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#### Abstract

Given the standard Gaussian measure $\gamma$ on the countable product of lines $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ and a probability measure $g \cdot \gamma$ absolutely continuous with respect to $\gamma$, we consider the optimal transportation $T(x)=x+\nabla \varphi(x)$ of $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$. Assume that the function $|\nabla g|^{2} / g$ is $\gamma$-integrable. We prove that the function $\varphi$ is regular in a certain Sobolev-type sense and satisfies the classical change of variables formula $g=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp (\mathcal{L} \varphi-$ $\left.\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right)$. We also establish sufficient conditions for the existence of third-order derivatives of $\varphi$.


## 1. Introduction

Numerous applications of the optimal transportation theory in finite-dimensional spaces have been found during the last decade. They include differential equations, probability theory, and geometry (see [1], [20]). The situation in infinitedimensional spaces has been much less studied. However, some partial results on existence, uniqueness, and regularity have been obtained in [13], [14], [8], and [9].

In the finite-dimensional case any optimal transportation mapping $T$ is a solution to the variational Monge-Kantorovich problem. Assume that we are given two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with finite second moments. The so-called optimal transportation mapping $T$ minimizes the functional

$$
\int|T(x)-x|^{2} \mu(d x)
$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the standard Euclidean norm, among all mappings sending $\mu$ to $\nu$ : $\nu=\mu \circ T^{-1}$. There exists a unique ( $\mu$-almost everywhere, abbreviated $\mu$-a.e.,) mapping of this type. It turns out (see [20]) that there exists a convex function $\Phi$ such that $T$ has the form $T(x)=\nabla \Phi(x)$ for $\mu$ almost all $x$.

In the infinite-dimensional case the natural norm to be minimized does not always coincide with the ambient norm. For instance, it is well known that

[^0]in many problems the "natural" norm on the Wiener space is the CameronMartin norm $|\cdot|_{H}$, which is infinite almost everywhere. Thus the natural infinitedimensional Monge-Kantorovich problem on the Wiener space deals with the functional
$$
\int|T(x)-x|_{H}^{2} \mu(d x)
$$
and two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ which are absolutely continuous with respect to $\gamma$. A sufficiently complete solution to the infinite-dimensional transportation problem on the Wiener space has been obtained in [13] (see an alternative approach in [14]). In particular, if
$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g=\int g \log g d \gamma<\infty
$$
and $\nu=\gamma, \mu=g \cdot \gamma$, then there exists an optimal transportation $T(x)=x+\nabla \varphi(x)$ of $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$, that is, $\gamma=(g \cdot \gamma) \circ T^{-1}$, where $\varphi$ is a function possessing (in a certain sense) the gradient $\nabla$ along the Cameron-Martin space; if $\mu$ is equivalent to $\gamma$, then $\varphi$ is a 1-convex potential (see [12], where the optimal transportation of this form is constructed from $\gamma$ to $\mu$ and our $T$ is its inverse). Existence of an optimal transportation for any couple of probability measures absolutely continuous with respect to $\gamma$ has been recently established in [11].

In addition, the following inequality (called Talagrand's inequality) holds:

$$
\int g \log g d \gamma \geq \frac{1}{2} \int|\nabla \varphi|^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Moreover, there exists a mapping $S$ such that $T \circ S(x)=x$ for $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. $x$ and $S \circ T(x)=x$ for $\gamma$-a.e. $x$. The mapping $S$ is an optimal transportation mapping too (it takes $\gamma$ to $g \cdot \gamma$ ) and has the form $S(x)=x+\nabla \psi(x)$.

In this paper we study the change of variables formula. One can formally compute that the following expression must hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \varphi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{2}$ is the second derivative,

$$
\mathcal{L} \varphi(x)=\Delta \varphi(x)-\langle x, \nabla \varphi(x)\rangle=\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}(\nabla \varphi)(x)
$$

is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, and $\operatorname{det}_{2}$ is the Fredholm-Carleman determinant defined by $\operatorname{det}_{2}(\mathrm{I}+K)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1+k_{i}\right) e^{-k_{i}}$, where $K$ is a symmetric HilbertSchmidt operator with eigenvalues $k_{i}$. Note that $\operatorname{det}_{2}(\mathrm{I}+K) \leq 1$ if $I+K \geq 0$. Relation (1.1) can be considered as an infinite-dimensional Monge-Ampère equation with an unknown function $\varphi$.

For the inverse mapping $T^{-1}(x)=x+\nabla \psi(x)$ the change of variables formula takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathrm{I}+\nabla \psi) \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \psi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \psi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right)=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a nontrivial problem which mappings satisfy (1.1) in the general case (see [2], [4], [19]). Identity (1.2) was obtained in [13] under the assumption that
$g \cdot \gamma$ is uniformly $\log$-concave, that is, $-D^{2} \log g+\mathrm{I} \geq \varepsilon \mathrm{I}$, where $\varepsilon>0$. It was shown in [8], [9] that under the assumptions $\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g<\infty$ and $g>c>0$ one has

$$
g=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D_{a}^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L}_{a} \varphi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right),
$$

where $D_{a}^{2} \varphi$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a} \varphi$ are the absolutely continuous parts of $D^{2} \varphi$ and $\mathcal{L} \varphi$, respectively. However, in [8] and [9] we were unable to prove the precise formula (1.1) and identify $\mathcal{L}_{a} \varphi$ with $\mathcal{L} \varphi$. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of an operator $A$ is denoted by $\|A\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}$; by definition $\|A\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A A^{*}\right)$.

## THEOREM 1.1

Assume that $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$; in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g:=\int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $D^{2} \varphi(x)$ exists as a Hilbert-Schmidt operator $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e.,

$$
\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

$\mathcal{L} \varphi \in L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. there holds the change of variables formula

$$
g=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \varphi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right)
$$

The definitions of Sobolev classes and Sobolev derivatives are recalled below as well as the meaning of $\nabla \varphi$ and $\mathcal{L} \varphi$. Under the additional assumption that $1 / g \in L^{r}(\gamma)$ with some $r>1$ we have more: $\varphi \in W^{2 r /(1+r), 2}(\gamma)$, so that $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ exists in the sense of the Sobolev class $W^{2 r /(1+r), 2}(\gamma)$.

Thus, now the infinite-dimensional change of variables formula is established under the assumptions comparable to those in the finite-dimensional case.

We recall that $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g$ is called Fisher's information of $g$ and the quantity

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g:=\int g \log g d \gamma
$$

is called the entropy of $g$ (with respect to the measure $\gamma$ ).
Finally, under some additional assumptions we show higher differentiability of $\varphi$.

Given an operator $A$ on a Hilbert space $H$ we set

$$
M(A):=\sup \left\{(A h, h):|h|_{H} \leq 1\right\}
$$

If $A$ is symmetric nonnegative, then $M(A)=\|A\|$ is the operator norm of $A$; a general bounded symmetric operator $A$ can be written as $A=A^{+}-A^{-}$with uniquely defined nonnegative symmetric operators $A^{+}$and $A^{-}$such that $A^{+} A^{-}=$ $A^{-} A^{+}=0$ and then $M(A)=\left\|A^{+}\right\|$; the operator $A^{+}$is called the nonnegative part of a symmetric operator $A$. Obviously, we always have $M(A) \leq\|A\|$. Another new result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2
Assume that $g>0$ a.e., $g \in W^{2,1}(\gamma) \cap W^{1,2}(\gamma)$, and for some $p \in(1,2)$, letting $v:=-\log g$ and $\partial_{h}^{2} v:=-\partial_{h}^{2} g / g+\left|\partial_{h} g\right|^{2} / g^{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla g / g| \in L^{2 p /(2-p)}(g \cdot \gamma) \quad \text { and } \quad M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right) \in L^{p /(2-p)}(g \cdot \gamma) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $H$. Then

$$
\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\partial_{e_{i}}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}\right)^{p / 2} g d \gamma \leq\left(\int M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)^{p /(2-p)} g d \gamma\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g\right)^{p / 2}
$$

In addition, for $p=2$ one has

$$
\int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\partial_{e_{i}}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma \leq\left\|M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(g \cdot \gamma)} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g
$$

It should be noted that in many cases the first inclusion in (1.4) follows from the second one.

Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to certain dimension-free estimates, which will be employed in Section 4 in the proof of the main result. Since the proof relies on some technical improvements of a number of our earlier results and estimates, we include the complete formulations of the corresponding results with some explanations or proofs where appropriate.

## 2. Finite-dimensional estimates

Let $\gamma$ be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $g \cdot \gamma$ be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to $\gamma$. Consider the optimal transportation $T=\nabla \Phi$ of $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$, where $\Phi$ is the corresponding potential. It is related to $\varphi$ above by the equality $\nabla \Phi=I+\nabla \varphi$, that is, $\Phi(x)=\varphi(x)+\langle x, x\rangle / 2$, where $\langle x, y\rangle$ is the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the operator norm and by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}$ the Hilbert-Schmidt norm; $|\cdot|$ is the usual norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

The Sobolev class $W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ with respect to the standard Gaussian measure $\gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ consists of all functions $f \in L^{2}(\gamma)$ such that $f$ belongs to the usual local Sobolev class $W_{\text {loc }}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $|\nabla f| \in L^{2}(\gamma)$. The partial derivative of a mapping $G$ with respect to $x_{i}$ (pointwise or Sobolev) will be denoted by $\partial_{x_{i}} G$ or by $G_{x_{i}}$. Using $L^{p}$-norms and derivatives up to order $r$ one defines the Sobolev classes $W^{p, r}(\gamma)$.

Some of the conditions employed below are naturally expressed in terms of certain weighted Sobolev spaces. Let us give definitions. Let $\mu=g \cdot \gamma$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\sqrt{g} \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We assume throughout that (1.3) holds. By the Gaussian $\log$-Sobolev inequality, $g \log g \in L^{1}(\gamma)$. Therefore, the coordinate functions $x_{i}$ belong to $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. Let us introduce Sobolev classes with respect to the measure $g \cdot \gamma$.

## DEFINITION 2.1

We say that $f \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ has the Sobolev derivative $f_{x_{i}} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ with respect
to $x_{i}$ if, for every smooth compactly supported function $\xi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \xi_{x_{i}} f g d \gamma=-\int \xi f_{x_{i}} g d \gamma+\int \xi f\left(x_{i}-\frac{g_{x_{i}}}{g}\right) g d \gamma \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the integrals in (2.1) are well defined since $x_{i}-g_{x_{i}} / g \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$.
The space $G^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ consists of all functions $f$ such that $f \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and

$$
\int|\nabla f|^{2} g d \gamma=\sum_{i} \int\left|f_{x_{i}}\right|^{2} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

where $f_{x_{i}}$ exists in the sense of the previous definition. One can show (see [4, Theorem 2.6.11]) that $G^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ coincides with the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}+\||\nabla f|\|_{L^{2}(\mu)}$; the latter class is denoted by the symbol $W^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma)$.

In the same way one defines the second Sobolev derivative $D^{2} f$. The Sobolev space $G^{1,2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ consists of all functions $f$ such that

$$
\int|f| g d \gamma+\int|\nabla f| g d \gamma+\int\left\|D^{2} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

where the derivatives are defined in the sense of (2.1).
Most of the results of this section are proven in [15]. For the reader's convenience we give some proofs and explanations.

Throughout we use the fact that the inclusion $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ for a probability density $g$ with respect to $\gamma$ is equivalent to the inclusion $g \in W^{1,1}(\gamma)$ along with $|\nabla g / g| \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, where we set $\nabla g / g=0$ on the set $\{g=0\}$.

Indeed, if $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$, then it is readily verified that $g \in W^{1,1}(\gamma)$ and that one has $\nabla g=2 \sqrt{g} \nabla \sqrt{g}$; the integrability of $|\nabla g|=2 \sqrt{g}|\nabla \sqrt{g}|$ against $\gamma$ follows from the inclusions $|\nabla g| / \sqrt{g}, \sqrt{g} \in L^{2}(\gamma)$. Conversely, if $g \in W^{1,1}(\gamma)$ has finite Fisher's information, then the inclusion $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ follows from the fact that the sequence of functions $\sqrt{g+1 / n}$ is bounded in $W^{2,1}(\gamma)$, which is easily verified.

## THEOREM 2.2 (SEE [15])

Let $\mu=g \cdot \gamma$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$. If $g$ and $\Phi$ are smooth ( $g$ is twice continuously differentiable, $\Phi$ is four times continuously differentiable), then the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g= & \int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma \\
= & 2 \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g-2 \int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right) g d \gamma  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi-\mathrm{I}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} S}^{2} g d \gamma+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right]^{2} g d \gamma,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)$ is the Fredholm-Carleman determinant of $D^{2} \Phi$.

REMARK 2.3
We know that $\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g<\infty$. In addition, by Jensen's inequality $\operatorname{Ent}_{\sigma} \varrho \geq 0$ for any probability density $\varrho$ with respect to any probability measure $\sigma$. The other integrals on the right-hand side of (2.2) are finite because all these expressions are nonnegative (since $D^{2} \Phi \geq 0$ ), so that every term on the right is separately majorized by $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{2}\right) g d \gamma & \leq \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right]^{2} g d \gamma & \leq \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g .
\end{aligned}
$$

By looking at the term $2 \mathrm{Ent}_{\gamma} g$, one can also consider (2.2) as a stronger version of the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality.

Moreover, (2.2) along with some additional assumption implies (see Section 3) that

$$
\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

It is easy to see that (2.2) makes sense for the infinite-dimensional optimal transportation $T=\mathrm{I}+\nabla \varphi$ (where $\gamma$ is the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, i.e., the countable power of the standard Gaussian measure on the real line). In the infinite-dimensional case, considered in Section 4, (2.2) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g= & 2 \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g-2 \int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) g d \gamma  \tag{2.3}\\
& +\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)_{x_{k}}\right]^{2} g d \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

However, this equality is not justified in this paper, and we do not expect a proof of (2.3) to be simple because of a number of difficult regularity issues (see Section 4).

Recall that in the finite-dimensional case $\Phi$ has generalized second derivatives that are bounded Borel measures satisfying the equality

$$
\int \xi_{x_{i}} \Phi_{x_{j}} d x=-\int \xi d \Phi_{x_{i} x_{j}}
$$

for every smooth compactly supported function $\xi$ and all $x_{i}, x_{j}$. Note that $D^{2} \Phi$ is an operator-valued measure and that every $\Phi_{x_{i} x_{i}}$ is a nonnegative Borel measure. In addition, the measure $D^{2} \Phi$ has an absolutely continuous part $D_{a}^{2} \Phi$ (the socalled second Alexandroff derivative).

We need the following finite-dimensional results.

PROPOSITION 2.4
Given two probability measures $f \cdot \gamma$ and $g \cdot \gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{g \cdot \gamma}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right):=\int f \log \frac{f}{g} d \gamma<\infty
$$

and the corresponding optimal transportation mappings $\nabla \Phi_{f}$ and $\nabla \Phi_{g}$ taking $f \cdot \gamma$ and $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$, the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ent}_{g \cdot \gamma}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)= & \int f \log \frac{f}{g} d \gamma \geq \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nabla \Phi_{f}-\nabla \Phi_{g}\right)^{2} f d \gamma \\
& +\int\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right]-d-\log \operatorname{det}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right]\right) f d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof
This result has been obtained in [14] and [15]. For the reader's convenience we give the proof. Without loss of generality one can assume that $f \cdot \gamma$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$. (Otherwise the left-hand side is infinite.) By McCann's change of variables formula in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (see [16], [20]) one has

$$
f(x) e^{-|x|^{2} / 2}=\operatorname{det} D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}(x) \cdot e^{-\left|\nabla \Phi_{f}(x)\right|^{2} / 2}, \quad f \cdot \gamma \text {-a.e. }
$$

Consequently, letting $S:=\left(\nabla \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}$, we have

$$
\log f(S(x))=\frac{1}{2}\left(|S(x)|^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)+\log \operatorname{det}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}(S(x))\right], \quad \gamma \text {-a.e. }
$$

Similarly, applying the change of variables formula for $g$, we get

$$
\log g(S)=\frac{1}{2}\left(|S|^{2}-\left|\nabla \Phi_{g}(S)\right|^{2}\right)+\log \operatorname{det} D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g}(S)
$$

Therefore, suppressing indication of $x$ as an argument,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{f(S)}{g(S)} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\nabla \Phi_{g}(S)\right|^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)-\log \operatorname{det}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right](S) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{g}(S)-x\right|^{2}+\left\langle x, \nabla \Phi_{g}(S)-x\right\rangle-\log \operatorname{det}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right](S)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us integrate this equality with respect to $\gamma$. Noting that $\left(\nabla \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}=\nabla \Phi_{f}^{*}$, where $\Phi_{f}^{*}$ is the dual function for $\Phi_{f}$ defined by $\Phi_{f}^{*}(x)=\sup _{y}\left(\langle x, y\rangle-\Phi_{f}(y)\right)$, we obtain from Lemma 2.5 below that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left\langle x, \nabla \Phi_{g}(S(x))-x\right\rangle \gamma(d x) & \geq \int \operatorname{Tr}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g}(S) \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}(S)\right] d \gamma-d \\
& =\int \operatorname{Tr}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right] f d \gamma-d
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \log \frac{f(S)}{g(S)} d \gamma \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \Phi_{g}(S(x))-x\right|^{2} \gamma(d x) \\
& \quad+\int\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right)-d-\log \operatorname{det}\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right)\right](S) d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $(f \cdot \gamma) \circ\left(\nabla \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}=\gamma$ we arrive at the desired result.

LEMMA 2.5
Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex functions on bounded convex sets $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, respectively. Assume that $\nabla \psi(B) \subset A$ and the image of $\left.\lambda\right|_{B}$ with respect to $\nabla \psi$, where $\lambda$ is Lebesgue measure, is absolutely continuous. Then

$$
\operatorname{div}(\nabla \varphi \circ \nabla \psi) \geq \operatorname{Tr}\left[D_{a}^{2} \varphi(\nabla \psi) \cdot D_{a}^{2} \psi\right] \cdot \lambda \geq 0
$$

where div is understood in the sense of distributions.

## Proof

It suffices to verify this property for any ball $B$ whose closure is contained in the interior of the original set. So we may assume that $\psi$ is defined in a neighborhood of $B$. Note that $|\nabla \varphi(\nabla \psi(x))|$ is locally Lebesgue integrable, since $|\nabla \varphi|$ is locally bounded and the image of Lebesgue measure under the mapping $\nabla \psi$ has a density. Clearly, for smooth functions the first inequality becomes an identity. Assume that only $\varphi$ is smooth. Let us approximate $\psi$ by smooth functions

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=\int \psi(x-y) \varrho_{\varepsilon}(y) d y
$$

where $\varrho_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-d} \varrho(x / \varepsilon)$ and $\varrho$ is a smooth compactly supported probability density. It is well known that $\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \nabla \psi, D^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow D_{a}^{2} \psi$ a.e. with respect to Lebesgue measure. This follows from the known fact that, given two probability measures $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, a limit $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \mu_{2}\left(B_{r}(x)\right) / \mu_{1}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ exists $\mu_{1}$-a.e. and it vanishes $\mu_{1}$-a.e. if the measures are mutually singular. This fact implies that $D^{2} \psi_{\text {sing }} * \varrho_{\varepsilon}$ tends to zero a.e. with respect to Lebesgue measure. Hence for any function $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \xi \cdot \operatorname{div}(\nabla \varphi \circ \nabla \psi) d x \\
& \quad=-\int\langle\nabla \xi, \nabla \varphi \circ \nabla \psi\rangle d x=-\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int\left\langle\nabla \xi, \nabla \varphi \circ \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right\rangle d x \\
& \quad=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \xi \cdot \operatorname{Tr}\left[D^{2} \varphi\left(\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot D^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right] d x \geq \int \xi \cdot \operatorname{Tr}\left[D^{2} \varphi(\nabla \psi) \cdot D_{a}^{2} \psi\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from the Fatou theorem.
If the function $\varphi$ is not smooth, keeping $\psi$ fixed, in the same way one can construct an approximating sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ for $\varphi$ and repeat the above reasoning.

REMARK 2.6
Note that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right]-d-\log \operatorname{det}\left[D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{g} \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi_{f}\right)^{-1}\right] \geq 0
$$

Indeed, if the operators $A, B$ are symmetric and nonnegative, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr} A B-d-\log \operatorname{det} A B=\operatorname{Tr} C-d-\log \operatorname{det} C,
$$

where the operator $C=B^{1 / 2} A B^{1 / 2}$ is symmetric and nonnegative. The estimated quantity is $\sum_{i}\left(c_{i}-1-\log c_{i}\right) \geq 0$, where $c_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of $C$.

A priori estimates for $\varphi$ can be proved with the help of the following inequality from [15] (see [15, Theorems 3.1, 4.3]). Let $e^{-V}$ be a probability density on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then $x \mapsto e^{-V(x+e)}$ is a probability density for any vector $e$. Applying Proposition 2.4 to the probability densities $f(x)=(2 \pi)^{d / 2} \exp \left(-V(x)+|x|^{2} / 2\right)$ and $g(x)=(2 \pi)^{d / 2} \exp \left(-V(x+e)+|x|^{2} / 2\right)$ with respect to the standard Gaussian measure $\gamma$ we arrive at the following result.

## PROPOSITION 2.7

For every vector $e \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, letting $\nabla \Phi$ be the optimal transportation of $\mu=e^{-V} d x$ to $\gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int(V(x+e)-V(x)) e^{-V(x)} d x \\
& \geq \int|\nabla \Phi(x+e)-\nabla \Phi(x)|^{2} e^{-V(x)} d x+\int\left(\operatorname{Tr} D_{a}^{2} \Phi(x+e) \cdot\left(D_{a}^{2} \Phi(x)\right)^{-1}\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.\quad-d-\log \left[\operatorname{det} D_{a}^{2} \Phi(x+e) \cdot\left(\operatorname{det} D_{a}^{2} \Phi(x)\right)^{-1}\right]\right) e^{-V(x)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

## REMARK 2.8

The quantity on the left in (2.4) is the entropy $\operatorname{Ent}_{g \cdot \gamma}(f / g)$ with $f(x)=(2 \pi)^{d / 2} \times$ $\exp \left(-V(x)+|x|^{2} / 2\right)$ and $g(x)=(2 \pi)^{d / 2} \exp \left(-V(x+e)+|x|^{2} / 2\right)$. Hence it makes sense for every probability density $e^{-V} d x$. In the worst case it is infinite.

## REMARK 2.9

By [15, Proposition 4.1], for every probability measure $\mu=e^{-V} d x$, with finite second moment, $V \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and the logarithmic derivative $-V_{x_{i}}$ belonging to $L^{2}(\mu)$, the optimal transportation $\nabla \Phi$ of $\mu$ to $\gamma$ has the property that $\partial_{x_{i}} \Phi \in$ $W^{2,1}(\mu)$ and

$$
\int\left(V_{x_{i}}\right)^{2} d \mu \geq \int\left|D^{2} \Phi \cdot e_{i}\right|^{2} d \mu
$$

To explain the idea of the proof assume in addition that $V$ and $\Phi$ are twice continuously differentiable and $\left\|D^{2} V\right\|$ is $\mu$-integrable. Then (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{V\left(x+t e_{i}\right)+V\left(x-t e_{i}\right)-2 V(x)}{t^{2}} e^{-V(x)} d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{t^{2}} \int\left|\nabla \Phi\left(x+t e_{i}\right)-\nabla \Phi(x)\right|^{2} e^{-V(x)} d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int\left|\nabla \Phi\left(x-t e_{i}\right)-\nabla \Phi(x)\right|^{2} e^{-V(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\int \partial_{e_{i}}^{2} V(x) e^{-V(x)} d x \geq \int\left|D^{2} \Phi(x) \cdot e_{i}\right|^{2} e^{-V(x)} d x
$$

Integrating by parts on the left-hand side we get the desired estimate.

Note that the proof of this inequality can be completed by using only the incremental quotients and does not rely on the regularity theory for the MongeAmpère equation (see [15]).

The following proposition is formally weaker than Theorem 2.2, but no smoothness of $\Phi$ and $g$ is assumed here. The inequality it contains will be important below.

PROPOSITION 2.10
Let $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$. Then $\Phi_{x_{i}} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ for each i. Moreover, one has $\Phi_{x_{i}} \in$ $W^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g \geq \int\left\|D^{2} \Phi-\mathrm{I}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Proof
It follows from Remark 2.9 that $D^{2} \Phi$ exists $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e., in particular, $D_{a}^{2} \Phi=D^{2} \Phi$, $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e., and

$$
\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

moreover,

$$
\int\left|\frac{\nabla g(x)}{g(x)}-x\right|^{2} g(x) \gamma(d x) \geq \int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Note that
$\int\left|\frac{\nabla g(x)}{g(x)}-x\right|^{2} g(x) \gamma(d x)=\int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma-2 \int\langle\nabla g(x), x\rangle \gamma(d x)+\int|x|^{2} g(x) \gamma(d x)$ and

$$
\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma=\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi-\mathrm{I}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma+2 \int \Delta \Phi g d \gamma-d
$$

where second-order derivatives of $\Phi$ are meant in the sense of the weighted class $G^{1,2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. The integration by parts formula yields

$$
-2 \int\langle\nabla g(x), x\rangle \gamma(d x)+\int|x|^{2} g(x) \gamma(d x)=2 d-\int|x|^{2} g(x) \gamma(d x)
$$

By the change of variables formula we have

$$
2 \int \Delta \Phi g d \gamma-d=2 \int \Delta \Phi g d \gamma-\int|\nabla \Phi|^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma \\
& \geq \int\left\|D^{2} \Phi-\mathrm{I}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma+\int\left(|x|^{2}-|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{2}\right) g(x) \gamma(d x)+2 \int(\Delta \Phi-d) g d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

The change of variables formula yields

$$
\log g(x)=\frac{|x|^{2}}{2}-\frac{|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{2}}{2}+\log \operatorname{det} D^{2} \Phi(x)
$$

Applying this formula we complete the proof.

## 3. Higher smoothness of $\varphi$

Let us establish a priori estimates of the third-order derivative of the potential function $\Phi$ whose gradient is the optimal transportation mapping, that is, estimates on second-order derivatives of the optimal transportation mapping itself. Estimates of this type have been obtained in [15] by using smooth approximations and regularity results for the Monge-Ampère equation. Let $\gamma$ be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Suppose that $g \cdot \gamma$ is a probability measure such that

$$
\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)
$$

THEOREM 3.1 ([15])
Whenever $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, for the optimal transportation $\nabla \Phi$ of $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$ one has

$$
\int\left|\Phi_{x_{i} x_{i}}\right|^{2 p} g d \gamma \leq\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{p} \int\left|x_{i}+g_{x_{i}} / g\right|^{2 p} g d \gamma
$$

provided that the integral on the right is finite. If $g>0$ and $v:=-\log g$ is twice continuously differentiable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|^{2 p} g d \gamma \leq \int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{p} g d \gamma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the integral on the right is finite. In the case $p=\infty$ one has the following Caffarelli-type estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{2} \Phi(x)\right\|^{2} \leq \sup _{x} M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v(x)\right), \quad g \cdot \gamma \text {-a.e. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us turn to third-order derivatives. When dealing with $g \in W^{1,2}(\gamma)$, for the function

$$
v:=-\log g
$$

defined almost everywhere with respect to the measure $g \cdot \gamma$, we set

$$
v_{x_{i} x_{j}}:=-g^{-1} g_{x_{i} x_{j}}+g^{-2} g_{x_{i}} g_{x_{j}},
$$

which is defined $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. and coincides with the result of formal differentiation of $g_{x_{i}}$ with respect to $x_{j}$ on the set $\{g>0\}$. One can find a version of $g$ that possesses the partial derivatives $g_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ almost everywhere with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$; then $v_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ can be calculated pointwise $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. Let us observe that if we also have $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$, then the function $v_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ defined above belongs to $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and serves as the generalized derivative of the function $v_{x_{i}}:=-g_{x_{i}} / g \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, which is verified directly by the integration by parts formula for $\gamma$, since $g_{x_{i}} / g$. $g d \gamma=g_{x_{i}} \cdot d \gamma$. Therefore, the assumptions that $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ and $g \in W^{1,2}(\gamma)$
used in the next theorem yield that $v=-\log g \in W^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma) \cap W^{1,2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, which looks more intrinsic but is less convenient technically.

## THEOREM 3.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma), g \in W^{1,2}(\gamma), g>0 \text { a.e., and let } \\
& \qquad|\nabla g / g| \in L^{2 p /(2-p)}(g \cdot \gamma) \quad \text { for some } p \in[1,2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume, in addition, that

$$
M\left(I+D^{2} v\right) \in L^{p /(2-p)}(g \cdot \gamma)
$$

Then $\Phi$ has Sobolev derivatives up to the third order with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}\right)^{p / 2} g d \gamma \leq\left(\int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{p /(2-p)} g d \gamma\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g\right)^{p / 2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

We apply the reasoning that is standard in such estimates and will be also employed in the proof of Theorem 4.6 below. At the first step we assume that $v$ and $\Phi$ are smooth. Applying Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g \geq \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{k}}\right]^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Next, using the relations (valid for positive operators)

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right]^{2}=\left\|\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} \geq \frac{\left\|\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}}{\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|^{2}}
$$

along with Theorem 3.1, Remark 2.3, and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}\right)^{p / 2} g d \gamma \\
& \quad \leq \int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|^{p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)^{-1}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)_{x_{i}}\right]^{2}\right)^{p / 2} g d \gamma \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int\left\|D^{2} \Phi\right\|^{2 p /(2-p)} g d \gamma\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{p /(2-p)} g d \gamma\right)^{(2-p) / 2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g\right)^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g$ satisfy the assumptions of the theorem and, in addition, $0<c \leq g \leq C$ with some constants $c$ and $C$. Then $v \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$.

Let $g_{t}=T_{t} g$, where $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup; that is,

$$
g_{t}(x)=T_{t} g(x)=\int g\left(e^{-t} x+\sqrt{1-e^{-2 t}} y\right) \gamma(d y)
$$

Then $g_{t}(x)$ is infinitely differentiable in $x$ for every $t>0$ and $c \leq g_{t} \leq C$. Let $v_{t}=-\log g_{t}$. For every vector $h$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{h} v_{t}=e^{-t} \frac{T_{t}\left(e^{-v} \partial_{h} v\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \partial_{h}^{2} v_{t}=e^{-2 t}\left[\frac{T_{t}\left(e^{-v} \partial_{h}^{2} v\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}-\frac{T_{t}\left(\left|\partial_{h} v\right|^{2} e^{-v}\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}+\frac{\left|T_{t}\left(e^{-v} \partial_{h} v\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(T_{t} e^{-v}\right)^{2}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{t}(u w)\right|^{r} \leq\left.\left. T_{t}|u|^{r}\left|T_{t}\right| w\right|^{r /(r-1)}\right|^{r-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we observe that

$$
\left|T_{t}\left(e^{-v} \partial_{h} v\right)\right|^{2} \leq T_{t}\left(\left|\partial_{h} v\right|^{2} e^{-v}\right) T_{t} e^{-v}
$$

hence

$$
\partial_{h}^{2} v_{t} \leq e^{-2 t} \frac{T_{t}\left(e^{-v} \partial_{h}^{2} v\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}
$$

Letting $w_{t, h}=\max \left(0,1+\partial_{h}^{2} v_{t}\right), u_{h}=\max \left(0,1+\partial_{h}^{2} v\right)$, we obtain

$$
w_{t, h} \leq e^{-2 t} \frac{T_{t}\left(u_{h} e^{-v}\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}
$$

whence by (3.5) with $u=u_{h}$ and $w=e^{-(1-1 / r) v}$ we find that

$$
\left|w_{t, h}\right|^{r} \leq e^{-2 t r} \frac{T_{t}\left(\left|u_{h}\right|^{r} e^{-v}\right)}{T_{t} e^{-v}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M\left(I+D^{2} v_{t}\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v_{t}} \leq e^{-2 r t} T_{t}\left(\left[M\left(I+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known (see, e.g., [4, Example 8.4.3]) that for every function $\psi \in L^{1}(\gamma)$, as $t \rightarrow 0$, one has $T_{t} \psi \rightarrow \psi$ in $L^{1}(\gamma)$ and almost everywhere. It follows from this and (3.4) that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $g_{1 / n} \rightarrow g$ and $D^{2} v_{1 / n} \rightarrow D^{2} v$ almost everywhere. Estimate (3.6) shows that the sequence $\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v_{1 / n}\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v_{1 / n}}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to $\gamma$ once $\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v}$ is $\gamma$-integrable. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v_{1 / n}\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v_{1 / n}} d \gamma=\int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v} d \gamma \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that $\left|\nabla T_{t} g\right|^{2}=\left|T_{t} \nabla g\right|^{2} \leq T_{t}\left(|\nabla g|^{2} / g\right) T_{t} g$, whence we have

$$
\int \frac{\left|\nabla g_{t}\right|^{2}}{g_{t}} d \gamma \leq \int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma
$$

Since $g$ and $g_{1 / n}$ are between $c$ and $C$, we have $\operatorname{Ent}_{g_{1 / n} \cdot \gamma}\left(g / g_{1 / n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Proposition 2.4 shows that for the optimal transports $\nabla \Phi_{n}$ of $g_{1 / n} \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$ we have $\left|\nabla \Phi_{n}-\nabla \Phi\right| \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$.

Since for the functions $g_{1 / n}$ estimate (3.3) is true, taking into account (3.7), it suffices to verify that, for every fixed vector $h$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi\right|^{p} g d \gamma \leq \liminf _{n} \int\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}\right|^{p} g_{1 / n} d \gamma \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side is finite, we may pass to a subsequence (denoted by the same indices) such that the sequence of functions $g_{1 / n}^{1 / p} \partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}$ converges weakly in $L^{p}(\gamma)$ to some function $w$. If we show that $w=g^{1 / p} \partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$, the remaining estimate will be established. It suffices to show that, for every $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, the integrals of $\xi w$ and $\xi g^{1 / p} \partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$ with respect to $\gamma$ coincide. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \xi g^{1 / p} \partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi d \gamma=-\int \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\xi g^{1 / p}\right) \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi d \gamma+\int x_{i} \xi g^{1 / p} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi d \gamma \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analogous equality holds for $g_{1 / n}$ in place of $g$. Since $g \geq c>0$ and $\xi$ has compact support, it suffices to show that, for every function $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, the functions $\eta \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}$ converge to $\eta \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$ weakly in $L^{2}(\gamma)$. Due to (3.1) it suffices to show that, for every $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, the integrals of $\xi \eta \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}$ against $\gamma$ converge to the integral of $\xi \eta \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$. As above, by the integration by parts formula this reduces to a convergence of integrals with $\partial_{h} \Phi_{n}$, which takes place since $\partial_{h} \Phi_{n} \rightarrow \partial_{h} \Phi$ in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. It should be noted that in the present case where $g \geq c>0$ we have even convergence of $\partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}$ to $\partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$ in $L^{p}(U)$ on every ball $U$, since the functions $\left|\nabla \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}\right|$ are uniformly bounded in $L^{p}(U)$, so the compact embedding of Sobolev spaces works.

Let us remove the assumption of two-sided boundedness of $v$. Suppose first that $g$ is bounded from below, that is, $g \geq c>0$. Let us take a sequence of smooth convex functions $f_{n}$ on the real line such that $f_{n}(s)=s$ if $s \geq-n, f_{n}(s)=$ $-n-1$ if $s \leq-n-1,0 \leq f_{n}^{\prime} \leq N, 0 \leq f_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leq N$, where $N$ does not depend on $n$. Let us consider probability densities $g_{n}=c_{n} e^{-v_{n}}$ with respect to $\gamma$, where $v_{n}=$ $f_{n}(v)$ and $c_{n}$ is a normalization constant. Let $\nabla \Phi_{n}$ be the corresponding optimal transports of $g_{n} \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$. As above, we have $\nabla \Phi_{n} \rightarrow \nabla \Phi$ in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. An analogue of (3.8) in this situation is similarly justified. What we need is an analogue of (3.7). We have

$$
\partial_{h} v_{n}=f_{n}^{\prime}(v) \partial_{h} v, \quad \partial_{h}^{2} v_{n}=f_{n}^{\prime}(v) \partial_{h}^{2} v+f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(v)\left|\partial_{h} v\right|^{2} .
$$

Hence $M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v_{n}\right)$ coincides with $M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)$ if $v>-n$, vanishes if $v<-n-1$, and is estimated by $N \cdot M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)+N\left|\partial_{h} v\right|^{2}$ if $-n-1 \leq v \leq n$. It remains to observe that the integral of $|\nabla v|^{2 p /(2-p)} I_{-n-1 \leq v \leq-n} g$ with respect to $\gamma$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $|v| \in L^{2 p /(2-p)}(g \cdot \gamma)$. Thus, (3.7) holds also in this case.

Finally, we reduce the general case to the considered case with bounded $v$. We consider similar approximations $f_{n}(v)$, this time with concave functions such that $f_{n}(s)=s$ if $s \leq n, f_{n}(s)=n+1$ if $s \geq n+1,0 \leq f_{n}^{\prime} \leq N, f_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$. Defining $g_{n}$ as above, we again have convergence $\nabla \Phi_{n} \rightarrow \nabla \Phi$ in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. In place of (3.7) we have a simple estimate

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v_{n}\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v_{n}} d \gamma \leq \int\left[M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)\right]^{r} e^{-v} d \gamma
$$

because we now have $\partial_{h}^{2} v_{n} \leq \partial_{h}^{2} v$, so $M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v_{n}\right) \leq M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)$; in addition, on the set $\{n \leq v \leq n+1\}$ we have $M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)^{r} g_{n} \leq 3 M\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} v\right)^{r} g$, which yields the indicated estimate. Note that here the definition of $D^{2} v$ is given before the theorem is used. However, now (3.8) is not obvious and requires justification, since $g$ is not strictly positive, which makes some problems in (3.9). Namely,
the problematic term is $w=g^{1 / p-1} \partial_{x_{i}} g \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi=g^{1 / p} \partial_{x_{i}} v \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$. Writing this term as $g^{(2-p) /(2 p)} \partial_{x_{i}} v \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi g^{1 / 2}$ and noting that we have convergence of $g_{n}^{(2-p) /(2 p)} \partial_{x_{i}} v_{n}$ to $g^{(2-p) /(2 p)} \partial_{x_{i}} v$ in $L^{2 p /(2-p)}(\gamma)$ (which is readily verified), we see that it suffices to show that we have weak convergence of $\partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n} g_{n}^{1 / 2}$ to $\partial_{h}^{2} \Phi g^{1 / 2}$ in $L^{2 p /(3 p-2)}(\gamma)$. Since $2 p /(3 p-2) \leq 2$, it suffices to prove that there is weak convergence in $L^{2}(\gamma)$. Using (3.1) with $p /(2-p)$ in place of $p$ we obtain a uniform bound on the integrals of $g_{n}\left|\partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}\right|^{2}$ against $\gamma$. Therefore, as above, it remains to show that, for every $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, the integrals of $\xi g_{n}^{1 / 2} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi_{n}$ against $\gamma$ converge to the integral of $\xi g^{1 / 2} \partial_{h}^{2} \Phi$. Integrating by parts once again we see that it remains to get convergence of the term with $g_{n}^{-1 / 2} \partial_{h} g_{n} \partial_{h} \Phi_{n}=g_{n}^{-1} \partial_{h} g_{n} \partial_{h} \Phi_{n} g_{n}^{1 / 2}$ to the respective term without the index $n$. This convergence holds indeed, since $g_{n}^{-1 / 2} \partial_{h} g_{n} \rightarrow g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{h} g$ in $L^{2}(\gamma)$ and the mappings $\nabla \Phi_{n}$ converge to $\nabla \Phi$ uniformly on compact sets, which follows from their convergence in measure and convexity of $\Phi_{n}$ (see [17, Section 25]).

It is important that (3.3) does not depend on dimension.

## 4. Infinite-dimensional case

Concerning analysis on the Wiener space the reader is referred to [2], [4], [18], and [19]. Below we consider the standard Gaussian product measure $\gamma=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ with the Cameron-Martin space $H=l^{2}$ equipped with its standard Hilbert norm

$$
|x|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where each $\gamma_{i}$ is the standard Gaussian measure on the real line. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis in $l^{2}$. It is well known (see [2]) that any centered Gaussian on a separable Fréchet (or, more generally, a centered Radon Gaussian measure on a locally convex space) is isomorphic to the product measure $\gamma=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}$ by means of a measurable linear mapping that is one-to-one on a Borel linear subspace of full measure and is an isometry of the Cameron-Martin spaces. For this reason the results obtained below hold in a more general setting, in particular, for any separable Fréchet spaces. The Sobolev class $W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ is introduced as the completion of the class of smooth cylindrical functions with respect to the Sobolev norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}(\gamma)}+\||\nabla f|\|_{L^{2}(\gamma)}
$$

where $\nabla f$ denotes the gradient along $H$, that is, $\langle\nabla f(x), h\rangle_{H}=\partial_{h} f(x)$. Then the elements $f$ of the completion also obtain gradients $\nabla f$ along $H$ as mappings in $L^{2}(\gamma, H)$ (the space of measurable $H$-valued square-integrable mappings) specified by the integration by parts formula

$$
\int f \partial_{e_{i}} \xi d \gamma=-\int \xi\left\langle\nabla f, e_{i}\right\rangle d \gamma+\int x_{i} f \xi d \gamma
$$

for smooth cylindrical functions $\xi$. Other equivalent characterizations are known (see [2], [4], [18]). For example, $W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ coincides with the space of all functions $f \in L^{2}(\gamma)$ possessing Sobolev gradients $\nabla f \in L^{2}(\gamma, H)$ satisfying the above identity. Similarly the second Sobolev class $W^{1,2}(\gamma)$ is introduced by using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm on the second derivative $D^{2} f$ along $H$ and the $L^{1}$-norm; for a general $f$ in $W^{1,2}(\gamma)$ the operator-valued mapping $D^{2} f$ can be specified by its matrix elements $\left\langle D^{2} f(x) e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{H}$ again through the integration by parts formula; more general classes $W^{p, r}(\gamma)$ are naturally defined.

Let us consider a probability measure $g \cdot \gamma$ with $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$. Then

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g=\int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma<\infty
$$

By the log-Sobolev inequality

$$
0 \leq 2 \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g \leq \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g<\infty
$$

As in the finite-dimensional case, the inclusion $\sqrt{g} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ is equivalent to the inclusions $g \in W^{1,1}(\gamma)$ and $|\nabla g| / g \in L^{2}(\gamma)$.

Similarly to the finite-dimensional case and the case of $W^{2,1}(\gamma)$ explained above, one introduces the differentiation of functions in the Sobolev sense with respect to the measure $g \cdot \gamma$ (see [4] for more details). Namely, if $f \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, then its Sobolev partial derivative $f_{x_{i}}$ with respect to the variable $x_{i}$ is a function in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ satisfying the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f_{x_{i}} \xi g d \gamma=-\int f \xi_{x_{i}} g d \gamma-\int f \xi \frac{g_{x_{i}}}{g} g d \gamma+\int x_{i} f \xi g d \gamma \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every smooth cylindrical function of the form $\xi(x)=u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $u$ is a smooth compactly supported function. We observe that all of these integrals exist, because $f, f_{x_{i}}, g_{x_{i}} / g \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and $x_{i} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$; the latter follows by the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with respect to $\gamma$. Therefore, one obtains the class $W^{2,1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ of functions $f \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ such that $|\nabla f| \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, where $\nabla f=\left(f_{x_{1}}, f_{x_{2}}, \ldots\right)$. Similarly the classes $W^{p, 1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and $W^{p, 2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ are defined.

Let $g_{n}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{n} g$ be the conditional expectation of $g$ with respect to $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and the measure $\gamma$. It has the following representation:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{n} g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\int g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n+1}, \ldots\right)\left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}\right)\left(d y_{n+1} \cdots\right)
$$

It is well known (and follows from Jenssen's inequality) that

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{n} \leq \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g, \quad \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g_{n} \leq \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g,
$$

and hence $\sqrt{g_{n}} \in W^{2,1}(\gamma)$. Since $g_{n}$ depends on finitely many coordinates, the potential $\varphi_{n}$ of the corresponding optimal transportation $T_{n}(x)=x+\nabla \varphi_{n}(x)$ of $g_{n} \cdot \gamma$ to the measure $\gamma$ depends only on the first $n$ variables.

According to Proposition 2.4 with $f=g_{n}$ and $g=1$ one has

$$
\text { Ent }_{\gamma} g_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g_{n} d \gamma=\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g d \gamma,
$$

and Proposition 2.10 yields

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g_{n} \geq \int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g_{n} d \gamma=\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} g d \gamma
$$

In general, the gradients $\nabla \varphi_{n}$ and $\nabla \varphi$ cannot be understood in the sense of (4.1), because in the general case no inclusions $\varphi_{n}, \varphi \notin L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ are given. There is no problem with functions $\varphi_{n}$ of finitely many variables, since their gradients $\nabla \varphi_{n}$ can be defined in the Sobolev sense locally. Difficulties arise when we deal with $\varphi$. There are essentially two ways of introducing $\nabla \varphi$ pointwise $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. If $g \cdot \gamma$ is equivalent to $\gamma$, then one can use the fact that $\varphi$ is a 1 -convex function (see [13]); we recall that a $\gamma$-measurable function $f$ is called 1-convex along the Cameron-Martin space if the function

$$
h \mapsto F_{x}(h):=f(x+h)+\frac{1}{2}|h|_{H}^{2}
$$

is convex on $H$ regarded as a mapping with values in the space $L^{0}(\gamma)$ of measurable functions with its natural ordering. In other words, given $h, k \in H$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$, one has

$$
F_{x}(\alpha h+(1-\alpha) k) \leq \alpha F_{x}(h)+(1-\alpha) F_{x}(k), \quad \text { for } \gamma \text {-a.e. } x,
$$

where the corresponding measure zero set may depend on $h, k, \alpha$. It is also possible to consider this mapping with values in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\sigma)$ for the equivalent measure $\sigma=\left(f^{2}+1\right)^{-1} \cdot \gamma$. One can show that for every fixed $i$ there is a version of $f$ such that the functions $t \mapsto f\left(x+t e_{i}\right)+t^{2} / 2$ are convex. Hence almost everywhere there exists the partial derivative $f_{x_{i}}$. Then we define $\nabla f(x)$ as $\left(\partial_{x_{i}} f(x)\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ if this element belongs to $l^{2}$.

We shall define $\nabla \varphi$ for our potential function $\varphi$ without referring to 1 convexity, since we do not assume the equivalence of measures. We shall show in a different way that, for every fixed $i$, the function $\varphi$ has a version that has the partial derivative $\varphi_{x_{i}}, g \cdot \gamma$-a.e., and the vector $\nabla \varphi(x)=\left(\varphi_{x_{i}}(x)\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is in $l^{2}, g \cdot \gamma$ a.e.; this amounts to the previous approach in the case of equivalent measures. (The relation to the Sobolev-sense definition is explained below.)

Nevertheless, the second derivative $D^{2} \varphi$ will be defined in the Sobolev sense, because, as we shall see, $\varphi_{x_{i}} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. More precisely, the Sobolev derivative $\varphi_{x_{i} x_{j}} \in L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ of $\varphi_{x_{j}}$ will be defined by means of (4.1).

By the finite-dimensional results we have

$$
\sup _{n} \int\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}+\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2}\right) g d \gamma<\infty
$$

Hence, passing to a subsequence, one can assume that the mappings $\nabla \varphi_{n}$ and $D^{2} \varphi_{n}$ converge weakly in the Hilbert spaces $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g}^{2}$ defined as follows: the space $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ is the space of measurable mappings $u: \mathbb{R}^{\infty} \rightarrow l^{2}$ with $|u| \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{g}^{2}$ is the space of measurable mappings $A$ with values in the space of symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operators such that $\|A\|_{\mathcal{H S}} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$.

The following important result is proved in [13] (see, in particular, [13, Section 4]):

One has $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$, and a sequence of certain convex combinations of $\nabla \varphi_{n}$ converges in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ to a mapping denoted by $\nabla \varphi$ and having the property that $\mathrm{I}+\nabla \varphi$ is the optimal transportation taking $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$.

However, this definition of $\nabla \varphi$ is not in the Sobolev sense.
We are going to obtain $\nabla \varphi$ similarly as a limit of a subsequence of $\nabla \varphi_{n}$. Then we would like to identify $\varphi_{x_{i}}$ with pointwise partial derivatives of suitable versions by using the integration by parts formula. This requires some precautions since we do not know that $\varphi \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, without which we have no inclusions $x_{i} \varphi, \varphi g_{x_{i}} / g \in$ $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ and cannot refer to (4.1). However, the functions $\varphi^{N}=\varphi \wedge N \vee(-N)$ are bounded, and, as we shall now see, $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. possess partial derivatives $\varphi_{x_{i}}^{N}$ such that $\left|\nabla \varphi^{N}(x)\right| \leq|\nabla \varphi(x)| g \cdot \gamma$-a.e., where $\nabla \varphi^{N}=\left(\varphi_{x_{1}}^{N}, \varphi_{x_{2}}^{N}, \ldots\right)$. In addition, $\nabla \varphi^{N}(x)=\nabla \varphi(x) g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. on the set $\{x:|\varphi(x)|<N\}$.

## PROPOSITION 4.1

There is a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ such that $\left\{\nabla \varphi_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converges weakly in the space $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ to some mapping denoted by $\nabla \varphi$. Moreover, for every $i$ there is a version of $\varphi$ denoted by the same symbol and possessing $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. the partial derivative $\varphi_{x_{i}}$ that coincides $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. with $\left\langle\nabla \varphi, e_{i}\right\rangle_{H}$.

In addition, there exists $D^{2} \varphi$ understood in the sense of (4.1) and $D^{2} \varphi_{n_{k}} \rightarrow$ $D^{2} \varphi$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}_{g}^{2}$. In particular,

$$
\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} g d \gamma<\infty
$$

## Proof

We consider only the convergence of $\nabla \varphi_{n}$, since the case of second derivatives is simpler. Let us consider the sequence $f_{n}=\varphi_{n} \wedge N \vee(-N)$, where $N>0$ is chosen in such a way that $g \cdot \gamma(\{x: \varphi(x)= \pm N\})=0$. Let $f=\varphi \wedge N \vee(-N)$. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n} \rightarrow h_{i} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ weakly. Then for all smooth cylindrical functions $\xi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int f \xi_{x_{i}} g d \gamma & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_{n} \xi_{x_{i}} g d \gamma \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_{n} \xi\left(x_{i}-\frac{g_{x_{i}}}{g}\right) g d \gamma-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left(f_{n}\right)_{x_{i}} \xi g d \gamma \\
& =\int f \xi\left(x_{i}-\frac{g_{x_{i}}}{g}\right) g d \gamma-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{x_{i}} I_{\left\{\left|\varphi_{n}\right| \leq N\right\}} \xi g d \gamma \\
& =\int f \xi\left(x_{i}-\frac{g_{x_{i}}}{g}\right) g d \gamma-\int h_{i} I_{\{|\varphi| \leq N\}} \xi g d \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the Sobolev derivative of $f$ with respect to $x_{i}$ (and the measure $g \cdot \gamma$ ) coincides with $h_{i} I_{\{|\varphi| \leq N\}}$. In the language of differentiable measures (see [4]) this means the differentiability of the measure $f g \cdot \gamma$ along the vector $e_{i}$ of the standard basis in $l^{2}$, which implies (see [4, Sections 3.5, 6.3]) that $f g$ has a version such that the functions $t \mapsto f\left(x+t e_{i}\right) g\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ are locally absolutely continuous (i.e., absolutely continuous on bounded intervals) for $\gamma$-a.e. $x$. By our assumption,
the same is true for $\sqrt{g}$. Moreover, the derivative of $f\left(x+t e_{i}\right) g\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ at $t=0$ equals $f g_{x_{i}}+h_{i} I_{\{|\varphi| \leq N\}} g, \gamma$-a.e. Once we choose a version of $g$ such that the functions $t \mapsto g\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ are locally absolutely continuous, we obtain a version of $f$ such that $t \mapsto f\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ is absolutely continuous on every closed interval on which the function $t \mapsto g\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ does not vanish. For this version we have the estimate $\left|f_{x_{i}}\right| \leq\left|h_{i}\right|, g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. Since the conditional measures for $\gamma$ on the straight lines $x+\mathbb{R}^{1} e_{i}$ have Gaussian densities $\varrho_{x}$ and $h_{i} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$, we see that, for $\gamma$-a.e. $x$, the integral of $\left|\partial_{t} f\left(x+t e_{i}\right) / \partial t\right|^{2} g\left(x+t e_{i}\right) \varrho_{x}(t)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ is majorized by the integral of $\left|h_{i}\left(x+t e_{i}\right)\right|^{2} g\left(x+t e_{i}\right) \varrho_{x}(t)$. Remembering that $f=\varphi \wedge N \vee(-N)$ depends also on $N$ suppressed in our notation and that these functions converge to $\varphi$ pointwise, we obtain a version of $\varphi$ such that the function $t \mapsto \varphi\left(x+t e_{i}\right)$ is absolutely continuous on closed intervals without zeros of $g$. It also follows that $h_{i}=\varphi_{x_{i}}$ almost everywhere with respect to the measure $g \cdot \gamma$. The assertion with $\varphi_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ is even simpler, since we have $\varphi_{x_{i}} \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$.

It is important that the mapping $\nabla \varphi$ introduced in this proposition coincides $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. with the one constructed in [13] (as a limit of convex combinations). It will be seen directly that $\mathrm{I}+\nabla \varphi$ takes $g \cdot \gamma$ to $\gamma$ as soon as we check that $\nabla \varphi$ can be obtained as a limit of $\nabla \varphi_{n}$ pointwise $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. Let us show that in fact we have strong convergence in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ (which gives a subsequence convergent almost everywhere) and convergence in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm for a subsequence in $\left\{D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\}$.

## PROPOSITION 4.2

One has $\nabla \varphi_{n} \rightarrow \nabla \varphi$ in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$ and

$$
\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}-D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}} \rightarrow 0, \quad g \cdot \gamma \text {-a.e. }
$$

Proof
Applying Proposition 2.4 to the functions $g_{n}$ and $g_{m}$ with $m<n$ (the conditional expectations defined above), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int g_{n} \log \frac{g_{n}}{g_{m}} d \gamma= & \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{n}-\mathrm{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{m} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nabla \varphi_{n}-\nabla \varphi_{m}\right)^{2} g_{n} d \gamma \\
& -\int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2} g_{n} d \gamma \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nabla \varphi_{n}-\nabla \varphi_{m}\right)^{2} g d \gamma \\
& -\int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2} g d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

we recall that

$$
\sup _{n} \int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|^{2} g d \gamma<\infty ;
$$

hence $D_{a}^{2} \varphi_{n}$ in the estimates from Proposition 2.4 can be replaced by $D^{2} \varphi_{n}$. Thus we have proved that

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{n}-\text { Ent }_{\gamma} g_{m} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nabla \varphi_{n}-\nabla \varphi_{m}\right)^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the properties of weak convergence we obtain

$$
\text { Ent }_{\gamma} g-\text { Ent }_{\gamma} g_{m} \geq \frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nabla \varphi-\nabla \varphi_{m}\right)^{2} g d \gamma
$$

Now the result follows by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$.
To prove the second relation we use the convexity of $-\log \operatorname{det}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+N} \operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{n}-\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{m} \\
& \quad \geq-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+N} \int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] g d \gamma \\
& \quad \geq-\int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+N}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] g d \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to a subsequence (denoted again by $\varphi_{n}$ ) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+N}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi
$$

in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. Hence, by the Fatou theorem

$$
\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g-\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{m} \geq \int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] g d \gamma
$$

Therefore, passing to a subsequence, we have
$\log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right)\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{m}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Consequently, $D^{2} \varphi_{m} \rightarrow D^{2} \varphi$ in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e.
The next result follows from the previous proposition and the uniform boundedness of the integrals $\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} g d \gamma$.

## COROLLARY 4.3

In the situation of Proposition 4.2,

$$
\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}-D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{p} g d \gamma \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { whenever } 0<p<2
$$

We now prove the change of variables formula

$$
g=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \varphi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ is defined as a function in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ satisfying the following duality relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{L} \varphi \xi g d \gamma=-\int\langle\nabla \varphi, \nabla \xi\rangle g d \gamma-\int\langle\nabla g, \nabla \varphi\rangle \xi d \gamma \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for smooth cylindrical functions $\xi$; existence of $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ is also part of the proof.

## LEMMA 4.4

The sequence $\left\{\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right\}$ converges $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. to some function $F$, and moreover, the following change of variables formula holds:

$$
g=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(F-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right) .
$$

## Proof

It follows from the finite-dimensional change of variables formula (1.1) and convergence $g_{n} \rightarrow g,\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}-\nabla \varphi\right| \rightarrow 0,\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}-D^{2} \varphi\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ that the functions $\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}(x)$ have a limit $F(x)$ for $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e. $x$. Clearly, the desired formula holds in the limit.

For notational simplicity, from now on we assume that the above properties established for certain subsequences hold for the whole sequence of indices.

## REMARK 4.5

We shall see that $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ coincides with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}$ in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$. It is not difficult to check that $\left\{\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$, so $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} \in L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$. Indeed, by the finite-dimensional change of variables formula from [16] and [20] we have

$$
g_{n}=\operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}=\log g_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}-\log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

Integrating with respect to $g_{n} \cdot \gamma$ and integrating in the left-hand side by parts we have

$$
\int \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} g_{n} d \gamma=-\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g_{n}\right\rangle d \gamma
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g_{n} d \gamma \\
& \quad \geq-\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g_{n}\right\rangle d \gamma \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Ent}_{\gamma} g_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g_{n} d \gamma-\int \log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right) g_{n} d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the integrals of $-\log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)$ with respect to $g_{n} \cdot \gamma$ are finite and uniformly bounded in $n$. One can easily show that

$$
\sup _{n} \int\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right| g_{n} d \gamma<\infty
$$

Indeed,

$$
\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right| \leq\left|\log g_{n}\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}-\log \operatorname{det}_{2}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right)
$$

The terms on the right are nonnegative, and the corresponding integrals with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$ are uniformly bounded in $n$. However, convergence in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$ is more difficult and will be the main step in the proof of Theorem 4.6. Under the additional assumption of $\gamma$-integrability of $1 / g$ to a power greater than 1 we show in the final remark that $\varphi \in W^{p, 2}(\gamma)$ with some $p>1$ and $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ exists in the usual sense of functions in $W^{p, 2}(\gamma)$.

It remains to identify $F$ with $\mathcal{L} \varphi$, that is, to show that $\mathcal{L} \varphi=F$ satisfies (4.2).

THEOREM 4.6
The change of variables formula

$$
g=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{I}+D^{2} \varphi\right) \exp \left(\mathcal{L} \varphi-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right)
$$

holds $g \cdot \gamma$-a.e.

## Proof

Let us identify $F$ and $\mathcal{L} \varphi$. One way of doing this would be proving that the integrals of $\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2}$ with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$ are uniformly bounded and then use the uniform integrability. However, it seems that the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right\}$ may be unbounded in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ solely under the assumption of the finiteness of $\mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g$.

To bypass this difficulty we prove another estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} \int \frac{\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2}}{1+\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}} g d \gamma \leq M<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M=4 \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g+2 \sup _{n} \int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g d \gamma+10 \sup _{n} \int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} S}^{2} g d \gamma \leq 16 \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} g
$$

Let $u$ be a decreasing function on $[0,+\infty)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma= & -\sum_{e_{i}} \int \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n} \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right) u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& -\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} d \gamma \\
& -2 \int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, D^{2} \varphi_{n} \nabla \varphi_{n}\right\rangle u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} g d \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n} \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right) u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& =\int\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma-\int \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n} \cdot \mathcal{L}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}\right) u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& =\int\left(\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma+\int\left|\nabla \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& \quad+\int \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n} \cdot\left\langle\nabla \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d \gamma \\
& \quad+2 \int \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}\left\langle\nabla \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi_{n}, D^{2} \varphi_{n} \nabla \varphi_{n}\right\rangle u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

and summing in $i$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
&=-\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} d \gamma \\
&-2 \int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, D^{2} \varphi_{n} \nabla \varphi_{n}\right\rangle u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} g d \gamma \\
&+\int\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma+\int\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{S}}^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
&+\int\left\langle D^{2} \varphi_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d \gamma+2 \int\left|D^{2} \varphi_{n} \nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ the Cauchy inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} d \gamma \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma+\varepsilon \int\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u^{2}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& -2 \int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, D^{2} \varphi_{n} \nabla \varphi_{n}\right\rangle u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} g d \gamma \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon \int\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{u}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left|D^{2} \varphi_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} g d \gamma \\
& \int\left\langle D^{2} \varphi_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla g\right\rangle u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d \gamma \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \int \frac{|\nabla g|^{2}}{g} d \gamma+\varepsilon \int\left|D^{2} \varphi_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u^{2}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\sup _{n} \int\left(\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) g d \gamma<\infty
$$

provided that the functions

$$
\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} u\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right), \quad \frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{u}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{4}
$$

are bounded and

$$
\varepsilon u^{2}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right)+2 u^{\prime}\left(\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

For example, we can take $u(t)=\frac{1}{1+t}$. Then both functions are bounded by 1 , and the latter estimate holds if $\varepsilon<1$, so for $\varepsilon=1 / 4$ we arrive at (4.3).

The estimate obtained enables us to verify the uniform integrability of $\left\{\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right\}$ with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$. Indeed, since $\left\{\nabla \varphi_{n}\right\}$ converges in $L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma, H)$, we have convergence of the sequence $\left\{\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right\}$ in $L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)$, hence its uniform integrability with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$. Now, given $\varepsilon>0$, we can find $\delta>0$ such that the integral of $\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2} I_{E}$ against $g \cdot \gamma$ is less than $\varepsilon^{2} /(4 M+1)$ for every set $E$ of $(g \cdot \gamma)$-measure less than $\delta$. Therefore, the integral of $\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right| I_{E}$ against $g \cdot \gamma$ does not exceed $\varepsilon$, because either

$$
\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right| I_{E} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 M} \frac{\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$

and the integral over the corresponding set is estimated by $\varepsilon / 2$, or in the case of the opposite inequality we have $\left|\mathcal{L} \varphi_{n}\right| I_{E} \leq 2 M \varepsilon^{-1}\left(1+\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) I_{E}$ and the integral over the corresponding set also does not exceed $\varepsilon / 2$.

Finally, for any smooth cylindrical function $\xi$ we have

$$
\int \mathcal{L} \varphi_{n} \xi g d \gamma=-\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi_{n}, \nabla \xi+\xi \frac{\nabla g}{g}\right\rangle g d \gamma
$$

which gives in the limit

$$
\int F \xi g d \gamma=-\int\left\langle\nabla \varphi, \nabla \xi+\xi \frac{\nabla g}{g}\right\rangle g d \gamma
$$

due to the established convergence; hence $F=\mathcal{L} \varphi$, that is, (4.2) holds.

## REMARK 4.7

We recall once again that it has not been shown that $\varphi \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$ (and we do not know whether this inclusion holds under our assumptions, under which we have only $\left.\varphi \in L^{1}(g \cdot \gamma)\right)$; consequently, the gradient $\nabla \varphi$ has been defined not in the Sobolev sense but pointwise almost everywhere. (However, $D^{2} \varphi$ is defined in the Sobolev sense, and $\nabla \varphi$ coincides almost everywhere with the limit of the mappings $\nabla(\varphi \wedge N \vee(-N))$, where $\varphi \wedge N \vee(-N)$ are Sobolev class functions.) In order to define also $\nabla \varphi$ in the Sobolev sense, it would be enough to have the inclusion $\varphi \in L^{2}(g \cdot \gamma)$. To guarantee this inclusion, it suffices to impose the additional condition that $g \cdot \gamma$ satisfies the Poincaré inequality (see also [6] and the next remark).

REMARK 4.8
If in the above theorem we have $1 / g \in L^{r}(\gamma)$ for some $r>1$, then $\varphi \in W^{p, 2}(\gamma)$ with $p=2 r /(1+r)$ and $\mathcal{L} \varphi$ exists in the sense of $W^{p, 2}(\gamma)$, that is, $\varphi$ belongs to the
domain of generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup in $L^{p}(\gamma)$. Indeed, writing $\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{p}=\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{p} g^{-1} g$ and applying Hölder's inequality with respect to $g \cdot \gamma$, we obtain a uniform bound on the integrals of $\left\|D^{2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{H S}}^{p}$ with respect to $\gamma$ and similarly for $\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{p}$, which by the Poincaré inequality yields that the sequence of functions $\varphi_{n}-c_{n}$, where $c_{n}$ is the integral of $\varphi_{n}$ against $\gamma$, is bounded in $W^{p, 2}(\gamma)$, whence the claim follows.

Finally, Theorem 1.2 follows from the finite-dimensional Theorem 3.2. The proof is standard, and we omit it here. As usual, one takes the finite-dimensional approximations $g_{n}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{n} g=e^{-v_{n}}$. Let $\gamma_{n}$ be the projection of $\gamma$. Then $g_{n}>0$ a.e., $g_{n} \in W^{2,1}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(\gamma_{n}\right)$, and the norm of $\left|\nabla g_{n} / g_{n}\right|$ in $L^{r}\left(g_{n} \cdot \gamma_{n}\right)$ is estimated by the norm of $|\nabla g / g|$ in $L^{r}(g \cdot \gamma)$, whenever the latter is finite. It is easy to show that

$$
D^{2} v_{n} \leq \mathbb{E}_{g \cdot \gamma}^{n}\left(D^{2} v\right), \quad\left(D^{2} v_{n}\right)^{+} \leq \mathbb{E}_{g \cdot \gamma}^{n}\left(D^{2} v\right)^{+}
$$

Hence the finite-dimensional approximations satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. The result now follows by taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that analogous results can be obtained for another interesting class of transformations, the so-called triangular transformations (see [3], [4], [5], [7], [10]). Some a priori estimates for optimal transportations can be found in [6].
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