

Hidden Champions: What are the most digitalized industries in Russia and Why?

[very preliminary results]

Anna Fedyunina¹², Julia Averyanova², Yurii Simachev¹, Mikhail Kuzyk² ¹HSE University, Moscow, ²HSE University, St. Petersburg

> September 16th, 2020 AMEC Conference

Introduction

- Digital transformation is not just limited to tech start-ups and companies operating in the high-tech sector (Weill and Woerner, 2018)
- Digitalization represents a paradigm shift that pervasively affects the most traditional of businesses and even impacts society as a whole (Gimpel and Röglinger, 2015; Sambamurthy et al., 2003)
- Digitalization and its implied changes do not only affect the way firms operate, but they also have a profound impact on value chains (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014; World Economic Forum, 2016).

Introduction (2)

- There is a large gap in implementation of digital technologies among industries: most digitalized are services (finance, media), less digitalized are manufacturing (McKinsey, 2019)
- But what is inside the black box of digitalization of manufacturing industries?
- Even if particular manufacturing industries use some, but not all, digital technologies, the intensity of implementation of digital techs among sectors should be (statistically) different
 - If True, transmission channels are efficient, think about policy recommendations
 - If False, transmission channels are inefficient, differences are explained by characteristics of firms and incentives are not distributed properly, explore transition channels in details

• what are the differences in the implementation of digital technologies among Russian manufacturing industries?

and if the differences are just minor

• what are the transmission channels affecting the implementation of digital technologies among Russian manufacturing industries?

Data

- Survey of Russian manufacturing firms RUFIGE (HSE, 2018)
- Data is representative across manufacturing industries, but not regions
- 2 groups of digital technologies:

 (1) Automation: AI + robotics
 (2) Digitalization: cloud computing, big data, mobile server tech, VR, 3D printing, CRM+ERP
- control variables: size (small, medium, large, super large), age (established in 1992-1998, 1999-2008, 2009-2013, 2014-2018), foreign ownership, state ownership, markets (regional, national, EAEU, World)

Descriptive statistics

	Variable	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
	Sub-national market	1,678	0,20	0,40	0	1
<u>v</u>	Country-level market	1,678	0,60	0,49	0	1
tro]	EAEU market	1,678	0,22	0,42	0	1
Controls	World market	1,678	0,10	0,30	0	1
	Foreign owners	1,678	0,05	0,22	0	1
	State owners	1,678	0,03	0,18	0	1
	Cloud computing	1,678	0,35	0,48	0	1
	Big Data	1,678	0,20	0,40	0	1
Technologies	Mobile technologies and servers	1,678	0,24	0,42	0	1
log	Artificial intellegence	1,678	0,35	0,48	0	1
hne	Robotics	1,678	0,12	0,32	0	1
lec	Virtual Reality	1,678	0,18	0,39	0	1
	3D printing	1,678	0,10	0,30	0	1
	CRM, ERP	1,678	0,36	0,48	0	1
	Consumers switch to new tech	1,678	0,10	0,30	0	1
	Consumers changes preferences	1,678	0,26	0,44	0	1
sio	Suppliers switch to new products production	1,678	0,07	0,25	0	1
nis	R&D of universities and research institutes	1,678	0,07	0,25	0	1
ansmissic	Adjustment of tech standards	1,678	0,09	0,29	0	1
Transmission channels	Adjustment of tech standards in state procurement	1,678	0,05	0,22	0	1
	Support from federal / regional authorities	1,678	0,05	0,23	0	1

Implementation of digital technologies by industry

	Cloud systems	Big Data	Mobile server software	Virtual Reality	Artificial Intelligence	Robotics	3D Printing	CRM, ERP
Food production	28%	14%	22%	4%	7%	12%	4%	34%
Textiles	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Wearing apparel	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%
Leather and leather products	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Wood processing and production of wood products	8%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%	2%	7%
Furniture	8%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	7%
Paper and paper products	5%	4%	5%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Coke and petroleum products	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%
Chemicals and chemical products	13%	7%	7%	4%	4%	4%	3%	11%
Pharmaceuticals	5%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	4%
Rubber and platics products	15%	8%	10%	4%	5%	7%	6%	14%
Other non-metallic mineral products	18%	10%	9%	5%	4%	9%	3%	18%
Basic metals	5%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	7%
Fabricated metal products	21%	13%	14%	7%	9%	12%	6%	22%
Computers, electronic and optical products	9%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	6%	12%
Electrical equipment	11%	5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	4%	13%
Machinery and equipment	17%	10%	10%	5%	7%	11%	4%	19%
Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers	5%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%	6%
Other transport equipment	6%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%	8%
Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	15%	6%	9%	4%	3%	4%	2%	8%

Share of firms that implemented technologies by technology and industry

Empirical models

• Model #1 (Differences among industries) $P(Tech = 1 | X_1, X_2, ..., X_i)$ $= \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Size + \beta_2 * Age + \beta_3 * Foreign + \beta_4 * State + \beta_5 RegionalMarket$ $+ \beta_6 NationalMarket + \beta_7 EAEU + \beta_8 World + \beta_9 Industry$

8 technologies * 9 industries = 72 regressions

• Model #2 (Transmission channels) $P(Tech = 1 | X_1, X_2, ..., X_i)$ $= \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Size + \beta_2 * Age + \beta_3 * Foreign + \beta_4 * State$ $+ \beta_5 Regional Market + \beta_6 National Market + \beta_7 EAEU + \beta_8 World$ $+ \beta_{9-16} Industry + \beta_{17-24} Transmission channels$

> What was the incentive for your company to introduce new or significantly improved products or technology in 2016-2017?

Model #1 (1)

Industries	Cloud computing	Big Data	Mobile technologies and servers	Artificial intelligence	Robotics	Virtual Reality	3D printing	CRM, ERP
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Food		_		*	**	***	***	+
Light	***		+	+	+	+*	+	**
Wood and Furniture		+		—		_	+	**
Chemical and Pharma	+ **	+**	+	_	_	+	+	+
Non-ferrous metal			**		_	_	**	
Metal work		+			+		+	+
Machinery	+	_		+	+		_	+
Electro mach	+	_	+	+	+***	+***	+***	+
Transport mach	+	+	+	+*	+	+	+	
Ν	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678
Control variables are: size (small, medium, large, super large), age (1992-1998, 1999-2008, 2009-2013, 2014-2018), foreign ownership, state ownership, markets (regional, national, EAEU, World) *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1								

• Implementation of digital technologies are mostly explained by firm characteristics, industry type in most of the cases is insignificant

- Electro machinery is the only high-tech industry in our sample which is strongly and positively statistically different from other industries in prob. of tech implementation => what's wrong with Transport machinery and machinery?
- Food industry is strongly negatively different in prob. of tech implementation

Model #1 (2)

Industries	Cloud computing	Big Data	Mobile technologies and servers	Artificial intelligence	Robotics	Virtual Reality	3D printing	CRM, ERP
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Food		_	—	*	**	***	***	+
Light	***	_	+	+	+	+*	+	**
Wood and Furniture	_	+	_				+	**
Chemical and Pharma	+ **	+**	+	_		+	+	+
Non-ferrous metal	_		**				**	
Metal work		+	—		+		+	+
Machinery	+	_	—	+	+		_	+
Electro mach	+	_	+	+	+***	+***	+***	+
Transport mach	+	+	+	+*	+	+	+	_
Ν	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678
Control variables are: size (small, medium, large, super large), age (1992-1998, 1999-2008, 2009-2013, 2014-2018), foreign ownership, state ownership, markets (regional, national, EAEU, World) *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1								

Comments on control variables:

- Effect of the size increases with the size of the firm: large firms have higher probability, super large firms the highest
- Effect of the age decreases with the age: younger firms have higher probability, the youngest the highest
- Both, foreign and state ownership are not significant

Model #2 (1)

VARIABLES	Automati on	Digitaliza tion	Cloud computin g	Big Data	Mobile server tech	Virtual Reality	AI	Robotics	3D printing	CRM, ERP
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Change in tech requirements of industry customers	+	+***	+***	+**	+***	+	+	+	+*	+***
Product innovations by suppliers	_	+**	+	+	+	_	+	_	+	+
R&D of universities and research institutes	+**	+**	+	+		+*	+	+		+
Adjustment of tech standards	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+***
Adjustment of tech standards in state procurement	+	+		+	+*	+		+*	+***	+
Support from federal / regional authorities	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	_
N	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678
Automation = Robotics + AI Digitalization = Cloud computing + Big Data + Mobile server tech + IoT + VR + 3D printing + CRM, ERP Control variables are: size (small, medium, large, super large), age (1992-1998, 1999-2008, 2009-2013, 2014-2018), foreign ownership, state ownership, markets (regional, national, EAEU, World), industry fixed effects										

- *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1
 - we find positive and robust impact of changes in tech requirements of industry customers on the probability to implement digitalization at the aggregate and individual level
 - some evidence on the effects of state procurement regulation
 - no effect of governmental support

Model #2 (2)

VARIABLES	Automati on	Digitaliza tion	Cloud computin g	Big Data	Mobile server tech	Virtual Reality	AI	Robotics	3D printing	CRM, ERP
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Change in tech requirements of industry customers	+	+***	+***	+**	+***	+	+	+	+*	+***
Product innovations by suppliers		+**	+	+	+		+		+	+
R&D of universities and research institutes	+**	+**	+	+	_	+*	+	+	_	+
Adjustment of tech standards	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+***
Adjustment of tech standards in state procurement	+	+	_	+	+*	+	_	+*	+***	+
Support from federal / regional authorities	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	_
N	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678	1,678
Automation = Robotics + AI Digitalization = Cloud computing + Big Data + Mobile server tech + IoT + VR + 3D printing + CRM, ERP Control variables are: size (small, medium, large, super large), age (1992-1998, 1999-2008, 2009-2013, 2014-2018), foreign ownership, state ownership, markets (regional, national, EAEU, World), industry fixed effects *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1										

Results on control variables:

- Effect of the size increases with the size of the firm: large firms have higher probability, super large firms the highest
- Effect of the age decreases with the age: younger firms have higher probability, the youngest the highest
- Foreign ownership increases the probability of robotics and AI

Discussion

- we find that implementation of digital technologies in Russian industries is explained by age and size of firms, where larger and younger firms tend to adopt digital technologies.
- we control for these factors and find that statistical differences in digitalization among Russian manufacturing firms are weak. Only Electro machinery, chemicals+pharmaceuticals have positive statistical differences "from the average"
- we explore transmission channels of digital technologies and find that changes in the demand (industry customers / state procurement) and innovations by suppliers increase the probability of digitalization
- => firms in value chains are more prone to implement digitalization
- => weak demand for innovations in value chains hinders digitalization