the initial *ʔ* (*ʔége* 'to beat', *ʔéte* 'to pass by') or the optional syncope of the first vowel (*kéfe/kfe* 'to be enough', *kése/kse* 'to find'). In the verbs *béde* 'to begin' and *hède* 'to carry', the base C_1eC_2e likely belongs to the inactive paradigm: *béde* 'he began' — *bedóʔo/bidóʔo* 'she began', *hède* 'he carried' — *hedóʔo/hidóʔo* 'she carried'.

1.2.1.1.2. The final *ʔ*

In the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect, the *ʔ* optionally surfaces after *e* unless it is in word-final position: *ʔége(ʔ)k* 'I beat', *kóle(ʔ)š* 'you_{sg. f.} turned', *karéʔen/káren* 'we read'. For the verb *kéfe/kfe* 'to be enough', no relevant forms have been produced by the informants, who employed instead the corresponding forms of the C_1C_2y paradigm: *kófik* 'I was enough' (this verb can be conjugated after the C_1C_2y paradigm as well).

In the imperfect and jussive, the final *ʔ* can surface in 3 and 2 pl. f., alongside the contracted forms: *tekaréʔen/tekáren* 'they_{pl. f.} read', *temoléʔen/temólen* 'they_{pl. f.} fill', *tekréʔen/tekrén* 'let them_{pl. f.} read', *temléʔen/temlén* 'let them_{pl. f.} fill'.

Quite frequently, the forms in which the overt *ʔ* is obligatory (that is, in the 3 du. m. bases) have doublet forms borrowed from the C_1C_2y paradigm: *ʔetóʔo/ʔetéyo* 'she passed', *yekelóʔo/yekeléyo* 'they_{du. m.} return', *temlóʔo/temléyo* 'let them_{du. f.} fill'. In a similar way, in the 3 and 2 pl. f. of the imperfect and jussive, forms from the C_1C_2y paradigm can appear: *tekárin* 'they_{pl. f.} read', *temólin* 'they_{pl. f.} fill', *tekréyn* 'let them_{pl. f.} read', *temléyén* 'let them_{pl. f.} fill'.

1.2.1.1.3 The 3 du. f. ending

The 3 du. f. ending is usually *-eto*, often with a doublet form *-oto*. The ending *-eto* appears only rarely. In all 3 du. f. forms, the rule of the vocalic assimilation across the guttural is at work (cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52): *kóle* 'to turn (tr. or intr.)' — 3 du. f. *keleʔeto/keleʔoto*, *móle* 'to fill' — 3 du. f. *meleʔeto/meleʔoto*, *ʔéte* 'to pass by' — 3 du. f. *ʔeteʔeto/ʔeteʔoto*, *défe* 'to be deaf' — 3 du. f. *difeʔeto*.

1.2.1.2 The imperfect paradigm

A few verbs with initial *ʔ* belonging to the class $C_1C_2ʔ$ have been recorded. Unlike the regular verbs with initial *ʔ*, they do not exhibit any forms with contraction in the imperfect: *yeʔóge* ‘he beats’ (contrast *yóuzom*, side by side with *yeʔézom*, ‘he lends’).

1.2.1.3 Vocalic alternations

1.2.1.3.1 Vocalic assimilation around the final guttural

In the 3 du. m. of the perfect, imperfect and jussive bases, the vocalic assimilation around the final guttural is observed (cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52): *zekóʔo* ‘they_{du. m.} were sad’ — *zεkeʔéto* ‘they_{du. f.} were sad’, *šibóʔo* ‘they_{du. m.} believed’ — *šibeʔéto* ‘they_{du. f.} believed’. As in regular verbs, the assimilation is always regressive in the forms with the ending *-o*. In 3 du. f., the assimilation is progressive (**zεkeʔéto* > *zεkeʔéto*); alternatively, the forms with *o* around the guttural are used (probably under the influence of 3 m. du.): *meloʔóto/meleʔéto* ‘they_{du. f.} filled’.

Progressive assimilation of the vowels around guttural is observed in the 3 and 2 pl. f. forms of the jussive: **tezkéʔen* > *tezkéʔen* ‘let them_{pl. f./you_{pl. f.}} be sad’, **tešbéʔen* > *tšébéʔen* ‘let them_{pl. f.} believe’³.

1.2.1.3.2 Vocalic alternations in the vicinity of an emphatic

In the perfect 3 sg. m. base of the active type, the change *o* > *a* is observed in the vicinity of an emphatic: *káne* ‘he envied’, *káre* ‘he read’, *ʔháše* ‘he irrigated’⁴. In the 3 sg. m. base of the imperfect, the same shift is observed: *yeḳáne* ‘he envies’, *yeḳáre* ‘he reads’.

In the inactive type, only the 3 sg. m. base of the imperfect is affected by this rule: *yeʔáʒa* ‘it sprouts’, *yeʔáme* ‘he is thirsty’. In the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect (C_1eC_2e), the first vowel *e* remains unchanged in the vicinity of an emphatic (*téme* ‘he was thirsty’, *ḳéne* ‘he was jealous’), whereas the second *e* can be changed to *a* under the influence of an emphatic (the only example is *ʔéʒa* ‘it grew, sprouted’; cf. also 1.1.2.1).

In the 3 du. m. base of the imperfect, as well as in the jussive, the vowel *i*, predictably, realized as *a* in the vicinity of an emphatic (*yeḳanóʔo* ‘they_{du. m.} envy’, *lihšá* ‘let him irrigate’, *li(ʔ)ʒá* ‘let it sprout’).

3 In the corresponding forms of the imperfect, the underlying vowels before and after the guttural are *e*, so that no vowel change takes place.

4 For this verb, the unexpected variant *ʔhéše* is also recorded (for the choice between *ε* and *a* cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 50–51).

2 Weak verbs with medial weak consonant

The verbs with *ʔ* as the medial radical behave in the same way as other verbs with medial gutturals and are thus to be treated as strong verbs (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 47–49).

The “hollow” verbs in the strict sense can be divided into two classes.

The first class comprises verbs with surfacing (“strong”) medial *y*. Their conjugation, while exhibiting some special features, is broadly similar to that of the strong verb. In the description below, it will be referred to as C_1yC_3 .

The second class, where no semivowel explicitly surfaces in any of the conjugational forms, will be referred to as C_1VC_3 .

2.1 Verbs with surfacing medial *y* (C_1yC_3)

The following verbs belonging to this class have been recorded: *ḥéyyom* ‘to be ugly’, *ḥéyyaṭ* ‘to sew’, *kíyyol* ‘to measure’, *ḵéyyol* ‘to be high’, *míyyos* ‘to be thin’, *ríyyom* ‘to be long’, *séyyod* ‘to be rich’, *ṣéyyom* ‘to fast’, *ṣéyyor* ‘to be few, little’, *ʕer* ‘to be blind’. The conjugation of the verbs *niḥ/neḥhaḥ* ‘to be glad, merry’ and *ʕáḥeṣ* ‘to dive’ is similar to this class, albeit the medial radical here is *ʕh* rather than *y*.

No distinction between active and inactive types can be observed in this class. Admittedly, one could venture to suppose that *o* in the second syllable of the majority of the C_1yC_3 verbs reflects the active pattern $*C_1éC_2oC_3$, whereas *ʕer* (< $*ʕéyer?$) is the only representative of the inactive pattern $*C_1éC_2eC_3$. Yet, this hypothesis is not supported by the evidence of the imperfect paradigm, where the bases C_1yeC_3 and C_1yoC_3 can be used indiscriminately for all verbs belonging to this class.

With a few deviations discussed below, the shapes of bases of the perfect and the jussive are the same as in the regular verbs (cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 27, 41–42 and Sections 1.1.1, 1.2 above). Conversely, the imperfect is characterized by a special monosyllabic base (C_1yeC_3 or C_1yoC_3). The following set of the bases can thus be obtained:

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
perfect	C_1eyyoC_3	$C_1eyya/\epsilon C_3$	C_1eyyeC_3
imperfect	C_1yeC_3/C_1yoC_3	$C_1yeC_3/C_1y\epsilon C_3$	C_1yeC_3
jussive	$C_1y\epsilon C_3/C_1yoC_3$	$C_1y\epsilon C_3/C_1yiC_3$	C_1yeC_3

The conjugation can be exemplified by the verb *şéyyom* ‘to fast’:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>şéyyom</i>	<i>şeyyémo</i>	<i>şeyyémo</i>	<i>şeyyeméto</i>	<i>şéyyem</i>	<i>şéyyom</i>
2	<i>şéyyomk</i>	<i>şéyyomš</i>	<i>şeyyómki</i>		<i>şeyyómken</i>	
1	<i>şéyyomk</i>		<i>şeyyómki</i>		<i>şeyyómen</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yéşyem</i>	<i>téşyem</i>	<i>yeşyémo</i>	<i>teşyémo</i>	<i>yéşyem</i>	<i>teşyémen</i>
2	<i>téşyem</i>	<i>téşyim⁵</i>	<i>teşyémo</i>		<i>téşyem</i>	<i>teşyémen</i>
1	<i>?éşyem</i>		<i>?eşyémo</i>		<i>néşyem</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>lišyéem</i>	<i>teşyéem</i>	<i>lišyéemo</i>	<i>teşyéemo</i>	<i>lišyéem</i>	<i>teşyéemen</i>
2	<i>teşyéem</i>	<i>teşyím</i>	<i>teşyéemo</i>		<i>teşyéem</i>	<i>teşyéemen</i>
1	<i>leşyéem</i>		<i>leşyéemo</i>		<i>neşyéem</i>	

2.1.1 The perfect paradigm

The medial *y* is perceived as geminated by the informants.

The vowel *e* before *y* is mostly realized as [ə] and shifts to *i* after *r*, *k* and *m*⁶.

In 3 du. f. the default ending is *-eto*: *hényom* ‘to be ugly’ — 3 du. f. *hyyeméto*. After *l* and the emphatics, the ending *-cto* is found: *kíyyol* ‘to measure’ — 3 du. f. *kíyyeléto*, *hényaṭ* ‘to sew’ — 3 du. f. *hyyaṭéto*.

5 The opposition between *i* and *e* is neutralized in the vicinity of *y* since unstressed *e* shifts to *i* in this position. Still, the palatalization of the second or third consonant occasioned by the 2 sg. f. marker *-i-* helps keep aside the two forms ([təşyim] ‘you_m. fast’ vs. [təşyim] ‘you_f. fast’). Cf. further NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 36, fn. 21.

6 At least in the case of *k* and *r*, this is due to their palatalized pronunciation in Soqotri.

In the verbs *niḥ* ‘to be glad, merry’ and *ṣer* ‘to be blind’ the medial consonant does not surface in the perfect (for *niḥ* a non-contracted form of the perfect, *né’haḥ*, is also attested). In 3 du. f. the ending *-oto* has been recorded alongside *-eto* and *-eto*: *ṣeréto/ṣeréto/ṣeróto*. The vocalization of the verb *ṣá’heṣ* ‘to dive’ in the perfect is unique and cannot be explained through the usual vocalic alternations in the vicinity of pharyngeals or emphatics.

2.1.2 The imperfect paradigm

Since the base of the imperfect is monosyllabic, the general rule of penultimate stress leads to the prefix vowel being accented in most of the forms. However, if the vowel of the prefix is syncopated, the stress shifts to the last syllable (rather than the epenthetic vowel after the second radical): *yáhyem* ‘he is ugly’ — *ṭhayém* ‘she is ugly’.

The 3 sg. m. base is C_1eC_3 , in most verbs attested side by side with C_1oC_3 : *yékyel/yékyol* ‘he measures’, *yékyel/yékyol* ‘it is high’, *yémyes/yémyos* ‘he is thin’. In the verb *niḥ* ‘to be glad, merry’, *e* is changed to *a* in a closed syllable before a guttural (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 54): *yén’haḥ* ‘he is glad’. In the 3 du. m. base, the usual vocalic alternations around the guttural are observed (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52).

The vowel of the 3 du. m. base is *e* or *ε* in most verbs: *ṣéyyor* ‘to be little in quantity’ — 3 du. m. *yeṣyéro/yeṣyéro*, *séyyod* ‘to be rich’ — *yesyédo/yesyédo*. For the following three verbs, only the vowel *e* has been accepted by the informants: *héyyom* ‘to be ugly’ — *yahyémo*, *héyyaṭ* ‘to sew’ — *yahyéto*, *ṣer* ‘to be blind’ — *yaṣyéro*. The ban on *ε* in this form is thus restricted to verbs with the initial guttural and may be described as dissimilation with the prefix vowel (changed to *a* before the guttural in a closed syllable, cf. NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 54, 44) or with the guttural itself.

2.1.3 The jussive paradigm

The choice between *o* and *ε* in the 3 sg. m. base of the jussive is lexically determined. For most verbs, both bases are attested side by side, but in a handful of cases only C_1yeC_3 has been admitted as possible: *ṣá’heṣ* ‘to dive’ — *l’aṣ’hás*, *héyyaṭ* ‘to sew’ — *l’ahyát*, *niḥ* ‘to be glad’ — *lin’háḥ*, *ṣéyyom* ‘to fast’ — *liṣyém*. In the first three verbs, the choice of *ε* (and its realization as *a*) is triggered by the quality of the final consonant — an emphatic or a guttural. In *ṣéyyom*, no clear reasons for the lack of the C_1yoC_3 base can be detected.

In most verbs the 3 du. m. bases C_1yeC_3 and C_1yiC_3 coexist: *ṣer* ‘to be blind’ — *l’aṣyéro/l’aṣyíro*, *míyyos* ‘to be thin’ — *limyéso/limyíso*, *ríyyom* ‘to be long’ — *liryémo/liryímo*, *séyyod* ‘to be rich’ — *lisyédo/lisyído*. In the verb *niḥ*

‘to be glad, merry’, the vowel of the 3 du. m. base is, as expected, fully assimilated to the vowel of the ending: *lin^hhóho*.

2.2 Verbs with an underlying medial vowel (true “hollow” verbs, C₁VC₃)

Verbs belonging to this class are not numerous: *ƒod* ‘to walk, to go’, *ƒef* ‘to destroy’, *ƒek* ‘to harm, to hurt’, *ƒem* ‘to cover’, *gez* ‘to be able to reach something’, *ʕheb* ‘to be warm’, *ʕhed* ‘to shut in’, *ʕhel* ‘to dig’, *hes* ‘to dig’, *hes* ‘to help’, *kaḥ* ‘to repeat, to do again’, *kol* ‘to be capable’, *kon* ‘to be’⁷, *kez* ‘to persuade’, *med* ‘to stretch’, *šel* ‘to take’, *ṣaḥ* ‘to do something well; to be sound’. This list can be expanded by the predicative element *ƒad*, which has no imperfect or jussive forms. The verbs *ƒod* ‘to walk, to go’, *kon* ‘to be’ and *kol* ‘to be capable’ display special conjugational features which distinguish them from the remaining representatives of this class. It is noteworthy that these three verbs are frequently used in the extant Soqotri texts, while most of the rest are functionally marginal lexemes poorly attested in our sources⁸.

7 The vowel ɔ, clearly heard in *kol* ‘to be capable’ and *kon* ‘to be’ is most probably to be considered an allophone of *o* and has been rendered as *o* below in this article. The specific articulation of this phone was clear already to Müller, who regularly rendered it as *â* in his transcriptions, such as *kân* (Müller 1905: 46₂₁). For a preliminary survey of other words and forms where ɔ is found v. KOGAN – NAUMKIN 2014b: 77.

8 The surprising rarity of true “hollow” verbs in Soqotri prompts one to inquire, which among them go back to Common Semitic prototypes displaying the same root structure. Clear-cut *C₁wC₃/*C₁yC₃ background can be posited in the following cases: the predicative element *ƒad* < *ƒwd (LESLAU 1987: 55–56), *ʕheb* ‘to be warm’ < *hwb (JOHNSTONE 1987: 161, 1981: 99), *kon* ‘to be’ (LESLAU 1987: 299–300). Close to this group are *kaḥ* ‘to repeat’ and *kol* ‘to be capable’, where the “hollow” conjugation has likely emerged via elision of the second radical, viz. *knḥ (LESLAU 1938: 221, JOHNSTONE 1987: 212) and *khl (LESLAU 1987: 277; loss of -h- in the Geez imperfect *yakal* is a noteworthy parallel development). In the following cases, the “hollow” type must be secondary with respect to a more original geminated one, which fits well the existence of “geminated” conjugational doublets (see below): *ʕhed* ‘to shut in’ < *šdd (LESLAU 1938: 141, JOHNSTONE 1987: 152, 1981: 260), *med* ‘to stretch’ < *mdd (LESLAU 1987: 329, JOHNSTONE 1981: 168, 1987: 260), *ƒem* ‘to cover’ < *gmm (LANE 1863–1893: 2289, KOEHLER et al. 2001: 846), *ʕhel* ‘to dig’ < *šll (cf. Jibbali *ešlél* ‘to broaden’, JOHNSTONE 1981: 262, Arabic *sáll-* ‘fond très-encaissé d’une vallée’, BIBERSTEIN-KAZIMIRSKI 1860 I: 1117), *ṣaḥ* ‘to do something well; to be sound’ < *šḥḥ (LANE 1863–1893: 1651), *šel* ‘to take’ < *šll (LANE 1863–1893: 1591, JOHNSTONE 1987: 379, 1981: 252). The common verb *ƒod* ‘to walk, to go’ corresponds, peculiarly, to *wgd in Jibbali (JOHNSTONE 1981: 288) and has no fur-

Some of these verbs (*med*, *ʸhed*, *hes*, *ṣah*, *ṣel*) have doublet forms belonging to the geminated class. Besides, the verb *del* ‘to take’, belonging to the geminated class, can also be conjugated after the C_1VC_3 paradigm in the imperfect (but not in the jussive). Obviously, the “hollow” class in the basic stem is in the process of merging with the geminated one. This merger is facilitated by the identical paradigm of the perfect shared by the two classes.

The distinction between active and inactive types is apparently drawn only in the 3 du. m. base of the perfect, where the vowel *i* is the marker of the inactive type. However, only for one verb the vowel *i* is recorded in this position, and the inactive base is used side by side with the active one: *ʃef* ‘to destroy’ — 3 du. m. *ʃéfo/ʃífo*.

In the perfect, 3 sg. f. coincides with 3 du. m., as in the regular verb. The 3 pl. m. base coincides with that of 3 sg. m. Some of the “hollow” verbs display a special 1 sg. base (from which all 1 and 2 persons are derived), while in others the 3 sg. m. base serves to derive these forms as well.

Thus, the bases of the perfect are as follows:

(I) the 3 sg. m. base (from which also the 3 f. sg., 3 m. pl. and 3 f. pl. forms are derived)

(II) the 3 du. m. base (from which also the 3 du. f. form is derived)

(III) the 1 sg. base (from which all other forms of the 1 and 2 persons are derived) — either distinct or identical with the 3 sg. m. base.

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	1 sg.
perfect	C_1eC_3	C_1eC_3 (inactive C_1iC_3)	C_1eC_3/C_1oC_3

In the imperfect and the jussive, the following bases are employed (cf. 1.1.1.1):

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
imperfect	C_1eC_3/C_1oC_3	C_1eC_3/C_1eC_3	C_1eC_3/C_1oC_3e
jussive	C_1eC_3/C_1oC_3	C_1eC_3/C_1iC_3	C_1eC_3

ther etymology. For *hes* ‘to dig’, the only likely parallels display the C_1C_2y structure: Mehri *ḥasū* (JOHNSTONE 1987: 189), Jibbali *ḥasé* (JOHNSTONE 1981: 116), Arabic *ḥsy* (LANE 1863–1893: 572). The following verbs are etymologically obscure: *ʃek* ‘to harm’ (cf. Arabic *ʃqq* ‘to cleave, to rend’, LANE 1863–1893: 2095, Tigre *ʃakka* ‘to cut, to wound’, LITTMANN – HÖFNER 1962: 467 or rather Arabic *ʃwq* ‘to hinder, to impede’, LANE 1863–1893: 2198), *gez* ‘to be able to reach something’, *kez* ‘to persuade’, *ʃef* ‘to destroy’.

The conjugation can be exemplified by the verb *gez* ‘to be able to reach something’:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>gez</i>	<i>gézo</i>	<i>gézo</i>	<i>gezéto/gezéto</i>	<i>gez</i>	<i>gez</i>
2	<i>gozk</i>	<i>gozš</i>	<i>gózkí</i>		<i>gózken</i>	
1	<i>gozk</i>		<i>gózkí</i>		<i>gózen</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yégez</i>	<i>tégez</i>	<i>yegézo</i>	<i>tegézo</i>	<i>yégez</i>	<i>yegézen</i>
2	<i>tégez</i>	<i>tégiz</i>	<i>tegézo</i>		<i>tégez</i>	<i>tegézen</i>
1	<i>?égez</i>		<i>?egézo</i>		<i>négez</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>ligéz</i>	<i>tegéz</i>	<i>ligézo/ligízo</i>	<i>tegézo</i>	<i>ligéz</i>	<i>tegézen</i>
2	<i>tegéz</i>	<i>tegíz</i>	<i>tegézo/tegízo</i>		<i>tegéz</i>	<i>tegézen</i>
1	<i>legéz</i>		<i>legézo/legízo</i>		<i>negéz</i>	

2.2.1 The perfect paradigm

In the verb *kez* ‘to persuade’, the vowel *o* of the 1 sg. base is changed to *a* under the influence of the emphatic (cf. 1.1.1.6): 1 sg. *kazk*.

In the verbs *kaḥ* ‘to repeat, to do again’ and *šaḥ* ‘to do something well, to be sound’, the vowel *e* of the 3 sg. m. and 1 sg. bases is changed to *a*. The trigger of this change is the final guttural, which also is responsible for the vowel assimilation in 3 du. m. (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52, 54).

The verbs *ʃod* ‘to walk, to go’, *kon* ‘to be’ and *kol* ‘to be capable’ are characterized by the vowel *o* in the 3 sg. m. and 1 sg. bases (in the latter two verbs, *o* is realized as [ɔ], perhaps under the influence of the following sonorant).

For the 3 du. f. ending, each of the three forms *-eto*, *-eto* and *-oto* have been recorded: *šel* ‘to take’ — 3 du. f. *šelóto/šeléto*, *ʕef* ‘to destroy’ — 3 du. f. *ʕeféto*. In the verb *kaḥ* ‘to repeat, to do again’, the vowel *e* in this ending is syncopated (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52–53): *kaḥáto/koḥóto/kaḥto*.

2.2.2 The imperfect paradigm

In the 3 sg. m. base, the shape C_1eC_3 is the preferred one. For most verbs, C_1oC_3 has also been recorded as an optional variant, but the informants often feel uncertain about the correctness of such forms.

In verbs with the final guttural, the vowel *a* is used in 3 sg. m. The same vowel appears in the verbs *kez* ‘to persuade’ and *kol* ‘to be capable’: *yékaz*, *yékal*.

The choice between *e* and *ε* in the 3 du. m. base is lexically determined: *ʕheb* ‘to be warm’ — *yéʕébo*, but *ʕhed* ‘to shut in’ — *yéʕédo*.

Similarly to the verbs of the class C_1C_2y , active type, two bases of 3 pl. m. are in evidence: C_1eC_3 and C_1oC_3e , as in *ʕek* ‘to harm, to hurt’ — *yéʕek*, but *ʕhel* ‘to dig’ — *yéʕóle* (side by side with *yéʕhel*). In this class, however, the base C_1oC_3e is less commonly attested.

2.2.3 The jussive paradigm

In the 3 du. m. base, most verbs allow both *ε* and *i*: *ʕhed* ‘to shut in’ — 3 du. m. *lihédo/lihído*, *šel* ‘to take’ — 3 du. m. *lišélo/lišílo*.

The verb *kez* ‘to persuade’ employs, alongside the expected jussive form *likáz*, the non-canonical form *likzé* (apparently borrowed from the C_1C_2y active paradigm, although no comparable perfect or imperfect forms for this verb have been recorded).

Three verbs — *ḥeš* ‘to help’, *kol* ‘to be capable’, *kon* ‘to be’ — display, alongside the expected forms of the jussive, an alternative paradigm taken after the causative stem: *l’áḥeš*, *l’ákal*, *l’áken*.

3 “Geminated” verbs

The “geminated” verbs employ the same paradigm of the perfect as the C_1VC_3 verbs (cf. 2.2):

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	1 sg.
perfect	C_1eC_3	C_1eC_3 (inactive C_1iC_3)	C_1eC_3/C_1oC_3

In the imperfect and jussive, the three-consonantal root with the last two identical consonants is distinctly seen: *fer* — *yéfrer* — *lifrér* ‘to fly’.

In the jussive, the conjugation of the geminated verbs is similar to that of the regular type, the only exception being the 3 du. m. base where, besides the expected $C_1C_2\varepsilon C_3$, an irregular base $C_1C_2eC_3$ is sometimes found. The conjugation of the imperfect, on the contrary, differs drastically from the regular verb: there is no vowel between the first and the second consonants. Thus, the bases for the “geminated” verbs are as follows (cf. 1.1.1):

	3 sg. m.	3 du. m.	3 pl. m.
imperfect	$C_1C_2eC_3$	$C_1C_2\varepsilon C_3/C_1C_2eC_3$	$C_1C_2eC_3$
jussive	$C_1C_2\varepsilon C_3/C_1C_2oC_3$	$C_1C_2\varepsilon C_3/C_1C_2eC_3$	$C_1C_2eC_3$

The conjugation of this class can be exemplified by the verb *ker* ‘to boil (tr.)’:

perfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>ker</i>	<i>kéro</i>	<i>kéro</i>	<i>keréto</i>	<i>ker</i>	<i>ker</i>
2	<i>kerk</i>	<i>kerš</i>	<i>kérki</i>		<i>kérken</i>	
1	<i>kerk</i>		<i>kérki</i>		<i>kéren</i>	

imperfect	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>yékrer</i>	<i>tékrer</i>	<i>yekréro/yekréro</i>	<i>tekréro/tekréro</i>	<i>yékrer</i>	<i>tekréren</i>
2	<i>tékrer</i>	<i>tékrir</i>	<i>tekréro/tekréro</i>		<i>tékrer</i>	<i>tekréren</i>
1	<i>?ékrer</i>		<i>?ekréro/?ekréro</i>		<i>nékrer</i>	

jussive	sg.		du.		pl.	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	m.	f.
3	<i>likrér</i>	<i>tekrér</i>	<i>likréro</i>	<i>tekréro</i>	<i>likrér</i>	<i>tekréren</i>
2	<i>tekrér</i>	<i>tekrír</i>	<i>tekréro</i>		<i>tekrér</i>	<i>tekréren</i>
1	<i>lekrér</i>		<i>lekréro</i>		<i>nekrér</i>	

The verbs of the inactive type are distinguished only by the 3 du. m. base of the perfect, where the vowel of the base is *i* rather than *e*. The following in-

active verbs have been recorded: *ʔeş* ‘to be afraid’ — 3 du. m. *ʔışo*, *ʔeş* ‘to be strong’ — 3 du. m. *ʔižo*, *ber* (predicative element with the meaning ‘already; indeed’) — 3 du. m. *bíro*, *neṭ* ‘to tremble’ — 3 du. m. *nító* (alongside *néto*), *šet* ‘to look, to see’ — 3 du. m. *şıto* (alongside *şeto*), *teb* ‘to believe; to be sure’ — 3 du. m. *tıbo*, *tef* ‘to be thin’ — 3 du. m. *tıfo*, *teḵ* ‘to be ground, pulverized’ — 3 du. m. *tıko*. Of these verbs, only *tef* exhibits the 3 sg. m. base $C_1C_2oC_3$ (associated with the inactive type) in the jussive (v. 3.3).

3.1 The perfect paradigm

The 1 sg. base is usually C_1eC_3 , the shape C_1oC_3 is attested only for *del* ‘to take’ — *delk/dolk* and *den* ‘to be sad’ — *donk*.

The only verbs which exhibit the vowel *a* in the 3 sg. m. and 1 sg. bases are *ḡal* ‘to be out of order’ and *kal* ‘to be young’. The combination of an emphatic and *l* is probably the trigger of the change. The verb *ḡal* displays *a* in the 3 du. m. base as well (*ḡálo*).

In 3 du. f., the endings *-eto*, *-eto* and *-oto* are employed: *rez* ‘to treat wounds with warm water’ — 3 du. f. *rezéto/rezéto/rezóto*. The optional syncope of *e* is observed, as expected, in the verbs with a final unvoiced plosive or fricative or the pharyngeal *ħ* (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52–53): *heş* ‘to graze’ — 3 du. f. *heşéto/héşto*, *ʔef* ‘to go up’ — 3 du. f. *ʔeféto/ʔéfto*.

3.2 The imperfect paradigm

The 3 sg. m. base $C_1C_2oC_3$ is recorded only for the verbs with final *l*: *del* ‘to take’ — *yéllol*, *kel* ‘to be small’ — *yéklol*, *şel* ‘to take’ — *yéşlol* (side by side with *yéşlel*), *ḡal* ‘to be spoiled’ — *yéḡḡol*.

The choice between the 3 du. m. bases $C_1C_2eC_3$ and $C_1C_2oC_3$ is lexically determined. For many verbs, both variants are recorded: *ser* ‘to throw’ — *yerséro/yerséro*, *meş* ‘to suck’ — *yemşeso/yemşáso*.

If the final consonant is a voiceless plosive or fricative or the pharyngeal *ħ*, the vowel *e* between C_2 and C_3 can be syncopated (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52–53): *res* ‘to hurl stones’ — *yerseso/yérso*, *şah* ‘to do something well; to be sound’ — *yéşho*, *şek* ‘to string small cuts of meat on a wooden stick’ — *yeşkéko/yéşko*. The syncope occurs in the dual forms only.

3.3 The jussive paradigm

The 3 sg. m. base $C_1C_2oC_3$ has been recorded for the following verbs: *tef* ‘to be thin’ — *litféf/litfóf*, *ḡal* ‘to be spoiled’ — *liḡḡál/liḡḡól*.

As in the imperfect, the 3 du. m. base allows either ε or e : ʕeʔ ‘to swallow’ — $l'aʕtáto/l'aʕtétó$. The syncope of e is observed whenever the relevant phonological conditions are present (NAUMKIN et al. 2014b: 52–53): sef ‘to sweep away; to drive (cattle)’ — $lisféfo/lisfó$, hes ‘to dig’ — $l'aʕséso/l'aʕsó$.

4 History of research

The Soqotri weak verbs have met very little attention in the earlier scholarship. D. H. MÜLLER’s paradigms (1905: 374–376) have been supplemented by M. BITTNER (1918: 76–81) and W. LESLAU (1938: 12–13), both fully dependent on MÜLLER’s text collections. The only posterior independent witness to this problem are T. M. JOHNSTONE’s cursory remarks in his 1968 article on the loss of the t -prefix in Soqotri.

4.1 MÜLLER 1905

MÜLLER’s paradigm of C_1C_2y verbs is represented by the active type only: $béne$ ‘to build’ (1905: 375) and $béše$ ‘to weep’ (ibid. 376).

The alleged quantitative opposition between 3 sg. m. $béne/béše$ and 3 pl. m. $béne/béše$ in the perfect does not correspond to our findings and is likely fictitious. The 3 sg. f. form in MÜLLER’s paradigm is marked with $-h$ ($béneh$, $béšeh$), being thus opposed to 3 sg. m. (as well as 3 pl. m. and f.). So far we have failed to recognize this feature in the speech of our informants⁹.

The 1 sg. base with $-o-$ in MÜLLER’s paradigm fully agrees with our records. Our 3 du. m. form $deméyo$ corresponds to MÜLLER’s $benáyo$ ‘they_{du.} built’ on the one hand and $bešéyo$ ‘they_{du.} wept’ on the other, which reflects his inability to recognize ε as a special phone in allophonic relationship with a and distinct from e . The 3 du. f. forms $benéto$ and $bešéto$ seem to correspond to our $bešéto$ (or $deméto?$); the y -preserving variant $demeyéto$ is not recorded by MÜLLER.

MÜLLER’s paradigm of the imperfect is practically identical with our findings. The dual forms $ibénayo$ and $ibéšeyo$, apart from being stressed on

9 The problem is obviously not restricted to this particular verbal class, but applies to all feminine forms (both nominal and verbal). Indeed, our informants strongly insist that the respective endings ($-o$ etc.) are actually pronounced (and are to be spelled in the Arabic-based Soqotri writing) with a final h , absent from “truly” vowel-final masculine forms. A few modern Western observers (notably, Dr. Miranda MORRIS, p. c.) are of the same opinion. More research seems to be needed to establish whether we are faced with a true phonetic phenomenon or rather a kind of morphophonemic perception on the part of the native speakers (cf. WATSON 2012: 66 for a similar ambiguity in Mehri).

the antepenultimate (rather than penultimate) syllable, display the same inconsistency in rendering ε as in the dual forms of the perfect mentioned above.

In the jussive, some of the key oppositions established in the course of our investigation are not recognized in MÜLLER's paradigm. Thus, the singular/plural contrast $-\acute{\varepsilon}/-\acute{e}$ (*libné* 'may he build' vs. *libné* 'may they_{m.} build') is not reflected at all (both forms end in $-\acute{e}$). There is hardly any justification for $-\acute{i}$ (instead of $-\acute{\varepsilon}$) in 3 sg. f. and 2 sg. m. (it is only for 2 sg. f. that the ending $-\acute{i}$ is correct). The ending $-\acute{y}o$ of the dual forms corresponds to MÜLLER's $-\acute{a}yo$. The 2/3 pl. f. form *tíbnén* may correspond to our *tebnén* (the variant types *tebnéyen* and *tebnéyn* are not recorded).

Several *k*-forms for *béše* 'to weep' have been adduced by MÜLLER in his paradigms (3 pl. m. of the perfect *bekú*, 3 sg. f. of the imperfect *tbeK*, etc.). The background of such forms, never attested in our corpus, is uncertain. In the case of *bekú*, we are most likely dealing with an Arabic insertion (for the Arabic ending $-u$ cf. BULAKH's remarks in NAUMKIN et al. 2013: 532–533). The same explanation could apply to *tbeK* and similar forms, unless they are relics of a very archaic stage of the language when the palatalizing alternations were still a living feature of the verbal paradigm¹⁰.

The "hollow" verbs are represented by *kon* 'to be' in the perfect and the imperfect. The characteristic labialized vowel of the 3 sg. m. base of the perfect has been correctly perceived as \acute{a} by Müller, as opposed to non-labialized *a* in 3 sg. f. and 1 pl. (*kánoh*, *kánen*). The contrast between 3 sg. m. and 3 pl. m. is correctly rendered as *kán* vs. *ken*. The imperfect paradigm of this verb is practically identical to ours.

4.2 BITTNER 1918

In his description of the C_1C_2y verbs, BITTNER (1918: 79) correctly opposes the active type (*béde* 'to tell lies') to the inactive (*fíni* 'to turn one's face'). In 3 sg. f. of the perfect, the active *bédeh*¹¹ is rightly opposed to the inactive *fínoh*. BITTNER pays due attention to the 1 sg. base of the perfect base in the active type (*bónik* 'I built') as well as to the $i > e$ shift in 3 pl. m. in the inactive type (*féne* 'they_{m.} turned'). BITTNER's paradigm of the imperfect fits

10 This is never the case in the modern language studied by us where palatalization is a stable lexical feature of a given verbal root which never alternates with its diachronic velar ancestor. It is only in the nominal domain that such paradigmatic alternations are the norm (NAUMKIN et al. 2014a: 16–17).

11 For $-h$ cf. 4.1 with fn. 9.

very well our findings (including the opposition *yédóm* ‘he sleeps’ vs. *yedóme* ‘they_{m.} sleep’), the only exception being the 3 and 2 pl. f. forms, where BITTNER’s *yedómen/tedómen* correspond to our *yedómin/tedómin*. Verbs $C_1C_2?$ are not properly distinguished from C_1C_2y in BITTNER’s description.

The complex fate of the C_1y/wC_3 roots in Soqotri is presented in a rather sketchy way (BITTNER 1918: 78–79). As we have shown above (2.2), “true” hollow verbs are rare in Soqotri, and it is not surprising that very few of them have been properly recognized by BITTNER (cf. the basic forms of *kon* ‘to be’ on p. 79, which he qualifies as “nicht ganz regelmäßig flektiert”). Not unlike JOHNSTONE a few decades later, BITTNER failed to recognize that many of the common “biconsonantal” verbs (like *der* ‘to stay’ or *tef* ‘to give’) do not belong to the basic stem at all, but represent various weak types in the causative stem (cf. 4.4). At the same time, he was right to point out the existence of a separate sub-class of verbs with surfacing *y* as the second radical (*kíyyol* ‘to measure’).

BITTNER’s description of the geminated verbs (1918: 76) is in agreement with our findings¹².

4.3 LESLAU 1938

LESLAU’s concise paradigms of the Soqotri verb (1938: 12–13) provide relatively little information about the relevant forms. For verbs C_1C_2y , the opposition between the active *déme/ídom* and inactive *fíni/ifóne* has been correctly observed. The description of the geminated verbs class is identical to BITTNER’s (cf. fn. 12). The same applies to the “hollow” class, incorrectly represented by the causative stem verbs *der* ‘to stay’ and *tef* ‘to give’.

4.4 JOHNSTONE 1968

T. M. JOHNSTONE’s pioneering article dealing with the loss of the *t*-prefix in Soqotri does not deal with the weak classes discussed in the present article. However, on p. 522 of his study Johnstone incorrectly subsumes the “hollow” verbs under those verbal classes which display the loss of the *t*-prefix in the imperfect. In the reality, there are good reasons to believe that all of his examples actually represent the causative stem rather than the basic one. Furthermore, at least some of them do not belong to the “hollow”

12 Except for the explicit gemination in the perfect (*temm, temmk*, etc.), never heard in the speech of our informants and, it seems, practically missing from the Vienna corpus either.

type at all, but rather to $\text{?C}_2\text{C}_3$ (partly < $\text{*wC}_2\text{C}_3$)¹³. In fact, true “hollow” verbs like *kon* ‘to be’ never display the *t*-loss, which has far-reaching consequences for the history of the verb in MSA and Semitic in general¹⁴.

Appendix One: The lexical evidence

$\text{C}_1\text{C}_2\text{y}$, active type

ʕéke (3 sg. f. *ʕéke*, 1 sg. *ʕókik*) — *yéʕek*¹⁵ (3 pl. m. *yéʕek*) — *liʕká* ‘to harm, to hurt’ (not in LESLAU 1938; cf. *ʕek* ‘to harm, to hurt’ below under C_1VC_3 , active type)

**béʕe* (3 sg. f. *béʕe*, 1 sg. *báʕayk*) — **yébaʕ* — **libʕá* ‘to bleat (a sheep)’¹⁶ (not in LESLAU 1938)

béde (3 sg. f. *béde*, 1 sg. *bódik*) — *yébod* (3 pl. m. *yebóde*) — *libdé* ‘to tell lies’ (LESLAU 1938: 82)

béne (3 sg. f. *béne*, 1 sg. *bónik*) — *yébon* (3 pl. m. *yebóne*) — *libné* ‘to build’ (LESLAU 1938: 89)

béʕe (3 sg. f. *béʕe*, 1 sg. *bóʕik*) — *yéboʕ* (3 pl. m. *yebóʕe*) — *libʕé* ‘to weep’ (LESLAU 1938: 99)

déle (3 sg. f. *déle*, 1 sg. *dólik*) — *yédol* (3 pl. m. *yedóle*) — *lidlé* ‘to weigh’ (LESLAU 1938: 128–129)

déme (3 sg. f. *déme*, 1 sg. *dómik*) — *yédom* (3 pl. m. *yedóme*) — *lidmé* ‘to sleep’ (LESLAU 1938: 129)

féde (3 sg. f. *féde*, 1 sg. *fódik*) — *yéfed* (3 pl. m. *yefóde*) — *lifdé* ‘to help, to provide abundantly’ (not in LESLAU 1938)¹⁷

13 Thus, the common verb *ter* (*yeṭār/l’ātar*) ‘to catch, to hold, to fasten’ is the causative to *étar* (*yóuṭar/liṭār*) ‘to be held up, to be fixed strongly’. Similarly, *tef* (*yeṭáf/l’ātaf*) ‘to give’ is not to be identified with **twb* ‘to be good’ (contra LESLAU 1938: 201 and many others), but rather with Arabic *wḏf* (II) ‘assigner à quelqu’un un traitement ou une subsistance fixe, donner une pension’, *wḏfat*- ‘gage, solde, pension’ (BIBERSTEIN-KAZIMIRSKI 1860 II: 1564).

14 As shown by TESTEN (1992), the loss of the *t*-prefix is always conditioned by the **u*-vowel after *t* (the intensive and causative stems, the internal passive, the quadri-radical verb). No such conditioning factor could be posited for the “hollow” verbs.

15 Alongside *yeʕóki*, borrowed from $\text{C}_1\text{C}_2\text{y}$, inactive type.

16 Only feminine forms were elicited from the informants.

17 Probably not identical with *féde* ‘racheter, délivrer’ in LESLAU 1938: 333, which displays Arabic-like conjugational forms. Of interest is *fotéde* ‘to profit, to benefit from’ (CSOL I 533).

féke (3 sg. f. *féke*, 1 sg. *fókik*) — *yéfek* (3 pl. m. *yefóke*) — *lifkə* ‘to dress oneself’ (LESLAU 1938: 340)

féle/féle (3 sg. f. *féle/féle*, 1 sg. *fólik*) — *yéfol* (3 pl. m. *yefóle*) — *liflé* ‘to seek; to delouse’ (not in LESLAU 1938, CSOL I 535)

fše (3 sg. f. *fše*, 1 sg. *fšɛyk*) — *yéfoš* (3 pl. m. *yefóše*) — *lifšé* ‘to lunch’ (LESLAU 1938: 343)

gére (3 sg. f. *gére*, 1 sg. *górik*) — *yéger/yégor* (3 pl. m. *yéger/yegóre*) — *ligré* ‘to look for’ (LESLAU 1938: 115)

ʔhéle/ʔhéle (3 sg. f. *ʔhéle*, 1 sg. *ʔhólik*) — *yéʔhel/yéʔhol* (3 pl. m. *yéʔhel/yéʔhóle*) — *lihlé* ‘to shut in a calf’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

ʔhéne (3 sg. f. *ʔhéne*, 1 sg. *ʔhónik*) — *yéʔhen/yéʔhon* (3 pl. m. *yéʔhen/yéʔhóne*) — *lihné* ‘to plant’ (LESLAU 1938: 145)

ʔháše (3 sg. f. *ʔháše*¹⁸, 1 sg. *ʔhóšik*) — *yéʔheš/yéʔhoš* (3 pl. m. *yéʔheš/yéʔhóše*) — *lihšá* ‘to irrigate’ (LESLAU 1938: 142)

hède (3 sg. f. *hède*, 1 sg. *hódik*) — *yéhed/yéhod* (3 pl. m. *yéhed*) — *lihde* ‘to carry’ (LESLAU 1938: 164; cf. below under C₁C₂?, inactive)

hèle (3 sg. f. *hèle*, 1 sg. *hólik*) — *yéhel/yóhol* (3 pl. m. *yéhóle*) — *lihlé* ‘to go down’ (LESLAU 1938: 175)

kéfe/kfe (3 sg. f. *kéfe/kfe*, 1 sg. *kfeyk/kófik*) — *yékef/yékof* (3 pl. m. *yékef*) — *likfé* ‘to be enough’ (LESLAU 1938: 223; cf. below under C₁C₂?, active type)

kéfe (3 sg. f. *kéfe*, 1 sg. *káɣayk*) — *yekáɣa* (3 pl. m. *yekéfe*) — *likfá* ‘to open’ (LESLAU 1938: 379)

kále (3 sg. f. *kále*, 1 sg. *kálik*) — *yékal* (3 pl. m. *yekóle*) — *liklé* ‘to roast’ (LESLAU 1938: 375)

káne (3 sg. f. *káne*, 1 sg. *kánik*) — *yékan* (3 pl. m. *yekóne*) — *likné* ‘to feed’ (LESLAU 1938: 377–378)

káre (3 sg. f. *káre*, 1 sg. *kárik*) — *yékar* (3 pl. m. *yekóre*) — *likré* ‘to hide’ (LESLAU 1938: 386)

káše (3 sg. f. *káše*, 1 sg. *kášik*) — *yékaš* (3 pl. m. *yekóše*) — *likšé* ‘to cut off’ (LESLAU 1938: 381)

léhe/léhe (3 sg. f. *léhe/léhe*, 1 sg. *léhik*) — *yeléhe* (3 pl. m. *yeléhe*) — *lihé* ‘to bleat’ (LESLAU 1938: 229)

léhe/léhe (3 sg. f. *léhe*, 1 sg. *láhayk/léhik*) — *yeláha* (3 pl. m. *yeléhe*) — *lihá* ‘to join a kid to a goat other than its mother for suckling’ (CSOL I 601)

18 Alongside *ʔhešóʔo*, borrowed from C₁C₂?, active type.

nēhe (3 sg. f. *nēhe*, 1 sg. *nēhayk/nāhik*) — *yenáha* (3 pl. m. *yenēhe*) — *linhá* ‘to burn (intr.); to be hot, warm’ (LESLAU 1938: 264)

rēfe (3 sg. f. *rēfe*, 1 sg. *rāṣayk/rāṣik*) — *yeráfa* (3 pl. m. *yeréfe*) — *liršá* ‘to pasture (tr.)’ (LESLAU 1938: 403)

rēhe (3 sg. f. *rēhe*, 1 sg. *rāhayk/rāhik*) — *yeráha* (3 pl. m. *yeréhe*) — *lirhá* ‘to lick’ (CSOL I 634, cf. LESLAU 1938: 397)

rēke (3 sg. f. *rēke*, 1 sg. *rókik*) — *yéreḳ/yéroḳ*¹⁹ (3 pl. m. *yeróke*) — *lirḳé* ‘to take one’s livestock to upper areas’ (LESLAU 1938: 407)

réne (3 sg. f. *réne*, 1 sg. *rónik*) — *yéron* (3 pl. m. *yeróne*) — *lirné* ‘to curse’ (LESLAU 1938: 402)

rēše (3 sg. f. *rēše*, 1 sg. *róšik*) — *yéreš/yéroš* (3 pl. m. *yéreš/yeróše*) — *liršé* ‘to tie’ (LESLAU 1938: 408)

sēbe (3 sg. f. *sēbe*, 1 sg. *sóbik*) — *yéseb* (3 pl. m. *yéseb/yesóbe*) — *lisbé* ‘to transfer one’s family temporarily to another place’ (CSOL I 642, cf. LESLAU 1938: 280)

sēfe/sfe (3 sg. f. *sēfe/sfe*, 1 sg. *sḟayk/sḟik*) — *yésef/yésóf* (3 pl. m. *yésef/yesófe*) — *lifsé* ‘to cleanse’ (CSOL I 642)

séle (3 sg. f. *séle*, 1 sg. *sólik*²⁰) — *yésel/yésol* (3 pl. m. *yésel/yesóle*) — *lislé* ‘to follow’²¹

šéfe (3 sg. f. *šéfe*, 1 sg. *šáṣayk/šáṣik*) — *yéšoṣ*²² (3 pl. m. *yešéfe*) — *liššá* ‘to run’ (LESLAU 1938: 419)

19 Alongside *yeróki*, borrowed from the inactive type.

20 Alongside *sélek*, borrowed from C₁C₂l, inactive type.

21 LESLAU (1938: 286) lists *séle* ‘faire parvenir qqe chose à quelqu’un’, which goes back to MÜLLER 1905: 167₁₆: *w-óslī tho min gad d’inkórkim* ‘Und bring hierbei deine Haut, die gelbe’. In all probability, we are dealing with the causative stem from *séle* ‘to follow’ (‘to make follow’ > ‘to bring near’), which is also confirmed by the jussive forms *l(y)ósil* and *lóslī* adduced by MÜLLER in fn. 167 on the same page. Our informants are indeed aware of the causative *selé* (*yesóle/lóslī*), but only with the meaning ‘to infect, contaminate somebody with a contagious disease’ (which is also compatible with the meaning ‘to follow’ in the basic stem).

22 Note the absence of shift *o* > *a* before a guttural in a closed syllable (cf. 1.1.1.2.1).

šése/šše (3 sg. f. *šése/šše*²³, 1 sg. *ššeyk/šóšik*²⁴) — *yéseš/yéšo*²⁵ (3 pl. m. *yéseš/yéšoše*) — *liššé* ‘to take, to accept’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

ške (3 sg. f. *ške*, 1 sg. *škeyk*) — *yešóki/yešóke*²⁶ — *lišké* ‘to seek’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

tébe (3 sg. f. *tébe*, 1 sg. *tóbik*²⁷) — *yéteb* (3 pl. m. *yéteb/yetóbe*) — *litbé* ‘to moo, to low’ (LESLAU 1938: 438)

zéfe (3 sg. f. *zéfe*, 1 sg. *záfayk/záfik*) — *yezáfá* (3 pl. m. *yezéfe*) — *lizfá* ‘to take’ (LESLAU 1938: 154)

C₁C₂y, inactive type

?íti (3 sg. f. *?ító*) — *ye?óti* — *li?té*²⁸ ‘to grow weak’ (cf. LESLAU 1938: 77)

íli (3 sg. f. *ílo*) — *yeíóli* — *l’afilé* ‘to be high, tall’ (LESLAU 1938: 309–310)

**díni* (3 sg. f. *díno*)²⁹ — **yedóni* — **lidné* ‘to conceive’ (LESLAU 1938: 130)

fíni (3 sg. f. *fíno*) — *yefóne*³⁰ — *lifné* ‘to turn one’s face’ (LESLAU 1938: 337)

fíti (3 sg. f. *fító*) — *yefóti* — *lifté* ‘to ejaculate; to be fulfilled (a dream)’ (CSOL I 539)

gízi (3 sg. f. *gízo*) — *yegózi* — *ligzé* ‘to despair’ (LESLAU 1938: 106)

kí?i (3 sg. f. *kí?o/kí?yo*) — *yeké?e* — *lik?é* ‘to remain’ (LESLAU 1938: 212)

kíri (3 sg. f. *kíro*) — *yekóri* — *likré* ‘to be short’ (LESLAU 1938: 224)

**lísi* (3 sg. f. *líso*)³¹ — **yelóse*³² — **lilsé* ‘to rain’ (LESLAU 1938: 234)

líti (3 sg. f. *líto*) — *yelóti* — *lilté* ‘to meet’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

23 Alongside *šéšo/ššo*, perhaps borrowed from the paradigm of “hollow” verbs or “geminated” verbs.

24 Alongside *šéšek*, borrowed from the inactive paradigm.

25 Alongside *yešóši*, borrowed from the inactive paradigm.

26 Instead of the expected *yéšek* or *yéšok*. The form *yešóki* is borrowed from the inactive type of the C₁C₂y class, the form *yešóke* is borrowed from the active type of the C₁C₂? class.

27 Alongside *tébek*, borrowed from C₁C₂?, inactive type.

28 Alongside the irregular *li?ít* (cf. 1.1.2.3).

29 The verb is not used in the masculine. The non-existing basic form of the perfect can be indirectly elicited from 3 pl. f. *díni* ‘they conceived’.

30 Borrowed from the C₁C₂? paradigm (instead of the expected **yefóni*).

31 The verb is used in the 3 sg. f. form only.

32 Borrowed from the C₁C₂? paradigm (instead of the expected **yelósi*).

- lízi* (3 sg. f. *lízo*) — *yelózi* — *lilzé* ‘to be inclined’ (cf. CSOL I 606)
- mídi* (3 sg. f. *mído*) — *yemódi* — *limdé* ‘to be wearied’ (LESLAU 1938: 238)
- míli* (3 sg. f. *milóʔo*³³) — *yemóle*³⁴ — *limlé* ‘to be filled’ (LESLAU 1938: 243; cf. *móle* ‘to fill’ below under C₁C₂ʔ, active)
- míši* (3 sg. f. *míšo*) — *yemóši* — *limšé* ‘to be finished’ (LESLAU 1938: 248)
- míta* (3 sg. f. *mitóʔo*³⁵) — *yemáta* — *limté* ‘to reach’ (LESLAU 1938: 241)
- nífi* (3 sg. f. *nífo*) — *yenófe*³⁶ — *linfé* ‘to be pinked’ (LESLAU 1938: 270; cf. *nófe* ‘to pink’ below under C₁C₂ʔ, active type; *néfe* ‘to be pinked’ below under C₁C₂ʔ, inactive)
- nígi* (3 sg. f. *nígo*) — *yenógi*³⁷ — *lingé* ‘to fail to meet one’s expectations’ (not in LESLAU 1938)
- níši* (3 sg. f. *níšo*) — *yenóši* — *linšé* ‘to forget’ (LESLAU 1938: 276)
- réži* (3 sg. f. *rížo*) — *yeróži* — *liržé* ‘to be glad’ (LESLAU 1938: 404)
- tíri* (3 sg. f. *tíro*) — *yetóri* — *litré* ‘to be wet’ (LESLAU 1938: 445–446)
- tími* (3 sg. f. *tímo*) — *yetáme*³⁸ — *litmé* ‘to be thirsty’ (LESLAU 1938: 205; cf. *téme* below under C₁C₂ʔ, inactive type)

C₁C₂ʔ, active type

- ʔége* (3 sg. f. *ʔegóʔo*, 1 sg. *ʔégek/ʔégeʔk*) — *yeʔóge* — *liʔgé/ligé* ‘to beat’ (LESLAU 1938: 51)
- ʔéte* (3 sg. f. *ʔetóʔo*, 1 sg. *ʔéteʔk*) — *yeʔóte* — *liʔté/lité* ‘to pass by; to reach the mark (the thrown object)’ (LESLAU 1938: 76)
- góše* (3 sg. f. *gešóʔo*, 1 sg. *góšek/góšeʔk*) — *yegóše* — *ligšé* ‘to belch’ (CSOL I 547, cf. LESLAU 1938: 117; cf. *géše* below under C₁C₂ʔ, inactive)
- ʔhóde* (3 sg. f. *ʔhedóʔo*, 1 sg. *ʔhódek*) — *yeʔhóde* — *lihdé/lišdé* ‘to calm down’ (LESLAU 1938: 141)
- kéfe/kfe* (3 sg. f. *kfóʔo*, 1 sg. *kofik*³⁹) — *yekófe* — *likfé* ‘to be enough’ (cf. *kéfe/kfe* above under C₁C₂y, active)

33 Borrowed from the C₁C₂ʔ inactive paradigm (instead of the expected **mílo*).

34 Borrowed from the C₁C₂ʔ paradigm (instead of the expected **yemóli*).

35 Borrowed from the C₁C₂ʔ inactive paradigm (instead of the expected **míto*).

36 Borrowed from the C₁C₂ʔ paradigm (instead of the expected **yenófi*).

37 Alongside *yenóge* (borrowed from the C₁C₂ʔ paradigm).

38 Borrowed from C₁C₂ʔ, inactive type.

39 Borrowed from C₁C₂y, active type.

kóle (3 sg. f. *kelóʔo*, 1 sg. *kólek/kóleʔk*) — *yekóle* — *liklé* ‘to turn (tr. or intr.)’ (LESLAU 1938: 218)

kése/kse (3 sg. f. *ksóʔo*, 1 sg. *késeek/késeʔk/ksek/kseʔk*⁴⁰) — *yekóse* — *liksé* ‘to find’ (LESLAU 1938: 225)

káne (3 sg. f. *kenóʔo*, 1 sg. *kánek/káneʔk*) — *yekáne* — *likné* ‘to be jealous; to be envious’ (LESLAU 1938: 377)

káre (3 sg. f. *keróʔo*, 1 sg. *kárek/káreʔk*) — *yekáre* — *likré* ‘to read’ (LESLAU 1938: 385)

móle (3 sg. f. *melóʔo*, 1 sg. *mólek/móleʔk*) — *yemóle* — *limlé* ‘to fill’ (LESLAU 1938: 243; cf. *míli* ‘to be filled’ above under C₁C₂y, inactive)

nófe (3 sg. f. *nefóʔo*⁴¹, 1 sg. *nófek*) — *yenófe* — *linfé* ‘to pink’ (LESLAU 1938: 270; cf. *nífi* ‘to be pinked’ above under C₁C₂y, inactive; *néfe* ‘to be pinked’ below under C₁C₂ʔ, inactive)

zóke (3 sg. f. *zekóʔo*, 1 sg. *zókek/zókeʔk*) — *yezóke* — *lizké* ‘to be sad’ (LESLAU 1938: 152)

C₁C₂ʔ, inactive type

ʔéza (3 sg. f. *ʔižóʔo*, 1 sg. *ʔézaʔk*) — *yeʔáza* — *liʔžá/ližá* ‘to grow (plants)’ (LESLAU 1938: 70)

béde (3 sg. f. *bidóʔo*⁴², 1 sg. *bédek*) — *yebóde* — *libdé* ‘to begin’ (LESLAU 1938: 81)

défe (3 sg. f. *difóʔo*, 1 sg. *défek*) — *yedófe* — *lidfé* ‘to be deaf’ (LESLAU 1938: 132)

gébe (3 sg. f. *gibóʔo*, 1 sg. *gébek/gébeʔk*) — *yegóbe* — *ligbé* ‘to be able; to overcome’ (CSOL I 541; cf. LESLAU 1938: 101)

gése (3 sg. f. *gišóʔo*, 1 sg. *géseek/géseʔk*) — *yegóse* — *ligšé* ‘to belch’ (cf. *góše* above under C₁C₂ʔ, active)

hède (3 sg. f. *hidóʔo*⁴³, 1 sg. *hédék*) — *yehóde* — *lihédé* ‘to carry’ (cf. above under C₁C₂y, active type)

hére (3 sg. f. *hiróʔo*, 1 sg. *hérék/héreʔk*) — *yehóre* — *l’ahré* ‘to look for; to try’ (LESLAU 1938: 190)

40 Besides, the form *kseyk* is attested, borrowed from C₁C₂y, active type.

41 Alongside *neféyo* (borrowed from the C₁C₂y paradigm).

42 Alongside *bedóʔo* (borrowed from the active type).

43 Alongside *hedóʔo* (borrowed from the active type).

**lébe* (3 sg. f. *libóʔo*⁴⁴) — **yelóbe* — **lilbé* ‘to be in season (a female goat)’ (CSOL I 600, not in LESLAU 1938)

kéne (3 sg. f. *kinóʔo*, 1 sg. *káne/káneʔk*⁴⁵) — *yekáne* — *likné* ‘to be jealous; to be envious’ (cf. *káne* above under C₁C₂ʔ, active)

néfe (3 sg. f. *nifóʔo*⁴⁶, 1 sg. *néfek/néfeʔk*) — *yenófe* — *linfé* ‘to be pinked’ (cf. *nífi* above under C₁C₂y, inactive; *nófe* ‘to pink’ above under C₁C₂ʔ, active)

šébe (3 sg. f. *šibóʔo*, 1 sg. *šébek/šébeʔk*) — *yešóbe* — *lišbé* ‘to believe’ (LESLAU 1938: 410)

téme (3 sg. f. *timóʔo*, 1 sg. *tímik*⁴⁷) — *yetáme* — *litmé* ‘to be thirsty’ (cf. *tími* above under C₁C₂y inactive)

C₁yC₃

ʕer — *yáʕyer/yáʕyur* — *l’aʕyér/l’aʕyúr* ‘to be blind’ (LESLAU 1938: 303⁴⁸)

ʕáʕheš — *yáʕheš* — *l’aʕhás* ‘to dive’ (LESLAU 1938: 301)

héyyom — *yáhyem/yáhyom* — *l’ahyém/l’ahyóm* ‘to be ugly’ (cf. LESLAU 1938: 172)

héyyat — *yáhyet* — *l’ahyát* ‘to sew’ (LESLAU 1938: 172⁴⁹)

kíyyol — *yékyel/yékyol* — *likyéł/likyól* ‘to measure’ (LESLAU 1938: 217)

kéyyol — *yékyel/yékyol* — *likyéł/likyól* ‘to be high’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

míyyos — *yémyes/yémyos* — *limyés/limyós* ‘to be thin’ (LESLAU 1938: 243)

niḥ/néʕhaḥ — *yénʕhaḥ* — *linʕháḥ* ‘to be glad, merry’ (LESLAU 1938: 266)

ríyyom — *yéryem* — *liryém/liryóm* ‘to be long’ (LESLAU 1938: 399)

éyyod — *yésyed* — *lisyéd/lisyód* ‘to be rich’ (LESLAU 1938: 284)

44 The verb is not used in the masculine. The non-existing basic form of the perfect can be indirectly elicited from 3 pl. f. *lébe* ‘they were in season’.

45 Instead of the expected **kéne*(?)*k*. Both of the actually attested variants are likely borrowed from the active type.

46 Alongside *néfe*, borrowed from C₁C₂y, active type.

47 Borrowed from C₁C₂y, inactive type.

48 The clearly audible presence of *u* (rather than *o*) in the imperfect and jussive bases is noteworthy and remains to be explained.

49 LESLAU (1938: 172) lists *héyyom* ‘ne pas vouloir, mépriser’, with reference to MÜLLER 1905: 332₁₂: *tšeréden wa-ʕá ṭhayémen* ‘Sie trinken und verschmähnen nicht’. The meaning ‘to despise’ has been confirmed by our informants for the causative stem *ḥayém* (*yeháyom/l’ahyem*).

šéyyom — *yésyem* — *lišyém* ‘to fast’ (LESLAU 1938: 350)

šéyyor — *yésyer* — *lišyér/lišyór* ‘to be few, little’ (CSOL I 662)

C₁VC₃, active type

fad (3 sg. f. *fédo*, 1 sg. *ƒak*) — predicative element indicating the continuation of an action/state (LESLAU 1938: 302⁵⁰)

ƒod (3 sg. f. *ƒádo*⁵¹, 1 sg. *ƒodk*) — *yóƒod* — *l’áƒád*⁵² ‘to walk, to go’ (LESLAU 1938: 301)

ƒek (3 sg. f. *ƒéko*, 1 sg. *ƒekk*) — *yéƒek* — *liƒák* ‘to harm, to hurt’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

ƒem (3 sg. f. *ƒémo*, 1 sg. *ƒemk*) — *yéƒem* — *liƒám* ‘to cover’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

gez (3 sg. f. *gézo*, 1 sg. *gozk*) — *yégez* — *ligéz* ‘to be able to reach something’ (CSOL I 549)

ʿheb (3 sg. f. *ʿhébo*, 1 sg. *ʿhobk*) — *yéʿheb* — *lihéb/lihób* ‘to be warm’ (LESLAU 1938: 410)

ʿhed (3 sg. f. *ʿhédo*, 1 sg. *ʿhedk*) — *yéʿhed* — *lihéd* ‘to shut in’ (cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

ʿhel (3 sg. f. *ʿhélo*, 1 sg. *ʿholk*) — *yéʿhel/yéʿhol* — *lihél/lihól* ‘to dig’ (LESLAU 1938: 143)

hes (3 sg. f. *héso*, 1 sg. *hesk*) — *yéhes* — *lihés* ‘to dig’ (cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

heš (3 sg. f. *héso*, 1 sg. *hešk*) — *yéheš* — *lihés*⁵³ ‘to help’ (LESLAU 1938: 168)

kaḥ (3 sg. f. *kóho*, 1 sg. *kaḥk*) — *yékaḥ* — *likáḥ* ‘to repeat, to do again’ (LESLAU 1938: 217)

kol (3 sg. f. *kélo*, 1 sg. *kolk*) — *yékal* — *likál*⁵⁴ ‘to be capable’ (LESLAU 1938: 214)

kon (3 sg. f. *káno*, 1 sg. *kank*) — *yéken* — *likán*⁵⁵ ‘to be’ (LESLAU 1938: 215)

kez (3 sg. f. *kézo*, 1 sg. *kazk*) — *yékaz* — *likáz* ‘to persuade’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

50 An irregular verb with defective paradigm (no imperfect/jussive).

51 Instead of the expected *fédo*.

52 Alongside *l’áƒad*, borrowed from the causative paradigm.

53 Alongside *l’áheš*, borrowed from the causative paradigm.

54 Alongside *l’ákal*, borrowed from the causative paradigm.

55 Alongside *l’áken*, borrowed from the causative paradigm.

med (3 sg. f. *médo*, 1 sg. *medk*) — *yémed* — *liméd* ‘to stretch’ (cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

ṣah (3 sg. f. *ṣóho*, 1 sg. *ṣahk*) — *yéṣah/yéseḥ* — *liṣáh* ‘to do something well; to be sound’ (not in LESLAU 1938; cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

šel (3 sg. f. *šélo*, 1 sg. *šelk*) — *yéšel/yêsol* — *lišél/lišól* ‘to take’ (not in LESLAU 1938; cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

C₁VC₃, active/inactive

ʕef (3 sg. f. *ʕéfo/ʕífo*, 1 sg. *ʕefk*) — *yéʕef* — *liʕéf* ‘to destroy’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

C₁C₂C₂, active

ʔef (3 sg. f. *ʔéfo*, 1 sg. *ʔefk*) — *yéʔef* — *liʔéf* ‘to go up’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

ʕeb (3 sg. f. *ʕébo*, 1 sg. *ʕebk*) — *yáʕbeb* — *l'aʕbéb* ‘to defecate’ (LESLAU 1938: 293)

ʕen (3 sg. f. *ʕéno*, 1 sg. *ʕenk*) — *yáʕnen* — *l'aʕnén* ‘to pull’ (LESLAU 1938: 317)

ʕer (3 sg. f. *ʕéro*, 1 sg. *ʕerk*) — *yáʕrer* — *l'aʕrér* ‘to block’ (LESLAU 1938: 329)

ʕeš (3 sg. f. *ʕéšo*, 1 sg. *ʕešk*) — *yáʕšeš*⁵⁶ — *l'aʕšéš*⁵⁷ ‘to stand up’ (LESLAU 1938: 331)

ʕet (3 sg. f. *ʕéto*, 1 sg. *ʕetk*) — *yáʕtet* — *l'aʕtát* ‘to swallow’ (LESLAU 1938: 305)

beš (3 sg. f. *bóšo*, 1 sg. *bešk*) — *yébšeš* — *l'ibšás* ‘to be visible, to shine’ (LESLAU 1938: 93)

del (3 sg. f. *délo*, 1 sg. *delk/dolk*) — *yéllol* — *lidlél/lillél* ‘to take’ (LESLAU 1938: 128)

den (3 sg. f. *déno*, 1 sg. *donk*) — *yédnen* — *lidnén* ‘to be sad’ (LESLAU 1938: 130)

fer (3 sg. f. *féro*, 1 sg. *ferk*) — *yéfrer* — *lifrér* ‘to fly’ (LESLAU 1938: 342)

feš (3 sg. f. *fšo*, 1 sg. *fešk*) — *yéʕšeš* — *liʕšéš* ‘to be scattered’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

ʕhed (3 sg. f. *ʕhéd*, 1 sg. *ʕhedk*) — *yéhded* — *lihédéd* ‘to shut in’ (LESLAU 1938: 141; cf. above under C₁VC₃, active type)

⁵⁶ Alongside the irregular *yáʕše*.

⁵⁷ Alongside the irregular *l'aʕšé*.

hen (3 sg. f. *héno*, 1 sg. *henk*) — *yéħnen* — *l'ahnén* 'to give in abundance' (LESLAU 1938: 183)

hes (3 sg. f. *héso*, 1 sg. *hesk*) — *yáhses* — *l'ahséš* 'to dig' (LESLAU 1938: 184; cf. above under C₁VC₃, active type)

heš (3 sg. f. *héšo*, 1 sg. *hešk*) — *yáhšeš* — *l'ahšéš* 'to graze' (not in LESLAU 1938)

het (3 sg. f. *héto*, 1 sg. *hetk*) — *yáħtet* — *l'aħtát* 'to search for grass' (not in LESLAU 1938)

hez (3 sg. f. *hézo*, 1 sg. *hez*k) — *yáhzez* — *l'ahzéz* 'to slaughter' (LESLAU 1938: 169)

keb (3 sg. f. *kébo*, 1 sg. *kebk*) — *yéķbeb* — *liķbéb* 'to roast' (LESLAU 1938: 365)

kal (3 sg. f. *kélo*, 1 sg. *kalk*) — *yéķlol* — *liķlél* 'to be young' (LESLAU 1938: 375)

ker (3 sg. f. *kéro*, 1 sg. *kerk*) — *yéķrer* — *liķrér* 'to boil (tr.)' (CSOL I 592)

keš (3 sg. f. *kéšo*, 1 sg. *kešk*) — *yéķšeš* — *liķšeš* 'to cut' (LESLAU 1938: 383)

lef (3 sg. f. *léfo*, 1 sg. *lefk*) — *yélfef* — *liľféf* 'to eat much and quickly' (CSOL I 601)

med (3 sg. f. *médo*, 1 sg. *medk*) — *yémded* — *limdéd* 'to stretch' (LESLAU 1938: 238; cf. above under C₁VC₃, active)

meš (3 sg. f. *méšo*, 1 sg. *mešk*) — *yémšeš* — *limšás* 'to suck' (LESLAU 1938: 249)

neť (3 sg. f. *néto*, 1 sg. *neťk*) — *yénťet* — *linťát* 'to tremble' (LESLAU 1938: 265; cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, inactive)

res (3 sg. f. *réso*, 1 sg. *resk*) — *yérses* — *lirsés* 'to hurl stones' (CSOL I 637)

rez (3 sg. f. *rézo*, 1 sg. *rezk*) — *yérzez* — *lirzéz* 'to treat wounds with warm water' (CSOL I 639)

sef (3 sg. f. *sfo*, 1 sg. *sefk*) — *yésfef* — *lisféf* 'to sweep away; to drive (cattle)' (not in LESLAU 1938)

ser (3 sg. f. *séro*, 1 sg. *serk*) — *yésrer* — *lisrér* 'to throw' (not in LESLAU 1938)

šah (3 sg. f. *šoħo*, 1 sg. *šahk*) — *yéšheħ* — *lišáhħ* 'to do something well; to be sound' (not in LESLAU 1938; cf. above under C₁VC₃, active type)

šek (3 sg. f. *šéko*, 1 sg. *šek*k) — *yéškeķ* — *liškák* 'to shout' (CSOL I 650)

šer (3 sg. f. *šéro*, 1 sg. *šerk*) — *yéšrer* — *lišrér* 'to throw out' (LESLAU 1938: 358)

šet (3 sg. f. *šeto*, 1 sg. *šetk*) — *yéšet* — *lištét* ‘to look, to see’ (LESLAU 1938: 156; cf. below under C₁C₂C₂, inactive)

šek (3 sg. f. *ško*, 1 sg. *šekk*) — *yéškek* — *liškék* ‘to string small cuts of meat on a wooden stick’ (LESLAU 1938: 429)

šel (3 sg. f. *šelo*, 1 sg. *šelk*) — *yéšlel/yéšlol* — *lišlél* ‘to take’ (not in LESLAU 1938; cf. above under C₁VC₃, active type)

teb (3 sg. f. *tébo*, 1 sg. *tebk*) — *yétbeb* — *litbéb* ‘to drool’ (cf. LESLAU 1938: 438)

ter (3 sg. f. *téro*, 1 sg. *terk*) — *yétrer* — *litrér* ‘to throw’ (CSOL I 678; cf. LESLAU 1938: 446)

žer (3 sg. f. *žéro*, 1 sg. *žerk*) — *yéžrer* — *ližrér* ‘to precipitate’ (CSOL I 702)

žeb (3 sg. f. *žébo*, 1 sg. *žebk*) — *yéžbeb* — *ližbéb* ‘to roast’ (cf. LESLAU 1938: 359)

žal (3 sg. f. *žálo*, 1 sg. *žalk*) — *yéžžol* — *ližžál/ližžól* ‘to be out of order’ (LESLAU 1938: 362)

C₁C₂C₂, inactive

ʔeš (3 sg. f. *ʔišo*, 1 sg. *ʔešk*) — *yéʔšeš* — *li(?)śás* ‘to be afraid’ (LESLAU 1938: 55)

ʔež (3 sg. f. *ʔižo*, 1 sg. *ʔežk*) — *yáʔžež* — *l’afžáz* ‘to be strong’ (LESLAU 1938: 323)

ber (3 sg. f. *bíro*, 1 sg. *bek*⁵⁸), predicative element with the meaning ‘already; indeed’ (LESLAU 1938: 97⁵⁹)

neṭ (3 sg. f. *nító*, 1 sg. *neṭk*) — *yénteṭ* — *lintát* ‘to tremble’ (cf. above under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

šet (3 sg. f. *šito*, 1 sg. *šetk*) — *yéšet* — *lištét* ‘to look, to see’ (cf. above under C₁C₂C₂, active type)

teb (3 sg. f. *tíbo*, 1 sg. *tebk*) — *yétbeb* — *litbéb* ‘to believe; to be sure’ (LESLAU 1938: 198)

tef (3 sg. f. *tífo*, 1 sg. *tefk*) — *yétfef* — *litféf/litfóf* ‘to be thin’ (LESLAU 1938: 207)

teḱ (3 sg. f. *tíko*, 1 sg. *tekk*) — *yétkeḱ* — *litkák* ‘to be ground, pulverized’ (not in LESLAU 1938)

58 With an irregular assimilation: **bark* > *bək(k)*.

59 An irregular verb with defective paradigm (no imperfect and jussive).

Appendix Two: an etymological survey of verbs C₁C₂y and C₁C₂ʔ

As we have seen above, the verbal classes C₁C₂ʔ and C₁C₂y in Soqotri are, in spite of a certain trend towards merger and variation, rather neatly opposed to each other on the synchronic level. Is this opposition valid also from the diachronic (etymological) point of view? Do the Soqotri C₁C₂y and C₁C₂ʔ classes faithfully reflect their Semitic ancestors or are we rather faced with secondary re-distribution, either arbitrary or following some hitherto undiscovered rules of historical morphology? To answer this question, a brief etymological survey of the extant Soqotri material has been undertaken.

1. Reliable reflexes of Soqotri verbs C₁C₂y going back to Common Semitic C₁C₂y or C₁C₂w verbs include **19** examples: *ʕéke* ‘to harm, to hurt’ < *ʕkw (LANE 1863–1893: 2117), *ʕili* ‘to be high, tall’ < *ʕlw/*ʕly (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 828), *béne* ‘to build’ < *bny (ibid. 139), *béše* ‘to weep’ < *bky (ibid. 129), *déle* ‘to weigh’ < *dlw (LESLAU 1987: 132), *féde* ‘to help, to provide abundantly’ < *pdy (ibid. 155), *féle* ‘to seek; to delouse’ < *ply (MILITAREV – KOGAN 2005: 231), *fíni* ‘to turn one’s face’ < *pnw (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 937), *fíti* ‘to ejaculate’ < *ptw (LESLAU 1987: 171), *ʕháše* ‘to irrigate’ < *šky (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 1639), *kíri* ‘to be short’ < *kry (BROCKELMANN 1928: 342), *kále* ‘to roast’ < *klw/*kly (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 1101), *káše* ‘to cut off’ < *kšw/*kšy (ibid. 1120), *níši* ‘to forget’ < *nšy (ibid. 728), *réše* ‘to pasture’ < *ršy (ibid. 1258), *réke* ‘to take one’s livestock to upper areas’ < *rký (LANE 1863–1893: 1140), *réži* ‘to be glad’ < *ršw (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 1280), *šéfe* ‘to run’ < *šfy (LANE 1863–1893: 1366), *tíri* ‘to be wet’ < *try (LANE 1863–1893: 336).
2. Reliable examples of Soqotri verbs C₁C₂ʔ going back to Common Semitic C₁C₂ʔ prototypes are **10**: *ʔége* ‘to beat’ < *wgʔ (LESLAU 1987: 607), *ʔéža* ‘to grow (plants)’ < *wšʔ (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 425), *béde* ‘to begin’ < *bdʔ (LANE 1863–1893: 163), *góše* ‘to belch’ < *gšʔ (MILITAREV – KOGAN 2000: 283–284), *ʕhóde* ‘to calm down’ < *hdʔ (LESLAU 1987: 214), *kóle* ‘to turn’ < *klʔ (ibid. 281), *kése* ‘to find’ < *ksʔ (KOGAN 2015: 560–561), *káne* ‘to be jealous’ < *knʔ (LESLAU 1987: 433), *káre* ‘to read’ < *krʔ (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 1128), *móle* ‘to fill’ < *mlʔ (ibid. 583).
3. In **4** cases, a diachronic shift from *C₁C₂ʔ to C₁C₂y is certain: *béde* ‘to tell lies’ < *bdʔ (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 109), *míli* ‘to be filled’ < *mlʔ (ibid. 583), *ʕími* ‘to be thirsty’ < *ʕtmʔ (ibid. 1032) and *míta* ‘to reach’ < *mʔ (ibid. 619). It is probably not accidental that in the three latter, most ob-

vious cases inactive verbs are involved: one can surmise that the shift $*ʔ > y$ (yotation) was triggered by the presence of *i* in the base.

4. In 3 cases, we are faced with a diachronic shift from $*C_1C_2y$ to $C_1C_2ʔ$: *ʔéte* ‘to pass by’ < $*ʔty/*ʔtw$ (LESLAU 1987: 46), *hère* ‘to look for; to try’ < $*hry$ (LANE 1863–1893: 557, JOHNSTONE 1981: 115) and *nófe* ‘to pink’ < $*npy$ (LESLAU 1987: 390). Only the first comparison is fully reliable.

We may conclude that the opposition between C_1C_2y and $C_1C_2ʔ$ is generally valid also in diachronic terms: deviations from the etymologically predicted distribution are uncommon.

In view of the well-known etymological opaqueness of the MSA vocabulary as a whole, it is not surprising to discover that many of the relevant examples are obscure from the etymological point of view.

The first group of such cases is represented by Soqotri verbs with reliable parallels in other MSA languages, but no further Semitic cognates: **dāni* ‘to conceive’ (KOGAN 2015: 551), *fēke* ‘to dress oneself’ (ibid. 567), *fše* ‘to lunch’ (ibid. 568), *gīzi* ‘to despair’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 130, 1981: 82), *ʔhēle* ‘to shut in a calf’ (JOHNSTONE 1981: 97), *ʔhéne* ‘to plant’ (KOGAN 2015: 571), *gēre* ‘to look for’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 125, 1981: 77), *kēʔe* ‘to open’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 139), *kāne* ‘to feed’ (KOGAN 2015: 561), *kāre* ‘to hide’ (ibid. 562), *lébe* ‘to be in season’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 251), *léhe* ‘to bleat’ (KOGAN 2015: 563), **lísi* ‘to rain’ (ibid. 543–544), *lízi* ‘to be inclined’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 260), *nēhe* ‘to burn’ (KOGAN 2015: 541), *rēhe* ‘to lick’ (ibid. 595), *rēše* ‘to tie’ (JOHNSTONE 1987: 330, 1981: 216), *ške* ‘to seek’ (JOHNSTONE 1981: 251⁶⁰), *tēbe* ‘to moo, to low’ (KOGAN 2015: 574). In each case, the standard dictionaries of Mehri and Jibbali attribute the corresponding cognate lexemes to the C_1C_2y class (which, with the exception of *lébe* ‘to be in season’, would agree with the synchronic morphological attribution in Soqotri), but since the opposition between C_1C_2y and $C_1C_2ʔ$ in the continental MSA is still understudied⁶¹, it seems safer not to rely on the data of these languages if no external confirmation is at hand.

60 Since the Jibbali cognate means ‘to look all day long to see if any animals are missing’, one is tempted to further compare the widespread Aramaic root *sky* ‘to look, to gaze, to wait’ (see KOEHLER et al. 2001: 1326 for concrete forms) as well as Geez *maskot* ‘window’ (LESLAU 1987: 365).

61 Thus, in Jibbali the two classes appear to be largely merged together according to RUBIN 2014: 202–205 (and cf. JOHNSTONE 1981: 78: “Differentiation of final’ and w/y is weak”). The Mehri facts exposed in RUBIN 2010: 158–159 are too scanty for a serious conclusion.

To the second group, specifically Soqotri *unica* are to be attributed: *ʔiti* ‘to grow weak’⁶², *béʔe* ‘to bleat’, *déʔe* ‘to be deaf’, *déme* ‘to sleep’, *gébe* ‘to be able; to overcome’, *héde* ‘to carry’, *héle* ‘to go down’⁶³, *kíʔi* ‘to remain’, *léhe* ‘to join a kid to a goat other than its mother for suckling’⁶⁴, *líti* ‘to meet’, *mídi* ‘to be wearied’⁶⁵, *míʔi* ‘to be finished’, *nígi* ‘to fail to meet one’s expectations’, *réne* ‘to curse’, *sébe* ‘to transfer one’s family temporarily to another place’, *séfe* ‘to cleanse’⁶⁶, *séle* ‘to follow’, *šébe* ‘to believe’, *šéʔe* ‘to take, to accept’, *zéʔe* ‘to take’, *zóke* ‘to be sad’.

References

- BIBERSTEIN-KAZIMIRSKI, A. de. (1860): *Dictionnaire arabe-français*, Paris: Editions G.-P. Maisonneuve.
- BITTNER, M. (1918): Charakteristik der Sprache der Insel Soqotra. In: *Anzeiger der philosophisch-historischen Klasse der kais. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien* 55: 48–83.
- BROCKELMANN, C. (1928): *Lexicon Syriacum*. Halle: Max Niemeyer.
- JOHNSTONE, T. M. (1968): The Non-Occurrence of a *t*-Prefix in Certain Soqotri Verbal Forms. In: *BSOAS* 31: 515–525.
- JOHNSTONE, T. M. (1981): *Jibbāli Lexicon*. Oxford: OUP.
- JOHNSTONE, T. M. (1987): *Mehri Lexicon*. London: Routledge.
- KOEHLER, L. – BAUMGARTNER, W. – STAMM, J. J. (2001): *The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament*. Leiden: Brill.
- KOGAN, L. (2015): *Genealogical Classification of Semitic. The Lexical Isoglosses*. Berlin: de Gruyter.

62 Cf. perhaps Arabic *wʔ* ‘to be heavy and slow in one’s gait, by reason of age or by nature’ (LANE 1863–1893: 2916).

63 Cf. perhaps Jibbali *hálé* ‘(dagger) to penetrate; (animal) to lie down and refuse to go any further’ (JOHNSTONE 1981: 301).

64 Rather promising is Arabic *lḥw/lḥy* ‘to trickle, drip or place a thing (medicine) into a person’s nose or mouth’ (ULLMANN 1980: 432).

65 Cf. perhaps Hebrew *māzā* ‘weakened’ (KOEHLER et al. 2001: 565), which might imply **mdy* in the prototype.

66 Most probably, a loan adaptation of Arabic *šfy* ‘to be clean’ (LANE 1863–1893: 1703). The reception of Arabic *š* as *s* is a common phenomenon both in Soqotri and the continental MSA (LESLAU 1938: 35–36, JOHNSTONE 1981: 220). As rightly observed by JOHNSTONE (1987: 337), this is due to the fact that the partially voiced MSA *š* is acoustically very dissimilar from its Arabic etymological equivalent.

- KOGAN, L. – NAUMKIN, V. (2014): The Vowels of Soqotri as a Phonemic System. In: ELMAZ, O. – WATSON, J. (eds.): *Languages of Southern Arabia* (Supplement to PSAS 44). Oxford: Archaeopress, 57–80.
- LANE, E. W. (1863–1893): *Arabic-English Lexicon*. London: Williams and Norgate.
- LESLAU, W. (1938): *Lexique soqotri (sudarabique moderne) avec comparaisons et explications étymologiques*. Paris: Klincksieck.
- LESLAU, W. (1987): *Comparative Dictionary of Ge'ez (Classical Ethiopic)*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- LITTMANN, E. – HÖFNER, M. (1962): *Wörterbuch der Tigrē-Sprache*. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.
- MILITAREV, A. – KOGAN, L. (2005): *Semitic Etymological Dictionary*. Vol. 2. *Animal Names*. Münster: Ugarit.
- MÜLLER, D. (1905): *Die Mehri- und Soqotri-Sprache*. Bd. II: *Soqotri-Texte*. Wien: Hoelder.
- NAUMKIN, V. et al. (2014a): *Corpus of Soqotri Oral Literature*, vol. I. Leiden: Brill.
- NAUMKIN, V. et al. (2014b): Studies in the Verbal Morphology of Soqotri I/1: Strong triconsonantal roots in the Basic Stem (the Analysis). In: *Zeitschrift für Arabische Linguistik* 59: 25–56.
- RUBIN, A. (2010): *The Mehri Language of Oman*. Leiden: Brill.
- RUBIN, A. (2014): *The Jibbali (Shahri) Language of Oman*. Leiden: Brill.
- TESTEN, D. (1992): The Loss of the Person-Marker *t*- in Jibbali and Socotri. *BSOAS* 55: 445–450.
- ULLMANN, M. (1980): *Wörterbuch der klassischen arabischen Sprache*. II/6. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- WATSON, J. C. E. (2012): *The Structure of Mehri*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.