# The inverse cyclotomic Discrete Fourier Transform algorithm 

Sergei V. Fedorenko ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

The proof of the theorem concerning to the inverse cyclotomic Discrete Fourier Transform algorithm over finite field is provided.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) can be applied in error correcting codes and code-based cryptography. The cyclotomic DFT method [1], [2] is the best one for computing the DFT over finite field. Alexey Maevskiy pointed that formula [2, (6)] in the example of paper [2] had not been proved. We have corrected this mistake and introduced the proof.

## II. BASIC NOTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

The DFT of length $n \mid 2^{m}-1$ of a vector $f=\left(f_{i}\right), \quad i \in$ $[0, n-1], f_{i} \in G F\left(2^{m}\right)$, is the vector $F=\left(F_{j}\right)$

$$
F_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{i} \alpha^{i j}, \quad j \in[0, n-1]
$$

where $\alpha$ is an element of order $n$ in $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$. Let us write the DFT in matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=W f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W=\left(\alpha^{i j}\right), \quad i, j \in[0, n-1]$, is a Vandermonde matrix. We assume that the length of the $n$-point Fourier transform over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is $n=2^{m}-1$.

Let us consider cyclotomic cosets modulo $n=2^{m}-1$ over $G F(2)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{c_{0}\right\}=\{0\} \\
\left\{c_{1}, c_{1} 2, c_{1} 2^{2}, \ldots, c_{1} 2^{m_{1}-1}\right\}, \\
\ldots \\
\left\{c_{l}, c_{l} 2, c_{l} 2^{2}, \ldots, c_{l} 2^{m_{l}-1}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{k} \equiv c_{k} 2^{m_{k}} \bmod n, l+1$ is the number of cyclotomic cosets modulo $n$ over $G F(2)$.

Let us introduce the set of indices modulo $n$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\left(Z_{i}\right)=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{1} 2, c_{1} 2^{2}, \ldots, c_{1} 2^{m_{1}-1}, \ldots\right. \\
\left.c_{l}, c_{l} 2, c_{l} 2^{2}, \ldots, c_{l} 2^{m_{l}-1}\right), \quad i \in[0, n-1]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, we define a permutation matrix $\Pi=\left(\Pi_{i, j}\right), \quad i, j \in$ [0, $n-1$ ],

$$
\Pi_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & \text { if } j=Z_{i}, \quad i \in[0, n-1] \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]Let us denote a basis $\beta_{k}=\left(\beta_{k, 0}, \ldots, \beta_{k, m_{k}-1}\right)$ of the subfield $G F\left(2^{m_{k}}\right) \subset G F\left(2^{m}\right)$.

Then we can write the cyclotomic DFT [1], [2]

$$
F_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{l} \sum_{s=0}^{m_{k}-1} a_{k, j, s}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{m_{k}-1} \beta_{k, s}^{2^{p}} f_{c_{k} 2^{p}}\right)
$$

where $a_{k, j, s} \in G F(2)$.
This equation can be represented in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=A L(\Pi f) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a matrix with elements $a_{k, j, s} \in G F(2)$ and $L$ is a block diagonal matrix with elements $\beta_{k, s}^{2^{p}}$. If one chooses the normal basis $\beta_{k}$, then all the blocks of the matrix $L$ are circulant matrices.

The inverse DFT in the field $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is

$$
f=W^{-1} F
$$

It is easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{-1}=E W \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a matrix

$$
E=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & . & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & . & 1 & 0 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & . & 1 & . & 0 & 0 \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & 1 & . & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & . & 0 & . & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## III. THE INVERSE CYCLOTOMIC DFT

Since both matrices $A$ and $L$ are invertible, from (2) the following representation of the inverse DFT can be derived

$$
\Pi f=L^{-1} A^{-1} F
$$

Lemma 1 ([3]): Suppose $\beta_{k}$ are the normal bases, then it is possible to show that blocks of $L^{-1}$ consist of elements of bases $\beta_{k}^{\prime}$ which are dual to $\beta_{k}$, that is, the blocks of $L^{-1}$ are also circulant matrices.

Theorem 1: The inverse cyclotomic DFT for $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is

$$
(\Pi E) F=L^{-1} A^{-1} f
$$

Proof: From (1) and (2) we have

$$
W=A L \Pi
$$

and

$$
W^{-1}=\Pi^{-1} L^{-1} A^{-1}
$$

From the last formula and (3) we obtain

$$
W^{-1}=E W=\Pi^{-1} L^{-1} A^{-1}
$$

and

$$
W=E^{-1} \Pi^{-1} L^{-1} A^{-1} .
$$

Hence,

$$
F=W f=\left(E^{-1} \Pi^{-1}\right) L^{-1} A^{-1} f
$$

and

$$
(\Pi E) F=L^{-1} A^{-1} f .
$$

$$
\times\left(\begin{array}{c}
F_{0} \\
F_{1} \\
F_{2} \\
F_{3} \\
F_{4} \\
F_{5} \\
F_{6}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma^{1} & \gamma^{2} & \gamma^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma^{2} & \gamma^{4} & \gamma^{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma^{4} & \gamma^{1} & \gamma^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{1} & \gamma^{2} & \gamma^{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{2} & \gamma^{4} & \gamma^{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{4} & \gamma^{1} & \gamma^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\times\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4} \\
f_{5} \\
f_{6}
\end{array}\right)=L^{-1} A^{-1} f
$$

Finally note that the last formula coincides with formula [2, (6)].

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{0} \\
F_{6} \\
F_{5} \\
F_{3} \\
F_{4} \\
F_{1} \\
F_{2}
\end{array}\right)=L^{-1} A^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4} \\
f_{5} \\
f_{6}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This algorithm requires 6 multiplications and 24 additions and appears to be the best known 7-point DFT for $G F\left(2^{3}\right)$.

## B. DFT of length $n=15$

Let $\left(\alpha^{3}, \alpha^{6}, \alpha^{12}, \alpha^{9}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha^{11}, \alpha^{7}, \alpha^{14}, \alpha^{13}\right)$ be the normal bases of $G F\left(2^{3}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a root of the primitive polynomial $x^{4}+x+1$. Then the cyclotomic DFT can be represented as formula (4) or $F=A L(\Pi f)$. The inverse cyclotomic DFT can be written as formula (5) or ( $\Pi E) F=L^{-1} A^{-1} f$.
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Using Theorem 1, we obtain the inverse cyclotomic DFT

$$
(\Pi E) F
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{0} \\
F_{1} \\
F_{2} \\
F_{3} \\
F_{4} \\
F_{5} \\
F_{6} \\
F_{7} \\
F_{9} \\
F_{10} \\
F_{11} \\
F_{12} \\
F_{13} \\
F_{14} \\
F_{15}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
111111111111111 \\
110011000111010 \\
111000100011101 \\
110000001010011 \\
101100010101110 \\
101011111010101 \\
101001000001011 \\
111100100011010 \\
100110001110101 \\
100010010100011 \\
110101111101010 \\
111011000110001 \\
100100100000111 \\
110110001100110 \\
101110010001101
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{12} & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{9} & \alpha^{3} & \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{5} & \alpha^{10} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{5}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{c}
100000000000000 \\
010000000000000 \\
001000000000000 \\
000010000000000 \\
000000001000000 \\
000100000000000 \\
000000100000000 \\
000000000000100 \\
000000000100000 \\
000000010000000 \\
000000000000001 \\
000000000000010 \\
000000000001000 \\
000001000000000 \\
000000000010000
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4} \\
f_{5} \\
f_{6} \\
f_{7} \\
f_{9} \\
f_{10} \\
f_{11} \\
f_{12} \\
f_{13} \\
f_{14} \\
f_{15}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
100000000000000 \\
010000000000000 \\
001000000000000 \\
000010000000000 \\
000000001000000 \\
000100000000000 \\
000000100000000 \\
000000000000100 \\
000000000100000 \\
000000010000000 \\
000000000000001 \\
000000000000010 \\
000000000001000 \\
000001000000000 \\
000000000010000
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
100000000000000 \\
000000000000001 \\
000000000000010 \\
000000000000100 \\
000000000001000 \\
000000000010000 \\
000000000100000 \\
000000001000000 \\
000000010000000 \\
000000100000000 \\
000001000000000 \\
000010000000000 \\
000100000000000 \\
001000000000000 \\
010000000000000
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
F_{0} \\
F_{1} \\
F_{2} \\
F_{3} \\
F_{4} \\
F_{5} \\
F_{6} \\
F_{7} \\
F_{9} \\
F_{10} \\
F_{11} \\
F_{12} \\
F_{13} \\
F_{14} \\
F_{15}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{14} & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{13} & \alpha^{11} & \alpha^{7} & \alpha^{14} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{5} & \alpha^{10} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{10} & \alpha^{5}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{l}
111111111111111 \\
100011110101100 \\
100100011110101 \\
110101100100011 \\
101100100011110 \\
101111011110111 \\
110111101111011 \\
111101111011110 \\
111011110111101 \\
110101111000100 \\
111000100110101 \\
101111000100110 \\
100110101111000 \\
101101101101101 \\
110110110110110
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f_{0} \\
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4} \\
f_{5} \\
f_{6} \\
f_{7} \\
f_{9} \\
f_{10} \\
f_{11} \\
f_{12} \\
f_{13} \\
f_{14} \\
f_{15}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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