

Lipschitzian Operators of Substitution in the Algebra ΛBV^1

V. V. CHISTYAKOV and O. M. SOLYCHEVA

Department of Mathematics, University of Nizhny Novgorod,
Gagarin Avenue 23, Nizhny Novgorod 603950, Russia
E-mail: chistya@mm.unn.ac.ru

Dedicated to Professor A. N. Sharkovsky on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract We present necessary and sufficient conditions on the generating functions of operators of substitution (= Nemytskii superposition operators), which map the Waterman's space ΛBV of functions of Λ -bounded variation on the interval into another space of this type and satisfy the Lipschitz condition.

Keywords Functions of Λ -bounded variation, Operators of substitution, Lipschitz condition

AMS Subject Classification 2000 26A45, 47H30, 39B22

1 Introduction

Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$0 < \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_i = \infty. \quad (1)$$

A function $f : I = [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of Λ -bounded variation (in the sense of Waterman [22], [23]), in which case we write $f \in \Lambda BV$, if the following quantity, called the Λ -variation of f on the interval I , is finite:

$$V_{\Lambda}(f) \equiv V_{\Lambda}(f, I) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^m |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|/\lambda_i; \quad (2)$$

the supremum being taken over all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all (non-ordered) collections of non-overlapping intervals $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

It is easily seen that $\Lambda BV = BV$, the space of functions of ordinary Jordan bounded variation on I , if and only if Λ is a bounded sequence. Consequently, if we suppose that $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i = \infty$, then BV is a proper subspace of ΛBV .

¹Supported by the Ministry of Education of Russia, grant E00-1.0-103.

The classes ΛBV with different sequences Λ have proven to be of interest in the study of Fourier series (e.g., [8], [9], [20], [22], [23], and references therein). Our aim in this note is to present a different type of application of the class ΛBV connected with the characterization of superposition operators on ΛBV satisfying the global Lipschitz condition.

Let \mathbb{R}^I stand for the set of all functions mapping I into \mathbb{R} . Given a function of two variables $h : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the *operator of substitution* (also called the *Nemytskii* or *superposition operator*) $H = H_h : \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$, generated by h , is defined for $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(Hf)(x) \equiv H(f)(x) = h(x, f(x)), \quad x \in I. \quad (3)$$

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^I$ be a Banach function space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. We are interested in characterization of those functions h , for which the corresponding operator of substitution H maps the space B into itself and is *Lipschitzian* in the sense that there exists a number $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\| \leq \mu \|f_1 - f_2\| \quad \text{for all } f_1, f_2 \in B. \quad (4)$$

The study of operators of substitution H satisfying (4) is important in connection with systems with hysteresis [10] and difference equations [21].

Matkowski [12] proved that if $B = \text{Lip}$, the space of Lipschitz functions on I with the usual Lipschitzian norm, then condition (4) is equivalent to the existence of two functions $h_0, h_1 \in \text{Lip}$ such that $h(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi$ for all $x \in I$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Matkowski and Miś [16] showed that if $B = \text{BV}$ and condition (4) is satisfied, then there exist two functions $h_0, h_1 \in \text{BV}$, which are continuous from the left on $(a, b]$, such that $h^*(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi$, $(x, \xi) \in (a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$, where $h^*(x, \xi) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x-0} h(y, \xi)$ is the left regularization of h in the first variable. We note (cf. [12, p. 131]) that the spaces Lip and BV above cannot be replaced by the space C of continuous functions on I with the uniform norm or by the space L^p ($p > 0$) of Lebesgue p -summable functions on I with the standard norm (e.g., $h(x, \xi) = \sin \xi$).

The above two results have been further extended to various spaces of functions and mappings of generalized bounded variation of one variable ([1]–[5], [13]–[15], [17], [18]) and two real variables ([6], [7]).

The main result of this paper (Theorem 2.1) asserts that the generating functions h of Lipschitzian operators of substitution H on the space $B = \Lambda BV$ admit the representation of Matkowski and Miś. The general idea of this representation belongs to Matkowski, and the test functions f_j used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 are those from [2], [4], [5] and [7]. The preliminaries and main results of this paper are presented in Section 2, while their proofs are given in Section 3. Section 4 contains some generalizations when functions under consideration take their values in normed linear spaces (Theorem 4.1).

2 Main Results

It is known (cf. [23, Sec. 3]) that ΛBV is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda} = |f(a)| + V_{\Lambda}(f), \quad f \in \Lambda\text{BV}. \quad (5)$$

We note, moreover, that it was shown in [11, Theorem 4] that ΛBV is a normed Banach algebra (for details see step 4 in the proof of Theorem 2.1).

Any function $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}$ has the limit from the left $f(x-0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x-0} f(y)$ at each point $x \in (a, b]$ and the limit from the right $f(x+0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x+0} f(y)$ at each point $x \in [a, b)$, and the set of discontinuities of f is at most countable (cf. [23, Theorems 2, 3]).

Given $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}$, we define its *left regularization* $f^* : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f^*(x) = f(x-0) \quad \text{if } a < x \leq b, \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(a) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} f^*(x). \quad (6)$$

The existence of the second limit in (6) will be proved in Section 3 (see Lemma 3.1). We set

$$\Lambda\text{BV}^* = \{f \in \Lambda\text{BV} \mid f \text{ is continuous from the left on } (a, b]\}.$$

Let $\Lambda' = \{\lambda'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence satisfying conditions of the form (1) and let $\Lambda'\text{BV}$ be the associated space of functions of Λ' -bounded variation.

The main result of the present paper is the following

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that $H : \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$ is an operator of substitution generated by the function $h : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ according to formula (3).*

If H maps $\Lambda'\text{BV}$ into ΛBV and is Lipschitzian in the sense that

$$\exists \mu > 0 \text{ such that } \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_{\Lambda} \leq \mu \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'} \quad \forall f_1, f_2 \in \Lambda'\text{BV}, \quad (7)$$

then there exists a constant $\mu_0 > 0$, depending on μ , λ_1 and λ'_1 , such that

$$|h(x, \xi_1) - h(x, \xi_2)| \leq \mu_0 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|, \quad x \in I, \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

and there exist two functions $h_0, h_1 \in \Lambda\text{BV}^$ such that*

$$h^*(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi, \quad x \in I, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (9)$$

where $h^(x, \xi)$ is the left regularization of $x \mapsto h(x, \xi)$ for each fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Conversely, if $h_0, h_1 \in \Lambda\text{BV}$, $h(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi$, $x \in I$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and the following condition is satisfied:

$$\exists C > 0 \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^n 1/\lambda_i \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n 1/\lambda'_i \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (10)$$

then H maps $\Lambda'\text{BV}$ into ΛBV and is Lipschitzian in the sense of (7).

This theorem will be proved in Section 3. Now we present its corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let $h : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that h^* exists and $h^* = h$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}$, and let H be the operator of substitution generated by h . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *H maps the algebra ΛBV into itself and is Lipschitzian;*
- (b) *there exist two functions $h_0, h_1 \in \Lambda BV^*$ such that $h(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi$ for all $x \in I$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.*

3 Proofs

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *The second limit in (6) exists for any $f \in \Lambda BV$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From the definition of $f(a+0)$ we find a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(a+0)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in (a, a + \delta]$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in (a, a + \delta]$. For small $\sigma > 0$ (such that $\sigma < x_j - a$, $j = 1, 2$) we have:

$$|f^*(x_1) - f^*(x_2)| \leq |f^*(x_1) - f(x_1 - \sigma)| + |f(x_1 - \sigma) - f(x_2 - \sigma)| + |f(x_2 - \sigma) - f^*(x_2)|,$$

where the expression in the middle is estimated by

$$|f(x_1 - \sigma) - f(x_2 - \sigma)| \leq |f(x_1 - \sigma) - f(a+0)| + |f(a+0) - f(x_2 - \sigma)| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

From the existence of $f^*(x_j)$ we can choose a (smaller) $\sigma > 0$ such that $|f^*(x_j) - f(x_j - \sigma)| \leq \varepsilon$, $j = 1, 2$. It follows that $|f^*(x_1) - f^*(x_2)| \leq 4\varepsilon$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in (a, a + \delta]$, and it remains to apply the Cauchy criterion for the existence of a limit. \square

Lemma 3.2 *If $f \in \Lambda BV$, then $f^* \in \Lambda BV^*$ and $V_\Lambda(f^*) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$.*

Proof. Clearly, f^* is continuous from the left on $(a, b]$, so we prove that $f^* \in \Lambda BV$. Since $f^* = f$ at the points of continuity of f and, by virtue of (6) and Lemma 3.1, f^* has an internal saltus at each of its points of discontinuity (i.e., if $x \in I$ is a point of discontinuity of f^* , then $\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f^*(y) \leq f^*(x) \leq \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} f^*(y)$), then, applying Theorem 2 from [19], we conclude that $f^* \in \Lambda BV$ and $V_\Lambda(f^*) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$. \square

The next lemma easily follows from definition (2).

Lemma 3.3 *If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone, then $V_\Lambda(f) = |f(b) - f(a)|/\lambda_1$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For clarity we divide the proof into four steps.

1. *General part.* Given $f_1, f_2 \in \Lambda' \text{BV}$, by (5) and (7), we have, in particular, $V_\Lambda(Hf_1 - Hf_2) \leq \mu \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'}$, so that if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are non-overlapping intervals, then, by virtue of (2),

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|(Hf_1 - Hf_2)(b_i) - (Hf_1 - Hf_2)(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} \leq \mu \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'},$$

or, according to (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|h(b_i, f_1(b_i)) - h(b_i, f_2(b_i)) - h(a_i, f_1(a_i)) + h(a_i, f_2(a_i))|}{\lambda_i} &\leq \\ &\leq \mu \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \beta$, we define auxiliary Lipschitz functions $\eta_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\eta_{\alpha, \beta}(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \leq \alpha, \\ (y - \alpha)/(\beta - \alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \leq y \leq \beta, \\ 1 & \text{if } y \geq \beta. \end{cases}$$

2. *Proof of (8).* Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose first that $x \in (a, b]$. Setting $m = 1$, $b_1 = x$ and $a_1 = a$ in (11), we substitute into (11) two functions defined by

$$f_j(y) = \eta_{a, x}(y)\xi_j, \quad y \in I, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Since $f_j(a) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, and $V_{\Lambda'}(f_1 - f_2) = |\xi_1 - \xi_2|/\lambda'_1$ by Lemma 3.3, we have $\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'} = |\xi_1 - \xi_2|/\lambda'_1$. It follows from (11) now that

$$|h(x, \xi_1) - h(x, \xi_2)|/\lambda_1 \leq \mu |\xi_1 - \xi_2|/\lambda'_1,$$

which is (8) with $\mu_0 = \mu \lambda_1/\lambda'_1$. If $x = a$, we set $m = 1$, $b_1 = b$ and $a_1 = a$ in (11) and substitute into (11) two functions defined by

$$f_j(y) = (1 - \eta_{a, b}(y))\xi_j, \quad y \in I, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Now $f_j(a) = \xi_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and so, as above, $\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'} = (1 + 1/\lambda'_1)|\xi_1 - \xi_2|$. Inequality (11) gives:

$$|h(a, \xi_1) - h(a, \xi_2)|/\lambda_1 \leq \mu(1 + 1/\lambda'_1)|\xi_1 - \xi_2|,$$

which is (8) with the desired constant $\mu_0 = \mu \lambda_1(1 + 1/\lambda'_1)$.

By the definition of $h^*(\cdot, \xi)$, we have from (8):

$$|h^*(x, \xi_1) - h^*(x, \xi_2)| \leq \mu_0 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|, \quad x \in I, \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

3. *Proof of (9).* Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in (a, b]$. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a < a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_m < b_m < x$ in (11). We substitute into (11) the following two functions:

$$f_j(y) = \eta_m(y)\xi_1 + (2 - j)\xi_2, \quad y \in I, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where the Lipschitz function $\eta_m : I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined as follows:

$$\eta_m(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \leq y \leq a_1, \\ \eta_{a_i, b_i}(y) & \text{if } a_i \leq y \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ 1 - \eta_{b_i, a_{i+1}}(y) & \text{if } b_i \leq y \leq a_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } b_m \leq y \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We note that $(f_1 - f_2)(y) = \xi_2$ for all $y \in I$, and so, $\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'} = |\xi_2|$. Since $f_1(b_i) = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $f_2(b_i) = \xi_1$, $f_1(a_i) = \xi_2$ and $f_2(a_i) = 0$, (11) yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|h(b_i, \xi_1 + \xi_2) - h(b_i, \xi_1) - h(a_i, \xi_2) + h(a_i, 0)|}{\lambda_i} \leq \mu |\xi_2|. \quad (14)$$

Because constant functions belong to Λ' BV and H takes its values in Λ BV, we infer that $h(\cdot, \xi) = H(\xi) \in \Lambda$ BV for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking into account the definition of h^* and passing to the limit as $a_1 \rightarrow x - 0$ in (14), we find that for each $x \in (a, b]$ the following inequality holds:

$$|h^*(x, \xi_1 + \xi_2) - h^*(x, \xi_1) - h^*(x, \xi_2) + h^*(x, 0)| \leq \mu |\xi_2| / \sum_{i=1}^m (1/\lambda_i).$$

Therefore, the last inequality holds also at $x = a$. Passing to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account (1) we arrive at the equality

$$h^*(x, \xi_1 + \xi_2) - h^*(x, \xi_1) - h^*(x, \xi_2) + h^*(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in I, \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (15)$$

The remaining part of the proof of (9) is the same as in [12] (for the reader's convenience we present it here). For a fixed $x \in I$ we define $S_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $S_x(\xi) = h^*(x, \xi) - h^*(x, 0)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, so that (15) can be rewritten in the form $S_x(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = S_x(\xi_1) + S_x(\xi_2)$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, showing that S_x is an additive function. On the other hand, (12) implies $|S_x(\xi_1) - S_x(\xi_2)| \leq \mu_0 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|$, and so, S_x is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus, there exists $h_1 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $S_x(\xi) = h_1(x)\xi$ for all $x \in I$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $h_0(x) = h^*(x, 0)$, $x \in I$, we arrive at (9). Noting that $h_0(\cdot) = h^*(\cdot, 0)$ and $h_1(\cdot) = h^*(\cdot, 1) - h^*(\cdot, 0)$ and applying Lemma 3.2, we conclude that $h_0, h_1 \in \Lambda$ BV*.

4. In order to prove the converse assertion, we note that the operator of substitution H is given by

$$(Hf)(x) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)f(x), \quad f \in \Lambda'$$
BV, $x \in I. \quad (16)$

By virtue of [11, Theorem 4] the following inequality holds:

$$V_\Lambda(fg) \leq \|f\|_u V_\Lambda(g) + V_\Lambda(f) \|g\|_u, \quad f, g \in \Lambda$$
BV, (17)

where $\|f\|_u = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)|$. It is clear from (1) and (2) that

$$\|f\|_u \leq |f(a)| + \lambda_1 V_\Lambda(f), \quad f \in \Lambda$$
BV. (18)

Making use of (5), (17) and (18), we find that

$$\|fg\|_{\Lambda} \leq \max\{1, 2\lambda_1\}\|f\|_{\Lambda}\|g\|_{\Lambda}, \quad f, g \in \Lambda BV. \quad (19)$$

According to [19, Theorem 3], condition (10) is equivalent to the inclusion $\Lambda' BV \subset \Lambda BV$. Let us show that this implies the existence of a positive constant $\kappa = \kappa(\Lambda', \Lambda)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda} \leq \kappa \|f\|_{\Lambda'} \quad \text{for all } f \in \Lambda' BV. \quad (20)$$

In fact, the identity operator Id , defined by $\text{Id}(f) = f$, maps the Banach space $\Lambda' BV$ into the Banach space ΛBV and is closed by virtue of (5) and (18), and so, by the closed graph theorem, it is continuous. It suffices to define the constant $\kappa(\Lambda', \Lambda)$ to be equal to the operator norm of Id .

Now, (10), (16) and (19) imply that H maps $\Lambda' BV$ into ΛBV . Finally, if $f_1, f_2 \in \Lambda' BV$, it follows from (19) and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_{\Lambda} &= \|h_1(f_1 - f_2)\|_{\Lambda} \leq \max\{1, 2\lambda_1\}\|h_1\|_{\Lambda}\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda} \leq \\ &\leq \max\{1, 2\lambda_1\}\kappa(\Lambda', \Lambda)\|h_1\|_{\Lambda}\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\Lambda'}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and so, H satisfies condition (7). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4 *A theorem similar to Theorem 2.1 holds for the right regularization of $h(\cdot, \xi)$. However, the function h^* in (9) in general cannot be replaced by h (see Example on p. 157 in [16]). When Λ and Λ' are constant or bounded sequences, Theorem 2.1 gives the results of [16].*

Remark 3.5 *If $h_0, h_1 \in \Lambda BV$ and $\|h_1\|_{\Lambda} < 1/\max\{1, 2\lambda_1\}$, then, by Banach's contraction principle and (21) (with $\Lambda' = \Lambda$, in which case $\kappa(\Lambda', \Lambda) = 1$), there exists a unique function $f \in \Lambda BV$ such that $f(x) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)f(x)$ for all $x \in I$.*

4 Generalizations

Here we extend the result of Theorem 2.1 to the case when functions from ΛBV take their values in normed or Banach spaces.

Let X be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$. For a function $f : I \rightarrow X$ we write $f \in \Lambda BV(I; X)$ provided the value (2) is finite.

(i) Each function $f \in \Lambda BV(I; X)$ has the limit from the left $f(x-0) \in X$ at any point $x \in (a, b]$ and the limit from the right $f(x+0) \in X$ at any point $x \in [a, b)$, and the set of discontinuities of f is at most countable. This follows from [23], since Theorems 2 and 3 there carry over to the present case with the same proofs.

(ii) $\Lambda BV(I; X)$ is a Banach space with respect to norm (5). To see the completeness of this space, we first note that the functional $V_{\Lambda}(\cdot)$ is lower

semicontinuous, i.e., if $f, f_n : I \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ for all $x \in I$ (pointwise convergence on I), then

$$V_\Lambda(f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_\Lambda(f_n). \quad (22)$$

In fact, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, be arbitrary non-overlapping intervals. From the definition of $V_\Lambda(f_n)$ we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |f_n(b_i) - f_n(a_i)|/\lambda_i \leq V_\Lambda(f_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the limit inferior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of this inequality and taking into account the pointwise convergence of f_n to f , we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|/\lambda_i \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_\Lambda(f_n),$$

and (22) follows from the definition of $V_\Lambda(f)$.

Now, suppose that $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ is a Cauchy sequence:

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\Lambda = |f_n(a) - f_m(a)| + V_\Lambda(f_n - f_m) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (23)$$

From the estimate (18) (which is obvious for $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ as well) we find that, for each $x \in I$, $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and, by virtue of completeness of X , we may denote its limit by $f(x) \in X$. Since $|V_\Lambda(f_n) - V_\Lambda(f_m)| \leq V_\Lambda(f_n - f_m)$, the sequence $\{V_\Lambda(f_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} , and, hence, it is bounded and convergent. By (22), $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$. Once again, (22) and the pointwise convergence of $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ give: $\|f_n - f\|_\Lambda \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\Lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so, due to (23),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_\Lambda \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\Lambda = 0,$$

which means that f_n converges to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the norm of $\Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$.

(iii) We define the left regularization f^* of f according to (6). Then Lemma 3.1 holds for $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ with the same proof. Lemma 3.2 is valid for $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ as well, but we have to change from the previous (real valued case) proof to a more direct one, which we present now.

Let us show that f^* is continuous from the left at $x \in (a, b]$. By (i), there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of points of continuity of f lying strictly at the left of x such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have in X :

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x-0} f^*(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^*(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x-0} f(y) = f^*(x).$$

Let us prove that $f^* \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ and $V_\Lambda(f^*) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$. Let $Q = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be a finite or countable set and $\{x_n\}_{n \in Q} \subset (a, b]$ be the set of points of discontinuity from the left of f . Let us define $f_1 : I \rightarrow X$ by

$f_1(x) = f(x)$ if $x \neq x_1$ and $f_1(x_1) = f(x_1 - 0)$, so that f_1 and f differ only at x_1 , and let us show that $V_\Lambda(f_1) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and non-overlapping intervals $[a_i, b_i] \subset I$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have the following three possibilities: a) $x_1 \neq a_i$ and $x_1 \neq b_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$; b) there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_1 = b_{i_0}$; c) there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_1 = a_{i_0}$. In case a) we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |f_1(b_i) - f_1(a_i)|/\lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^m |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|/\lambda_i \leq V_\Lambda(f).$$

If case b) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|f_1(b_i) - f_1(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \frac{|f(b_i) - f(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \frac{|f(x_1 - 0) - f(a_{i_0})|}{\lambda_{i_0}} + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^m \frac{|f(b_i) - f(a_i)|}{\lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first or the last sum on the right hand side should be omitted depending on whether $i_0 = 1$ or $i_0 = m$ in the case $m \geq 2$, or both these sums should be omitted if $m = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of $f(x_1 - 0)$, there exists a $y \in (a_{i_0}, x_1)$ such that $|f(x_1 - 0) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon \lambda_{i_0}$, and so,

$$\frac{|f(x_1 - 0) - f(a_{i_0})|}{\lambda_{i_0}} \leq \frac{|f(y) - f(a_{i_0})|}{\lambda_{i_0}} + \varepsilon.$$

Since the intervals $[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_{i_0-1}, b_{i_0-1}]$, $[a_{i_0}, y]$, $[a_{i_0+1}, b_{i_0+1}], \dots, [a_m, b_m]$ are still non-overlapping, we find from the above that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |f_1(b_i) - f_1(a_i)|/\lambda_i \leq V_\Lambda(f) + \varepsilon.$$

In a similar manner we treat case c). Thus, we have proved that $V_\Lambda(f_1) \leq V_\Lambda(f) + \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

If functions f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} are already constructed and $x \in I$, we set $f_n(x) = f_{n-1}(x)$ for $x \neq x_n$ and $f_n(x_n) = f_{n-1}(x_n - 0) = f(x_n - 0)$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. By induction,

$$V_\Lambda(f_n) \leq V_\Lambda(f_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq V_\Lambda(f_1) \leq V_\Lambda(f), \quad n \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

If \mathbb{Q} is finite, we are through, so let \mathbb{Q} be infinite. Define $f_* : I \rightarrow X$ by $f_*(x) = f(x)$ if $x \notin \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $f_*(x_n) = f(x_n - 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Q}$, and note that f_n converges in X pointwise on I to f_* as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that, by (22), $V_\Lambda(f_*) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$. Finally, since $f^*(x) = f_*(x)$ if $x \neq a$, and $f^*(a) = f_*(a + 0)$, so that f^* and f_* differ only at a , by the above argument, we conclude that $V_\Lambda(f^*) \leq V_\Lambda(f_*) \leq V_\Lambda(f)$, which was to be proved.

(iv) The Banach algebra property of ΛBV is extended in the following way. Let X, Y and Z be normed spaces over the same field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} with norms $|\cdot|$ (the same symbol $|\cdot|$ for norms won't lead to ambiguities). Let $M : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a bilinear map (called a multiplication) such that $|M(\xi, \eta)| \leq |\xi| \cdot |\eta|$ for all $\xi \in X$ and $\eta \in Y$. We have: if $f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ and $g \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; Y)$, then the product $fg : I \rightarrow Z$ defined by $(fg)(x) = M(f(x), g(x))$, $x \in I$, is in $\Lambda\text{BV}(I; Z)$ and inequality (19) holds. This is a consequence of (17) and (5), which are valid in this more general case.

(v) Denote by $L(X; Y)$ the normed space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y . Given $h : I \times X \rightarrow Y$, we define the *operator of substitution* $H : X^I \rightarrow Y^I$ by (3) provided $f \in X^I$ (i.e. $f : I \rightarrow X$). Let $P(I; X) \subset X^I$ be a family of functions with the following property: for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a < a_1 < b_1 < \dots < a_m < b_m < b$ the polygonal function defined by $I \ni x \mapsto \eta_m(x)\xi_1 + \xi_2 \in X$ belongs to $P(I; X)$, where η_m is defined in (13). Clearly, $P(I; X) \subset \Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$.

The analysis of the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that the following counterpart and generalization of this theorem holds:

Theorem 4.1 *If X is a real normed space, Y is a Banach space and H maps $P(I; X)$ into $\Lambda\text{BV}(I; Y)$ and is Lipschitzian (in the sense of the norms in these spaces), then inequality (8) holds for all $x \in I$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X$, and there exist two functions $h_0 \in \Lambda\text{BV}^*(I; Y)$ and $h_1 : I \rightarrow L(X; Y)$ with the property that $h_1(\cdot)\xi \in \Lambda\text{BV}^*(I; Y)$ for all $\xi \in X$ such that (9) holds for all $x \in I$ and $\xi \in X$.*

Conversely, if X and Y are normed spaces, $h(x, \xi) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)\xi$, $x \in I$, $\xi \in X$, where $h_0 \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; Y)$ and $h_1 \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; L(X; Y))$, then the operator of substitution H maps $\Lambda\text{BV}(I; X)$ into $\Lambda\text{BV}(I; Y)$ and is Lipschitzian.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 is the same as that of Theorem 2.1; however, two remarks are in order. In step 3 of the proof we have: since X is real, the additivity and continuity of S_x imply $S_x \in L(X; Y)$ for all $x \in I$, and so, setting $h_1(x)\xi = S_x(\xi)$, $x \in I$, $\xi \in X$, we find that $h_1 : I \rightarrow L(X; Y)$ and $h_0, h_1(\cdot)\xi \in \Lambda\text{BV}^*(I; Y)$ for all $\xi \in X$. In step 4 we note that, by virtue of (iv), applied with X there replaced by $L(X; Y)$, Y — by X and Z — by Y , we get: $h_1 f \in \Lambda\text{BV}(I; Y)$.

(vi) At the end of this paper we present an extension ℓ_Λ of the space of summable sequences ℓ_1 in the spirit of Waterman and show that the counterpart of Theorem 2.1 is wrong in it. Let Λ satisfy conditions (1). A sequence of real numbers $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is said to be Λ -summable (in symbols, $x \in \ell_\Lambda$) if the following quantity is finite:

$$\|x\|_\Lambda = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_{j(i)}| / \lambda_i \mid j : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ is bijective} \right\}.$$

One can easily check that $\|\cdot\|_\Lambda$ is a norm in ℓ_Λ , and that, given $x, y \in \ell_\Lambda$, we have: $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| \leq \lambda_1 \|x\|_\Lambda$ and $\|xy\|_\Lambda \leq \lambda_1 \|x\|_\Lambda \|y\|_\Lambda$, where $xy = \{x_i y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

If $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the operator of substitution $H : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by the formula: $(Hx)(i) = h(i, x_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Let, in particular, $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying: $\exists \mu > 0$ such that $|h(\xi) - h(\eta)| \leq \mu |\xi - \eta|$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ (e.g. $h(\xi) = \sin \xi$). Then for $x, y \in \ell_{\Lambda}$ we have: $\|Hx\|_{\Lambda} \leq \mu \|x\|_{\Lambda}$ and $\|Hx - Hy\|_{\Lambda} \leq \mu \|x - y\|_{\Lambda}$.

References

- [1] Chistyakov, V.V., Generalized variation of mappings and applications, *Real Anal. Exchange* **25**, No. 1 (1999-2000), 61-64.
- [2] Chistyakov, V.V., Lipschitzian superposition operators between spaces of functions of bounded generalized variation with weight, *J. Appl. Anal.* **6**, No. 2 (2000), 173-186.
- [3] Chistyakov, V.V., On mappings of finite generalized variation and non-linear operators, *Real Analysis Exchange* 24th Summer Symposium Conference Reports, May 2000, 39-43.
- [4] Chistyakov, V.V., Mappings of generalized variation and superposition operators, *Itogi nauki i tekhniki VINITI*, Ser. Contemporary Math. and Its Appl., Thematic Survey, Dynamical Systems-10, Moscow: VINITI, Vol. 79 (2000), 67-82 (Russian). English transl. to appear in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 2002.
- [5] Chistyakov, V.V., Generalized variation of mappings with applications to composition operators and multifunctions, *Positivity* **5**, No. 4 (2001), 323-358.
- [6] Chistyakov, V.V., The algebra of functions of two variables with finite variation and Lipschitzian superposition operators, Proceedings of 12th Baikal Intern. Confer. *Optimization Methods and their Applications*. Irkutsk (2001), 53-58 (Russian).
- [7] Chistyakov, V.V., Superposition operators in the algebra of functions of two variables with finite total variation, *Monatsh. Math.* (2002), to appear.
- [8] Ciernoczołowski, J. and Orlicz, W., Inclusion theorems for classes of functions of generalized bounded variations, *Comment. Math.* **24** (1984), 181-194.
- [9] Goffman, C., Nishiura, T. and Waterman, D., *Homeomorphisms in Analysis*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1997.
- [10] Krasnosel'skii, M.A. and Pokrovskii, A.V., *Systems with Hysteresis*, Moscow, Nauka, 1983.

- [11] Maligranda, L. and Orlicz, W., On some properties of functions of generalized variation, *Monatsh. Math.* **104** (1987), 53-65.
- [12] Matkowski, J., Functional equations and Nemytskii operators, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **25**, No. 2 (1982), 127-132.
- [13] Matkowski, J., On Nemytskii operator, *Math. Japon.* **33**, No. 1 (1988), 81-86.
- [14] Matkowski, J., Lipschitzian composition operators in some function spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **30**, No. 2 (1997), 719-726.
- [15] Matkowski, J. and Merentes, N., Characterization of globally Lipschitzian composition operators in the Banach space $BV_p^2[a, b]$, *Archivum Math.* **28**, No. 3-4 (1992), 181-186.
- [16] Matkowski, J. and Miś, J., On a characterization of Lipschitzian operators of substitution in the space $BV\langle a, b \rangle$, *Math. Nachr.* **117** (1984), 155-159.
- [17] Merentes, N., On a characterization of Lipschitzian operators of substitution in the space of bounded Riesz φ -variation, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **34** (1991), 139-144.
- [18] Merentes, N. and Rivas, S., On characterization of the Lipschitzian composition operator between spaces of functions of bounded p -variation, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **45**, No. 4 (1995), 627-637.
- [19] Perlman, S. and Waterman, D., Some remarks on functions of Λ -bounded variation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74**, No. 1 (1979), 113-118.
- [20] Sablin, A. I., Differential properties and Fourier coefficients of functions of Λ -bounded variation, *Anal. Math.* **11**, No. 4 (1985), 331-341.
- [21] Sharkovsky, A. N., Maistrenko, Yu. L. and Romanenko, E. Yu., *Difference Equations and their Applications*, Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1986.
- [22] Waterman, D., On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation, *Studia Math.* **44**, No. 2 (1972), 107-117.
- [23] Waterman, D., On Λ -bounded variation, *Studia Math.* **57**, No. 1 (1976), 33-45.